UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Release No. 87116 / September 25, 2019

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-19512

In the Matter of

THOMAS A. LEWIS,

Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,
MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING
REMEDIAL SANCTIONS

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against Thomas A. Lewis ("Lewis" or "Respondent").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission's jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of these proceedings and the findings contained in paragraph III.2. below, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent's Offer, the Commission finds that:

- 1. Lewis, age 54, currently resides in Albany, Kentucky. He serves as Chief Executive Officer of AmeraTex Energy, Inc., Lewis Oil Corporation, and Lewis Oil Company. Lewis previously held Series 22 and Series 63 securities licenses, but those licenses lapsed in 2009. From May 2007 to March 2008, Lewis was a registered representative associated with broker-dealers registered with the Commission.
- 2. On June 18, 2018, a judgment was entered by consent against Lewis, permanently enjoining him from, among other things, future violations of Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), and Sections 10(b) and 15(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, in the civil action entitled *Securities and Exchange Commission v.*AmeraTex Energy, Inc., et al., Civil Action Number 4:18-CV-129, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (Sherman Division).
- 3. The Commission's Complaint alleged, among other things, that Lewis, through three entities he owned and controlled, raised approximately \$11.7 million from more than 150 investors in at least 36 states by selling securities in the form of limited partnership interests in oiland-gas drilling and operations programs in Kentucky. The Complaint also alleged that Lewis: (a) supervised the sales staff; (b) regularly solicited investors to discuss the benefits of the investments and the likelihood of earning profits; (c) led meetings with sales staff to discuss the details and selling points of offerings; (d) drafted and edited offering documents; (e) distributed sales materials to prospective investors; (f) served as a "closer" and otherwise participated in closing calls with investors; (g) received commissions for selling interests in the offerings; and (h) drafted and edited update letters and other communications to investors. The Complaint further alleged that Lewis made a variety of materially misleading statements and omissions concerning how investor proceeds would be used, the entities' financial statements, the location and production of oil-andgas wells, to whom sales commissions would be paid, the comingling and loaning of investor funds, Lewis's supposed military service, and significant related-party interests and involvement. The Complaint also alleged that Lewis and the other defendants engaged in a continuous scheme to misappropriate investor monies to enrich themselves and others, and that Lewis personally received almost \$2 million of investor funds. The Complaint also alleged that Lewis employed Internet search suppression services to hide online investor and employee complaints, and paid undisclosed sales commissions through hidden entities. Further, the Complaint alleged that none of the securities offerings were registered with the Commission, and none of the individuals who were paid to sell the securities, including Lewis, were licensed or associated with registered brokers.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent Lewis's Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act, that Respondent Lewis be, and hereby is, barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and

Pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act Respondent Lewis be, and hereby is, barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer or issuer for purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, compliance with the Commission's order and payment of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement or civil penalties ordered by a Court against the Respondent in any action brought by the Commission; (b) any disgorgement amounts ordered against the Respondent for which the Commission waived payment; (c) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (d) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (e) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Vanessa A. Countryman Secretary