# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Release No. 61011 / November 16, 2009

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-13686

In the Matter of **ORDER INSTITUTING** 

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS **PURSUANT TO SECTION 15(b) OF** DAMIR LUKOVIC,

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT

Respondent. OF 1934 AND NOTICE OF HEARING

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against Damir Lukovic ("Lukovic" or "Respondent").

II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

#### A. RESPONDENT

Lukovic, age 33, is a resident of Clifton, New Jersey. From at least October 2006 through October 2007, Respondent was the president of Thompson Price Holding Inc. ("Thompson Price"). Thompson Price acted as a securities broker-dealer with an office in New York, New York. Neither Lukovic nor Thompson Price were ever registered with the Commission as a broker or dealer.

#### В. ENTRY OF THE INJUNCTION

On November 4, 2009, a final judgment was entered against Lukovic, permanently enjoining him from future violations of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") and Sections 10(b) and 15(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, in the civil action entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Thompson Price Holding Inc. et al., Civil Action 07 Civ. 9525 (RMB), in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

3. The Commission's complaint alleged that Lukovic and Thompson Price defrauded unsuspecting investors in the United States by inducing investors to send checks purportedly for the purchase of shares in the initial public offerings of several Australian companies. Lukovic, using the alias "Greg Thompson," made false and misleading statements to prospective investors, including that: (i) Thompson Price had received an "allocation" of shares in the IPOs; and (ii) Thompson Price would use investors' funds to purchase IPO stock. Thompson Price was not an underwriter or a broker-dealer. It had no affiliation with any of the Australian companies or their underwriters. It never received any allocation of stock from those IPOs.

### III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

- A. Whether the allegations set forth in Section II are true and, in connection therewith, to afford Respondent an opportunity to establish any defenses to such allegations; and
- B. What, if any, remedial action is appropriate in the public interest against Respondent pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act.

## IV.

IT IS ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.110.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within twenty (20) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.220.

If Respondent fails to file the directed answer, or fails to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondent may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against him upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f) and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f) and 201.310.

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondent personally or by certified mail.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 210 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice.

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not "rule making" within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Elizabeth M. Murphy Secretary