## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Release No. 72188 / May 19, 2014

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-15751

In the Matter of

**Matthew Scott Menies**,

Respondent.

ORDER MAKING FINDINGS AND IMPOSING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest to accept the Offer of Settlement submitted by Matthew Scott Menies ("Menies" or "Respondent") pursuant to Rule 240(a) of the Rules of Practice of the Commission, 17 C.F.R. § 201.240(a), for the purpose of settlement of these proceedings initiated against Respondent on February 12, 2014, pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act").

II.

Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Making Findings and Imposing Remedial Sanctions Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Order"), as set forth below.

## III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent's Offer, the Commission finds that:

1. From April 2001 to March 2008, Menies was a registered representative associated with Joseph Stevens & Co., Inc., which at the time of his association was a broker-dealer registered with the Commission. Joseph Stevens & Co. ceased to be registered with the Commission as of August 2008. Menies, age 41, is a resident of New York.

- 2. On August 2, 2011, before the New York Supreme Court in People v. Matthew Menies, Case No. 02394-2009, Menies pleaded guilty to three felony counts of securities fraud in violation of New York General Business Law § 352-c(5), one felony count of grand larceny in the third degree in violation of New York Penal Law § 155.35, and two felony counts of criminal possession of stolen property in the third degree in violation of New York Penal Law § 165.50. On November 4, 2011, Menies was sentenced in that proceeding to five years of probation and ordered to pay \$115,718 in restitution.
- 3. The counts of securities fraud to which Menies pleaded guilty alleged, among other things, that between January 2003 and June 2005, Menies engaged in a scheme at Joseph Stevens & Co. with the intent to defraud at least ten persons by false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises and so obtained property from at least one such person while engaged in inducing and promoting the issuance, distribution, exchange, sale, negotiation, and purchase of securities. The count of grand larceny to which Menies pleaded guilty alleged, among other things, that between March 2003 and May 2005, Menies stole money in excess of \$3,000 from an individual.

## IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate and in the public interest to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent's Offer.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Section 15(b)(6) of the Exchange Act that Respondent Menies be, and hereby is:

barred from association with any broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and barred from participating in any offering of a penny stock, including: acting as a promoter, finder, consultant, agent, or other person who engages in activities with a broker, dealer, or issuer for the purposes of the issuance or trading in any penny stock, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock.

Any reapplication for association by the Respondent will be subject to the applicable laws and regulations governing the reentry process, and reentry may be conditioned upon a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the satisfaction of any or all of the following: (a) any disgorgement ordered against the Respondent, whether or not the Commission has fully or partially waived payment of such disgorgement; (b) any arbitration award related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; (c) any self-regulatory organization arbitration award to a customer, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order; and (d) any restitution order by a self-regulatory organization, whether or not related to the conduct that served as the basis for the Commission order.

By the Commission.

Jill M. Peterson Assistant Secretary