# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Release No. 66025/December 22, 2011

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING

File No. 3-14601

In the Matter of

ORDER MAKING FINDINGS AND

**REVOKING REGISTRATIONS** 

MEDARTS MEDICAL SYSTEM, INC., and NEW FIBER CLOTH TECHNOLOGY, INC.

(f/k/a PARK HILL CAPITAL III) BY DEFAULT

### **SUMMARY**

This Order revokes the registrations of the registered securities of Medarts Medical System, Inc. (Medarts), and New Fiber Cloth Technology, Inc. (f/k/a Park Hill Capital III) (New Fiber) (collectively, Respondents). The revocations are based on Respondents' repeated failure to file required periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission).

#### I. BACKGROUND

The Commission initiated this proceeding on October 24, 2011, with an Order Instituting Proceedings (OIP), pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). The OIP alleges that each Respondent is a corporation with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act and that each has repeatedly failed to file with the Commission annual and quarterly reports in compliance with the Exchange Act. Medarts, a Delaware corporation, was served with the OIP in accordance with 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii) and 8 Del. C. § 321. New Fiber, a Nevada corporation, was served with the OIP in accordance with 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii) and Nev. Rev. Stat. §§ 78.090, .750(2).<sup>2</sup> To date, neither has filed an Answer to the OIP, due ten days after service. See OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. § 201.220(b). Thus, Respondents have failed to answer or otherwise to defend the proceeding within the meaning of 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(a)(2). Accordingly, Respondents are in default, and the undersigned finds that the allegations in the OIP are true as to them. See OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§

Medarts was served with the OIP by personal service on its Delaware registered agent on November 2, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> New Fiber, a revoked Nevada corporation, was served by USPS certified mail, return receipt requested. Its Nevada registered agent was served on October 28, 2011, and service was perfected by service on its last three officers and directors on December 2, 2011.

201.155(a), .220(f). Official notice has been taken of the Commission's public official records concerning Respondents, pursuant to 17 C.F.R. § 201.323.

#### II. FINDINGS OF FACT

Medarts (CIK No. 1309054)<sup>3</sup> is a void Delaware corporation located in Xi'an, Peoples Republic of China, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). Medarts is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-QSB<sup>4</sup> for the period ended July 31, 2006, which reported a net loss of over \$3,200 for the prior nine months.

New Fiber (CIK No. 1107564) is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Wujiang City, China, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). New Fiber is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2007.

## III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By failing to file required annual and quarterly reports, Respondents violated Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13.

#### IV. SANCTION

Revocation of the registrations of the registered securities of Respondents will serve the public interest and the protection of investors, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Exchange Act. Revocation will help ensure that the corporate shell is not later put to an illicit use involving publicly traded securities manipulated to the detriment of market participants. Further, revocation accords with Commission sanction considerations set forth in Gateway Int'l Holdings, Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 53907 (May 31, 2006), 88 SEC Docket 430, 438-39 (citing Steadman v. SEC, 603 F.2d 1126, 1139-40 (5th Cir. 1979)), and with the sanctions imposed in similar cases in which corporations violated Exchange Act Section 13(a) by failing to file required annual and quarterly reports. See Cobalis Corp., Exchange Act Release No. 64813 (July 6, 2011); Nature's Sunshine Products, Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 59268 (Jan. 21, 2009), 95 SEC Docket 13488; Impax Lab., Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 57864 (May 23, 2008), 93 SEC Docket 6241; America's Sports Voice, Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 55867 (June 6, 2007), 90 SEC Docket 879, recon. denied, Exchange Act Release No. 55867 (June 6, 2007), 90 SEC Docket 2419; Eagletech Commc'ns, Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 54095 (July 5, 2006), 88 SEC Docket 1225.

The CIK number is a unique identifier for each corporation in the Commission's EDGAR database. The user can retrieve filings of a corporation by using its CIK number.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Forms 10-KSB and 10-QSB could be filed, in lieu of Forms 10-K and 10-Q, by a "small business issuer," pursuant to 17 C.F.R. §§ 228.10-.703 (Regulation S-B). These "SB" forms are no longer in use. See Smaller Reporting Company Regulatory Relief and Simplification, 73 Fed. Reg. 934 (Jan. 4, 2008) (eliminating Regulation S-B and phasing out the forms associated with it, while adopting a different reporting regime for "smaller reporting companies").

Respondents' violations were recurrent, egregious, and deprived the investing public of current and accurate financial information on which to make informed decisions.

Failure to file periodic reports violates a crucial provision of the Exchange Act. The purpose of the periodic reporting requirements is to publicly disclose current, accurate financial information about an issuer so that investors may make informed decisions:

The reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is the primary tool which Congress has fashioned for the protection of investors from negligent, careless, and deliberate misrepresentations in the sale of stock and securities. Congress has extended the reporting requirements even to companies which are "relatively unknown and insubstantial."

<u>SEC v. Beisinger Indus. Corp.</u>, 552 F.2d 15, 18 (1st Cir. 1977) (quoting legislative history); <u>accord e-Smart Techs.</u>, Inc., Exchange Act Release No. 50514 (Oct. 12, 2004), 57 S.E.C. 964, 968-69. The Commission has warned that "many publicly traded companies that fail to file on a timely basis are 'shell companies' and, as such, attractive vehicles for fraudulent stock manipulation schemes." e-Smart Techs., Inc., 57 S.E.C. at 968-69 n.14.

#### V. ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. § 78l(j):

the REGISTRATION of the registered securities of Medarts Medical System, Inc., is REVOKED; and

the REGISTRATION of the registered securities of New Fiber Cloth Technology, Inc. (f/k/a Park Hill Capital III), is REVOKED.

Carol Fox Foelak Administrative Law Judge