

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
July 6, 2007

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-12679

In the Matter of

Warren R. Fellus,

Respondent.

**ORDER INSTITUTING ADMINISTRATIVE
PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION
15(b) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934 AND NOTICE OF HEARING**

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") against Warren R. Fellus ("Respondent" or "Fellus").

II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

A. RESPONDENT

1. From February 2002 through May 2003, Fellus was a proprietary trader at A.B. Watley, Inc., a day trading firm registered with the Commission as a broker-dealer. From May 2003 through December 2003, Fellus was the head of the proprietary trading desk at Millennium Brokerage, LLC, a broker-dealer registered with the Commission. From December 1, 2003 through December 31, 2003, Fellus was a proprietary trader at E*Trade Professional Trading, LLC, a broker-dealer registered with the Commission. For a portion of the time in which he engaged in the conduct underlying the information described below, Respondent had a Series 7 license and was associated with broker-dealers registered with the Commission.

B. RESPONDENTS CRIMINAL PLEA

1. On July 8, 2005, Fellus pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy to commit securities fraud, a felony, in a sealed proceeding in the U.S. District Court in the Eastern District of New York. U.S. v. Fellus, No. 05-CR426 (ILG) (E.D.N.Y. July 8, 2005).

2. The count of the criminal information in the case in which Fellus pleaded guilty alleged, inter alia, that while associated with a broker-dealer, Fellus participated in a scheme to use confidential material information improperly obtained from broker-dealers' "squawk boxes" to trade ahead of the broker-dealers' institutional orders.

III.

In view of the allegations made by the Division of Enforcement, the Commission deems it necessary and appropriate in the public interest that public administrative proceedings be instituted to determine:

A. Whether the allegations set forth in Section II are true and, in connection therewith, to afford Respondent an opportunity to establish any defenses to such allegations; and

B. What, if any, remedial action is appropriate in the public interest against Respondent pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act.

IV.

IT IS ORDERED that a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in Section III hereof shall be convened at a time and place to be fixed, and before an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order as provided by Rule 110 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.110.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within twenty (20) days after service of this Order, as provided by Rule 220 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.220.

If Respondent fails to file the directed answer, or fails to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondent may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against him upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221(f) and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f) and 201.310.

This Order shall be served forthwith upon Respondent personally or by certified mail.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 210 days from the date of service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 360(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice.

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice. Since this proceeding is not “rule making” within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

Nancy M. Morris
Secretary