I. INTRODUCTION

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) is a United States agency with a mission to protect investors, maintain fair, orderly, and efficient markets, and facilitate capital formation. In addition to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that created the agency, the SEC enforces the Securities Act of 1933, the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Sarbanes–Oxley Act of 2002, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, and other statutes.

It is the responsibility of the Commission to:

- interpret federal securities laws;
- issue new rules and amend existing rules;
- oversee the inspection of securities firms, brokers, investment advisers, and ratings agencies;
- oversee private regulatory organizations in the securities, accounting, and auditing fields; and
- coordinate U.S. securities regulation with federal, state, and foreign authorities.

The SEC consists of five presidentially-appointed Commissioners, with staggered five-year terms. One of them is designated by the President as Chairman of the Commission — the commission’s chief executive. By law, no more than three of the Commissioners may belong to the same political party, ensuring non-partisanship. The commission’s functional responsibilities are organized into five divisions and 24 offices, each of which is headquartered in Washington, DC. The Commission's approximately 4,500 staff are located in Washington, D.C. and in 11 Regional Offices throughout the country.

The Commission convenes regularly at meetings that are open to the public and the news media unless the discussion pertains to confidential subjects, such as whether to begin an enforcement investigation.

Section 743 of Division C of the FY2010 Consolidated Appropriations Act P.L. 111-117, requires civilian agencies to prepare annual inventory of their service contracts. Inventories are required to be submitted in accordance with the FY2021 Service Contract Inventory Instructions for Agencies. In addition, agencies are to perform an analysis of their data in their inventories for the purpose of determining if contract labor is being used in an appropriate and effective manner and if the mix of federal employees and contractors in the agency is effectively balanced.

In accordance with OMB guidance, agency reviews are to give priority consideration to agency use of contracted (a) professional and management services and (b) information technology support services. Review of professional and management services should include acquisition support and an appropriate sampling of policy and program
management and development services. OMB identified the Special Interest Functions listed below (Table 1) for heightened management consideration. This was based on concerns of increased risk of losing control of mission and operations as identified through a review of reports issued in recent years, such as by the Government Accountability Office, the Commission on Wartime Contracting, agency Inspectors General, Congressional Committees, and the Acquisition Law Advisory Panel (also referred to as the “SARA Panel”), as well as by OMB’s own analysis. In addition, each agency is required to identify the Top Ten Product Service Codes (PSC) that accounted for the agency’s greatest percentage of spending (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC</th>
<th>Product or Service Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B505</td>
<td>COST BENEFIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D302</td>
<td>SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D307</td>
<td>STRATEGY AND ARCHITECTURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D310</td>
<td>CYBER SECURITY AND DATA BACKUP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D314</td>
<td>SYSTEM ACQUISITION SUPPORT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R406</td>
<td>POLICY REVIEW/DEVELOPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R407</td>
<td>PROGRAM EVALUATION SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R408</td>
<td>PROGRAM MANAGEMENT/SUPPORT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R409</td>
<td>PROGRAM REVIEW/DEVELOPMENT SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R410</td>
<td>SUPPORT - PROFESSIONAL: PROGRAM EVALUATION/REVIEW/DEVELOPMENT (COMBINES R407 &amp; R409)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R413</td>
<td>SPECIFICATIONS DEVELOPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R414</td>
<td>SYSTEMS ENGINEERING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R423</td>
<td>INTELLIGENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R425</td>
<td>ENGINEERING/TECHNICAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R497</td>
<td>PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R707</td>
<td>CONTRACT/PROCUREMENT/ACQUISITION SUPPORT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R499</td>
<td>SUPPORT- PROFESSIONAL: OTHER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R699</td>
<td>SUPPORT- ADMINISTRATIVE: OTHER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R799</td>
<td>SUPPORT- MANAGEMENT: OTHER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Special Interest Items
The analysis in this report provides a listing of the required service contracts with contract-related information as required in OMB’s Office of Federal Procurement Policy’s (OFPP) guidance. This analysis portion of the report is divided into four main parts:

1) **Scope** – Summarizes the special interest functions and top ten functions studied, the dollars obligated to those specific Product and Service Codes (PSCs) in FY 2021, and the rationale for focusing on the identified functions.

2) **Methodology** – Discusses the methodology used by the SEC to support the analysis, including selection of questionnaire participants and questions included.

3) **Findings** – Summarizes the findings, including a brief discussion of the extent to which the desired outcomes described in section 743(e)(2) are being met. After analyzing key factors included in the Service Contract Inventory questionnaire, concrete conclusions are provided in order to continue setting effective priorities that align with the Agency’s strategic goals.

4) **Actions Taken or Planned** – Explains the steps the SEC has taken or plans to take to address any identified weaknesses or challenges.

Table 2: SEC’s Top Ten PSCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC</th>
<th>Product or Service Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D302</td>
<td>IT AND TELECOM- SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D301</td>
<td>IT AND TELECOM- FACILITY OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R408</td>
<td>SUPPORT- PROFESSIONAL: PROGRAM MANAGEMENT/SUPPORT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R499</td>
<td>SUPPORT- PROFESSIONAL: OTHER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D318</td>
<td>IT AND TELECOM- INTEGRATED HARDWARE/SOFTWARE/SERVICES SOLUTIONS, PREDOMINANTLY SERVICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D317</td>
<td>IT AND TELECOM- WEB-BASED SUBSCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R424</td>
<td>SUPPORT- PROFESSIONAL: EXPERT WITNESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D399</td>
<td>IT AND TELECOM- OTHER IT AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA01</td>
<td>IT AND TELECOM- BUSINESS APPLICATION/APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT SERVICEC (LABOR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA10</td>
<td>IT AND TELECOM- BUSINESS APPLICATION/APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT SOFTWARE AS A SERVICE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis in this report provides a listing of the required service contracts with contract-related information as required in OMB’s Office of Federal Procurement Policy’s (OFPP) guidance. This analysis portion of the report is divided into four main parts:

1) **Scope** – Summarizes the special interest functions and top ten functions studied, the dollars obligated to those specific Product and Service Codes (PSCs) in FY 2021, and the rationale for focusing on the identified functions.

2) **Methodology** – Discusses the methodology used by the SEC to support the analysis, including selection of questionnaire participants and questions included.

3) **Findings** – Summarizes the findings, including a brief discussion of the extent to which the desired outcomes described in section 743(e)(2) are being met. After analyzing key factors included in the Service Contract Inventory questionnaire, concrete conclusions are provided in order to continue setting effective priorities that align with the Agency’s strategic goals.

4) **Actions Taken or Planned** – Explains the steps the SEC has taken or plans to take to address any identified weaknesses or challenges.
## II. SCOPE

The SEC selected three (3) PSCs for review. None of the selected PSCs were included in the FY 2020 review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSC</th>
<th>Product or Service Description</th>
<th>Dollars Obligated in FY 2021</th>
<th>Rationale for Selection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DA01</td>
<td>IT and Telecom – Business Application/ Application Development Support Services (Labor)</td>
<td>$30,861,716.00</td>
<td>PSC selected because it is a new PSC which consolidates and replaces other IT/Telecom PSCs. It is also the fifth largest spend with 5% of SEC obligations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D317</td>
<td>IT and Telecom – Web-Based Subscription</td>
<td>$25,318,832.00</td>
<td>PSC selected because it is the sixth largest spend with 4% of SEC obligations. It has not been reviewed previously.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R707</td>
<td>Support – Management: Contract/ Procurement/ Acquisition Support</td>
<td>$1,300,000.00</td>
<td>PSC selected because it has not been reviewed since 2015, and it is a special interest function.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: SEC’s Service Contract Inventory Detail

The SEC reviewed 100% of the contract actions under these PSCs active in FY2021, following the methodology prescribed below.

## III. METHODOLOGY

There were a total of 136 contracts that fell under the 3 PSCs selected for the SEC’s 2021 Service Contract Inventory. These contracts were first reviewed to determine:

- If there was performance on the contract in FY21,
- If the contract had subsequently been closed out, and
- Who is the COR.

As a result of the initial analysis, 9 contracts were omitted from further review because no or very little work was performed during FY 2021. Next, the statements of work for the remaining 127 contracts in the inventory were reviewed to determine if there was any ambiguous language that might cause uncertainty as to whether inherently governmental functions were being performed.
Finally, the SEC selected a non-representative sample of two contracts in which work was performed during FY 2021. The contracts included in this sample were selected based on the statement of work review, to include a variety of different services including those most likely to include performance of inherently governmental functions, critical functions, closely associated functions, or otherwise require a higher degree of management and oversight. The SEC Office of Acquisitions’ Advancing Excellence Team analyzed the contract files to address the questions listed below pursuant to the OMB’s mandate:

1. Inherently Governmental Functions:
   a. Are Contractor Personnel (CP) performing duties such as: providing legal advice or development, interpretations and application of regulations and statues to agency employees, determination of budget policy, guidance, and strategy or making policy decisions for the Agency?

2. Critical Functions:
   a. Are CP performing critical functions under this contract? If so, please explain functions performed.
      i. Are CP involved in such a way that could affect the ability of the agency to maintain control of its mission and operations?
   b. Are there specific safeguards and monitoring systems in place for those contracts under which critical functions are being performed to ensure these functions do not become inherently governmental?

3. Closely Associated Functions:
   a. Are CP performing the same or similar function(s) as agency staff or closely associated functions? If so, please explain functions performed.
   b. Are there specific safeguards and monitoring systems in place for those contracts under which closely associated functions are being performed to ensure these functions do not become inherently governmental?

4. Scope of Work:
   a. If a modification to the scope of work has taken place:
      i. Was an in scope determination made?
      ii. Were the changes significant enough to warrant OGC involvement or reaccomplishment of a Pre Award Service Contract Checklist?
   b. Are there specific safeguards and monitoring systems in place to ensure that work being performed by contractors has not changed or expanded to become an inherently governmental function?

5. Management and Oversight of Service Contracts:
   a. Are there sufficient resources available to you as a COR in order to effectively manage and administer service contracts?
   b. How many CP are associated with this award?
IV. FINDINGS

The SEC conducted the inventory analyses in accordance with section 743(e) to ensure that:

1. The SEC neither enters into nor has the authority to enter into personal services contracts;

2. The agency is giving special management attention, as set forth in Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 37.114, to functions that are closely associated with inherently governmental functions;

3. The agency is not using contractor employees to perform inherently governmental functions;

4. The agency has specific safeguards and monitoring systems in place to ensure that work being performed by contractors has not changed or expanded during performance to become an inherently governmental function;

5. The agency is not using contractor employees to perform critical functions in such a way that could affect the ability of the agency to maintain control of its mission and operations; and

6. There are sufficient internal agency resources to manage and oversee contracts effectively.

Additionally, the following findings were determined from analyzing two contract files selected for further review:

1. Contractor Personnel (CP) are not performing duties such as providing legal advice or the development, interpretations and application of regulations and statues to agency employees, determination of budget policy, guidance, and strategy or making policy decisions for the Agency.

2. CP are not performing critical functions under these contracts.

3. In limited circumstances, CP are performing the same or similar function(s) as agency staff or closely associated functions on some contracts.

4. Specific safeguards and monitoring systems are in place for those contracts under which closely associated functions are being performed to ensure these functions do not become inherently governmental.

5. There were no changes significant enough to warrant OGC involvement or reaccomplishment of a Pre Award Service Contract Checklist.

6. There are specific safeguards and monitoring systems in place to ensure that work being performed by contractors has not changed or expanded to become an inherently governmental function. Work is reviewed by SEC personnel to ensure
there are no changes or expansions, and any requests for new work are validated against the scope of the contract. A change request and change proposal process are in place to ensure that any requested changes are reviewed by the Program Manager, the COR, and the CO.

7. Overall, there are sufficient resources available to the COR in order to effectively manage and administer service contracts.

8. There are a total of 8 full time equivalent CP associated with the 2 task orders for which further review was conducted.

**Agency Success**

From the analysis of the survey responses received as part of the FY21 Inventory, the SEC has determined that:

1. Contract labor is being used in an appropriate and effective manner and the mix of Federal employees and Contractors in the Agency are effectively balanced.

2. Service contracts are highly compliant in most areas, with contracts generally entered into and performed according to applicable laws.

3. Contractor employees are not being used to perform critical functions in such a way that could affect the ability of the agency to maintain control of its mission and operations.

4. Overall, the government possesses the expertise to perform oversight of the contractor's performance.

5. Adequate controls are currently in place to ensure that contractors are not performing inherently governmental functions and that contractors’ performance under their contracts is satisfactory.

**V. SERVICE CONTRACT REPORTING**

The SEC tracks its contractors’ response rate for the annual service contract reporting requirement in the System for Acquisition Management (SAM). Contractors holding service contracts are required to enter their invoicing data. The eTools team works with the contracting officers and contract specialists to ensure contractors are reporting or to determine any reasons why contractors may not have reported their contracts.

Analysis was conducted based on data gathered and disseminated by OMB. The SEC’s FY22 compliance rate was 86%. This data will be used to prepare next year’s Service Contract Inventory Report.

**VI. ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED**

The SEC is committed to continued improvements in how the Agency oversees service contracts. The Office of Acquisitions (OA) has initiatives underway aimed at ensuring that service contracts are managed effectively and that the potential for performing inherently
governmental function is avoided.

In continuing with the SEC’s focus on Contract Management Excellence (CME), the Office of Acquisitions accomplished the following:

• Received approximately 2,000 contract deliverables uploaded to the SEC’s electronic contract file system by contractors using our new automated centralized contract deliverables mailbox.

• Completed effort to conduct 800+ independent comprehensive contract file reviews, including the contents of COR files.

• Provided 31 Professional development opportunities including COR Roundtables to foster knowledge sharing, eTools training sessions, CPARS workshops, and agile contracting trainings.

**VII: RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL**

The SEC has designated the Director of Acquisitions, Vance Cathell, Senior Management Official, as the responsible official for ensuring appropriate internal management attention is given to the development and analysis of service contract inventories. The SEC’s Senior Management Official ensures that Agency policies, procedures, and training are in place to assist with continuous improvement of the Agency's compliance with compiling, reviewing, and reporting on service contract inventories.

___________________________________
Vance Cathell, Director OA

_____________________________________
Mark Reinhold, Chief Human Capital Officer (Acting)