The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative and cease-and-desist proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Sections 15(b) and 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) against Scotia Capital (USA) Inc. (“Respondent” or “SCUSA”).

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (“Offer”) that the Commission has determined to accept. Respondent admits the facts set forth in Section III below, acknowledges that its conduct violated the federal securities laws, admits the Commission’s jurisdiction over it and the subject matter of these proceedings, and consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative and Cease-and-Desist Proceedings Pursuant to Sections 15(b) and 21C of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions and a Cease-and-Desist Order (“Order”), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent’s Offer, the Commission finds\(^1\) that:

\(^1\) The findings herein are made pursuant to Respondent’s Offer of Settlement and are not binding on any other person or entity in this or any other proceeding.
Summary

1. The federal securities laws impose recordkeeping requirements on broker-dealers to ensure that they responsibly discharge their crucial role in our markets. The Commission has long said that compliance with these requirements is essential to investor protection and the Commission’s efforts to further its mandate of protecting investors, maintaining fair, orderly, and efficient markets, and facilitating capital formation.

2. These proceedings arise out of the widespread and longstanding failure of SCUSA employees throughout the firm, including at senior levels, to adhere to certain of these essential requirements and the firm’s own policies. Using their personal devices, these employees communicated both internally and externally by personal text messages or other text messaging platforms such as WhatsApp (“off-channel communications”).

3. From at least January 2020 to December 2021, SCUSA employees sent and received off-channel communications that related to the business of the broker-dealer operated by SCUSA. Respondent did not maintain or preserve the substantial majority of these written communications. SCUSA’s failure was firm-wide, and involved employees at all levels of authority. As a result, SCUSA violated Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 17a-4(b)(4) thereunder.

4. SCUSA’s supervisors, who were responsible for supervising junior employees, routinely communicated off-channel using their personal devices. In fact, managing directors across the firm and senior supervisors responsible for supervising junior employees, themselves failed to comply with firm policies by communicating using non-firm approved methods on their personal devices about the firm’s broker-dealer business.

5. SCUSA’s widespread failure to implement its policies and procedures that prohibit such communications led to its failure to reasonably supervise its employees within the meaning of Section 15(b)(4)(E) of the Exchange Act.

6. SCUA contacted the Division of Enforcement upon uncovering off-channel communications potentially related to the business of its broker-dealer. SCUSA had already initiated a review of its recordkeeping failures and begun a program of remediation prior to contacting the Division of Enforcement. As set forth in the Undertakings below, SCUSA will retain a compliance consultant to review and assess the firm’s remedial steps relating to SCUSA’s recordkeeping practices, policies and procedures, related supervisory practices, and employment actions.

Respondent

7. Respondent is a New York corporation with its principal office in New York, New York and is registered with the Commission as a broker-dealer. It is a wholly owned, indirect subsidiary of The Bank of Nova Scotia, a global financial services firm incorporated and domiciled in Canada.
Recordkeeping Requirements under the Exchange Act

8. Section 17(a)(1) of the Exchange Act authorizes the Commission to issue rules requiring broker-dealers to make and keep for prescribed periods, and furnish copies of, such records as necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act.

9. The Commission adopted Rule 17a-4 pursuant to this authority. Rule 17a-4 specifies the manner and length of time that the records created in accordance with other Commission rules, and certain other records produced by broker-dealers, must be maintained and produced promptly to Commission representatives. The rules adopted under Section 17(a)(1) of the Exchange Act, including Rule 17a-4(b)(4), require that broker-dealers preserve in an easily accessible place originals of all communications received and copies of all communications sent relating to the firm’s business as such. These rules impose minimum recordkeeping requirements that are based on standards a prudent broker-dealer should follow in the normal course of business.

10. The Commission previously has stated that these and other recordkeeping requirements “are an integral part of the investor protection function of the Commission, and other securities regulators, in that the preserved records are the primary means of monitoring compliance with applicable securities laws, including antifraud provisions and financial responsibility standards.” Commission Guidance to Broker-Dealers on the Use of Electronic Storage Media under the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act of 2000 with Respect to Rule 17a-4(f), 17 C.F.R. Part 241, Exchange Act Rel. No. 44238 (May 1, 2001).

SCUSA’s Policies and Procedures

11. SCUSA maintained certain policies and procedures designed to ensure the retention of business-related records, including electronic communications, in compliance with the relevant recordkeeping provisions.

12. SCUSA employees were advised that the use of unapproved electronic communications methods, including on their personal devices, was not permitted, and they should not use personal email, chats or text-messaging applications for business purposes, or forward work-related communications to their personal devices.

13. Messages sent through SCUSA-approved communications methods were monitored, subject to review, and, when appropriate, archived. Messages sent through unapproved communications methods, such as WhatsApp and those sent from unapproved applications on personal devices, were not monitored, subject to review, or archived.

14. Firm policies were designed to address supervisors’ supervision of employees’ training in the firm’s communications policies and adherence to SCUSA’s books and recordkeeping requirements. Supervisory policies noted that screening and review of electronic
communications were subject to surveillance by the firm. SCUSA had procedures for all employees, including supervisors, requiring annual self-attestation of compliance.

15. SCUSA, however, failed to implement a system of follow-up and review to determine that supervisors were reasonably following the firm’s policies. While permitting employees to use approved communications methods, including on personal phones, for business communications, SCUSA failed to implement sufficient monitoring to assure that its recordkeeping and communications policies were being followed.

**SCUSA’s Recordkeeping Failures Across Its Brokerage Business**

16. In September 2021, the Commission staff commenced a risk-based initiative to investigate whether broker-dealers were properly retaining business-related messages sent and received on personal devices. Following the commencement of this risk-based initiative, SCUSA contacted the staff upon uncovering off-channel communications related to the business of its broker-dealer. SCUSA cooperated with the staff’s investigation by proactively gathering communications from the personal devices of broker-dealer personnel and responding to the staff’s requests for additional information. SCUSA broker-dealer personnel, who had engaged in the use of off-channel communications, included investment bankers and trading desk personnel.

17. The Commission staff’s investigation uncovered pervasive off-channel communications at SCUSA’s broker-dealer. Through SCUSA’s proactive efforts, the staff found off-channel communications data from a sampling of 37 broker-dealer personnel and found that nearly every individual had engaged in at least some level of off-channel communications. From at least January 2020 through December 2021, these personnel sent and received numerous off-channel communications, involving other SCUSA personnel, SCUSA’s broker-dealer customers, including investment banking clients, and other participants in the securities industry. Within SCUSA, a significant number of managing directors, directors, traders and investment bankers participated in off-channel communications.

18. From at least January 2020 through December 2021, thousands of messages were sent and received by SCUSA personnel that concerned the broker-dealer’s business, including investment strategy, discussions of investment banking client meetings, and communications about market color, analysis, activity trends or events.

19. For example, from at least January 2020 through December 2021, a director in SCUSA’s capital markets group sent and received thousands of off-channel business-related messages to SCUSA colleagues, investment banking clients, and personnel at other financial services firms. Within SCUSA, this director routinely communicated with managing directors and junior employees.

20. From at least at least January 2020 through December 2021, this director sent and received thousands of off-channel text messages. The messages concerned, among other things, the broker-dealer’s business, including investment strategy, discussions of investment banking client meetings, and communications about market color, analysis, activity trends or events related to debt capital markets.
21. In addition, from at least January 2020 through December 2021, a managing
director and emerging markets credit trading desk head with supervisory responsibilities texted
with SCUSA colleagues, including with junior members of trading desks, and numerous third-
parties, who were financial industry participants at other broker-dealers.

22. Overall, the voluminous off-channel messages sent and received by SCUSA
personnel reflect extensive discussion between and among senior and junior-level SCUSA
personnel, customers, investment banking clients, third-party advisers, and other market
participants about broker-dealer business.

**SCUSA’s Violations and Failure to Supervise**

23. As a result of the conduct described above, SCUSA willfully² violated Section
17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 17a-4(b)(4) thereunder, which require broker-dealers to
preserve for at least three years originals of all communications received and copies of all
communications sent relating to its business as such.

24. As a result of the conduct described above, SCUSA failed reasonably to supervise
its employees with a view to preventing or detecting certain of its employees’ aiding and abetting
violations of Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 17a-4(b)(4) thereunder, within the
meaning of Section 15(b)(4)(E) of the Exchange Act.

**SCUSA’s Remedial and Cooperation Efforts**

25. In determining to accept the Offer, the Commission considered remedial acts
promptly undertaken by SCUSA and cooperation afforded the Commission staff.

26. SCUSA self-reported off-channel communications related to the business of its
broker-dealer prior to the Division of Enforcement contacting the firm and proactively began
prompt remediation.

27. SCUSA has taken significant remedial steps to reduce the risk of the misconduct
recurring, including: clarifying application of relevant policies; enhancing training to reinforce the
requirement to use authorized communications channels; providing clear messaging to employees
from senior management regarding the use of unauthorized communication channels; enhancing
surveillance protocols for investigating incidents of potential off-channel communications; and
making significant investments in new technologies to facilitate compliant communications.

---

² “Willfully,” for purposes of imposing relief under Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act
“‘means no more than that the person charged with the duty knows what he is doing.’”
Wonsover v. SEC, 205 F.3d 408, 414 (D.C. Cir 2000) (quoting Hughes v. SEC, 174 F.2d 969,
977 (D.C. Cir. 1949)).
28. Prior to this action, SCUSA enhanced its policies and procedures, and increased training concerning the use of approved communications methods, including on personal devices, and began implementing significant changes to the technology available to employees. In addition, SCUSA has undertaken to:

29. **Compliance Consultant.**

   a. SCUSA shall retain, within thirty (30) days of the entry of this Order, the services of a compliance consultant (“Compliance Consultant”) that is not unacceptable to the Commission staff. The Compliance Consultant’s compensation and expenses shall be borne exclusively by SCUSA.

   b. SCUSA will oversee the work of the Compliance Consultant.

   c. SCUSA shall provide to the Commission staff, within sixty (60) days of the entry of this Order, a copy of the engagement letter detailing the Compliance Consultant’s responsibilities, which shall include a comprehensive compliance review as described below. SCUSA shall require that, within ninety (90) days of the date of the engagement letter, the Compliance Consultant conduct:

   i. A comprehensive review of SCUSA’s supervisory, compliance, and other policies and procedures designed to ensure that SCUSA’s electronic communications, including those found on personal electronic devices, including without limitation, cellular phones (“Personal Devices”), are preserved in accordance with the requirements of the federal securities laws.

   ii. A comprehensive review of training conducted by SCUSA to ensure personnel are complying with the requirements regarding the preservation of electronic communications, including those found on Personal Devices, in accordance with the requirements of the federal securities laws, including by ensuring that SCUSA personnel certify in writing on a quarterly basis that they are complying with preservation requirements.

   iii. An assessment of the surveillance program measures implemented by SCUSA to ensure compliance, on an ongoing basis, with the requirements found in the federal securities laws to preserve electronic communications, including those found on Personal Devices.

   iv. An assessment of the technological solutions that SCUSA has begun implementing to meet the record retention requirements of the federal securities laws, including an assessment of the likelihood that SCUSA personnel will use the technological solutions going forward and a review of the measures employed by SCUSA to track employee usage of new technological solutions.
v. An assessment of the measures used by the firm to prevent the use of unauthorized communications methods for business communications by employees. This assessment should include, but not be limited to, a review of the firm’s policies and procedures to ascertain if they provide for any significant technology and/or behavioral restrictions that help prevent the risk of the use of unapproved communications methods on Personal Devices (e.g., trading floor restrictions).

vi. A review of SCUSA’s electronic communications surveillance routines to ensure that electronic communications through approved communications methods found on Personal Devices are incorporated into SCUSA’s overall communications surveillance program.

vii. A comprehensive review of the framework adopted by SCUSA to address instances of non-compliance by SCUSA employees with SCUSA’s policies and procedures concerning the use of Personal Devices to communicate about SCUSA business in the past. This review shall include a survey of how SCUSA determined which employees failed to comply with SCUSA policies and procedures, the corrective action carried out, an evaluation of who violated policies and why, what penalties were imposed, and whether penalties were handed out consistently across business lines and seniority levels.

d. SCUSA shall require that, within forty-five (45) days after completion of the review set forth in sub-paragraphs c.i. through c.vii. above, the Compliance Consultant shall submit a detailed written report of its findings to SCUSA and to the Commission staff (the “Report”). SCUSA shall require that the Report include a description of the review performed, the names of the individuals who performed the review, the conclusions reached, the Compliance Consultant’s recommendations for changes in or improvements to SCUSA’s policies and procedures, and a summary of the plan for implementing the recommended changes in or improvements to SCUSA’s policies and procedures.

e. SCUSA shall adopt all recommendations contained in the Report within ninety (90) days of the date of the Report; provided, however, that within forty-five (45) days after the date of Report, SCUSA shall advise the Compliance Consultant and the Commission staff in writing of any recommendations that SCUSA considers to be unduly burdensome, impractical, or inappropriate. With respect to any recommendation that SCUSA considers unduly burdensome, impractical, or inappropriate, SCUSA need not adopt such recommendation at that time, but shall propose in writing an alternative policy, procedure, or disclosure designed to achieve the same objective or purpose.

f. As to any recommendation concerning SCUSA’s policies or procedures on which SCUSA and the Compliance Consultant do not agree, SCUSA and the Compliance Consultant shall attempt in good faith to reach an agreement within sixty (60) days after the date of the Report. Within fifteen (15) days after the conclusion of the discussion and evaluation by SCUSA and the Compliance Consultant, SCUSA shall require that the
Compliance Consultant inform SCUSA and the Commission staff in writing of the Compliance Consultant’s final determination concerning any recommendation that SCUSA considers to be unduly burdensome, impractical, or inappropriate. SCUSA shall abide by the determinations of the Compliance Consultant and, within sixty (60) days after final agreement between SCUSA and the Compliance Consultant or final determination by the Compliance Consultant, whichever occurs first, SCUSA shall adopt and implement all of the recommendations that the Compliance Consultant deems appropriate.

g. SCUSA shall cooperate fully with the Compliance Consultant and shall provide the Compliance Consultant with access to such of SCUSA’s files, books, records, and personnel as are reasonably requested by the Compliance Consultant for review.

h. SCUSA shall not have the authority to terminate the Compliance Consultant or substitute another compliance consultant for the initial Compliance Consultant, without the prior written approval of the Commission staff. SCUSA shall compensate the Compliance Consultant and persons engaged to assist the Compliance Consultant for services rendered under this Order at their reasonable and customary rates.

i. SCUSA shall require the Compliance Consultant to enter into an agreement that provides that for the period of engagement and for a period of two years from completion of the engagement, the Compliance Consultant shall not enter into any employment, consultant, attorney-client, auditing, or other professional relationship with SCUSA, or any of its present or former affiliates, directors, officers, employees, or agents acting in their capacity. The agreement shall also provide that the Compliance Consultant will require that any firm with which he/she is affiliated or of which he/she is a member, and any person engaged to assist the Compliance Consultant in performance of his/her duties under this Order shall not, without prior written consent of the Commission staff, enter into any employment, consultant, attorney-client, auditing or other professional relationship with SCUSA, or any of its present or former affiliates, directors, officers, employees, or agents acting in their capacity as such for the period of the engagement and for a period of two years after the engagement.

j. The Report and related written communications of the Compliance Consultant will likely include confidential financial, proprietary, competitive business or commercial information. Public disclosure of the reports could discourage cooperation, impede pending or potential government investigations or undermine the objectives of the reporting requirement. For these reasons, among others, the reports and the contents thereof are intended to remain and shall remain non-public, except (1) pursuant to court order, (2) as agreed to by the parties in writing, (3) to the extent that the Commission determines in its sole discretion that disclosure would be in furtherance of the Commission’s discharge of its duties and responsibilities, or (4) is otherwise required by law.

30. **One-Year Evaluation.** SCUSA shall require the Compliance Consultant to assess SCUSA’s program for the preservation, as required under the federal securities laws, of electronic communications, including those found on Personal Devices, commencing one year
after submitting the report required by Paragraph 29.d above. SCUSA shall require this review to evaluate SCUSA’s progress in the areas described in Paragraph 29.c.i-vii above. After this review, SCUSA shall require the Compliance Consultant to submit a report (the “One Year Report”) to SCUSA and the Commission staff and shall ensure that the One Year Report includes an updated assessment of SCUSA’s policies and procedures with regard to the preservation of electronic communications (including those found on Personal Devices), training, surveillance programs, and technological solutions implemented in the prior year period.

31. Reporting Discipline Imposed. For two years following the entry of this Order, SCUSA shall notify the Commission staff as follows upon the imposition of any discipline imposed by SCUSA, including, but not limited to, written warnings, loss of any pay, bonus, or incentive compensation, or the termination of employment, with respect to any employee found to have violated SCUSA’s policies and procedures concerning the preservation of electronic communications, including those found on Personal Devices: at least 48 hours before the filing of a Form U-5, or within ten (10) days of the imposition of other discipline.

32. Internal Audit. In addition to the Compliance Consultant’s review and issuance of the One Year Report, SCUSA will also have its Internal Audit function conduct a separate audit(s) to assess SCUSA’s progress in the areas described in Paragraph 29.c.i-vii above. After completion of this audit(s), SCUSA shall ensure that Internal Audit submits a report to SCUSA and the Commission staff.

33. Recordkeeping. SCUSA shall preserve, for a period of not less than six (6) years from the end of the fiscal year last used, the first two (2) years in an easily accessible place, any record of compliance with these undertakings.

34. Deadlines. For good cause shown, the Commission staff may extend any of the procedural dates relating to the undertakings. Deadlines for procedural dates shall be counted in calendar days, except that if the last day falls on a weekend or federal holiday, the next business day shall be considered to be the last day.

35. Certification. SCUSA shall certify, in writing, compliance with the undertakings set forth above. The certification shall identify the undertakings, provide written evidence of compliance in the form of a narrative, and be supported by exhibits sufficient to demonstrate compliance. The Commission staff may make reasonable requests for further evidence of compliance, and Respondent agrees to provide such evidence. The certification and supporting material shall be submitted to Thomas P. Smith, Jr., Associate Regional Director, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 Pearl Street, Suite 20-100, New York, New York 10004-2616, with a copy to the Office of Chief Counsel of the Enforcement Division, no later than sixty (60) days from the date of the completion of the undertakings.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent SCUSA’s Offer.
Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 15(b) and 21C of the Exchange Act, it is hereby
ORDERED that:

A. Respondent SCUSA cease and desist from committing or causing any violations
and any future violations of Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 17a-4 thereunder.

B. Respondent SCUSA is censured.

C. Respondent SCUSA shall comply with the undertakings enumerated in
paragraphs 29 to 35 above.

D. Respondent SCUSA shall, within 14 days of the entry of this Order, pay a civil
money penalty in the amount of $7,500,000 to the Securities and Exchange Commission for
transfer to the general fund of the United States Treasury, subject to Exchange Act Section
21F(g)(3). If timely payment is not made, additional interest shall accrue pursuant to 31 U.S.C.
§ 3717.

Payment must be made in one of the following ways:

(1) Respondent may transmit payment electronically to the Commission,
which will provide detailed ACH transfer/Fedwire instructions upon
request;

(2) Respondent may make direct payment from a bank account via Pay.gov
through the SEC website at http://www.sec.gov/about/offices/ofm.htm; or

(3) Respondent may pay by certified check, bank cashier’s check, or United
States postal money order, made payable to the Securities and Exchange
Commission and hand-delivered or mailed to:

Enterprise Services Center
Accounts Receivable Branch
HQ Bldg., Room 181, AMZ-341
6500 South MacArthur Boulevard
Oklahoma City, OK 73169

Payments by check or money order must be accompanied by a cover letter identifying
SCUSA as a Respondent in these proceedings, and the file number of these proceedings; a copy
of the cover letter and check or money order must be sent to Thomas P. Smith, Jr., Associate
Regional Director, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 Pearl Street, Suite 20-100, New
York, New York 10004-2616.

E. Amounts ordered to be paid as civil money penalties pursuant to this Order shall
be treated as penalties paid to the government for all purposes, including all tax purposes. To
preserve the deterrent effect of the civil penalty, Respondent agrees that in any Related Investor
Action, it shall not argue that it is entitled to, nor shall it benefit by, offset or reduction of any
award of compensatory damages by the amount of any part of Respondent’s payment of a civil penalty in this action (“ Penalty Offset”). If the court in any Related Investor Action grants such a Penalty Offset, Respondent agrees that it shall, within 30 days after entry of a final order granting the Penalty Offset, notify the Commission’s counsel in this action and pay the amount of the Penalty Offset to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such a payment shall not be deemed an additional civil penalty and shall not be deemed to change the amount of the civil penalty imposed in this proceeding. For purposes of this paragraph, a “Related Investor Action” means a private damages action brought against Respondent by or on behalf of one or more investors based on substantially the same facts as alleged in the Order instituted by the Commission in this proceeding.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman
Secretary