

FINAL ORDER – THIS PRELIMINARY SUMMARY DISPOSITION BECAME THE FINAL ORDER OF THE COMMISSION ON JULY 28, 2025 AS TO CLAIMANT 1, PURSUANT TO RULE 21F-18(b)(4) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Notice of Covered Action: [REDACTED]

OWB Reference No. 07282025

**PRELIMINARY SUMMARY DISPOSITION
OF THE OFFICE OF THE WHISTLEBLOWER**

In response to the above-referenced Notice of Covered Action, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) received a whistleblower award claim from [REDACTED] (“Claimant 1”) for the above-referenced matter. Pursuant to Section 21F of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 21F-18 promulgated thereunder, the Office of the Whistleblower has evaluated the above claim in accordance with the criteria set forth in Rules 21F-1 through 21F-18 and has designated Claimant 1’s award application for resolution through the summary disposition process.¹

The Office of the Whistleblower has preliminarily determined to recommend that the Commission deny Claimant 1’s award claim for the reasons stated below.

Claimant 1 did not provide information to the Commission that led to the successful enforcement of the referenced Covered Action within the meaning of Section 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rules 21F-3(a)(2) and (3) and 21F(c) thereunder. Claimant 1’s information to the Commission did not cause the Commission to (a) commence an examination, open or reopen an investigation, or inquire into different conduct as part of a current Commission examination or investigation, and (b) thereafter bring an action based, in whole or in part, on conduct that was the subject of Claimant 1’s information; or (2) significantly contribute to the success of a Commission judicial or administrative enforcement action under Rule 21F-4(c)(2) of the Exchange Act.

The Covered Action investigation was not opened because of information from Claimant 1. Further, Claimant 1’s information was neither used in, nor did it have any impact on, the investigation or resulting Covered Action. Rather, Enforcement staff responsible for the Covered Action investigation found Claimant 1’s tip to be non-specific, and not particularly helpful to the investigation, concluding that Claimant 1’s information did not advance the investigation nor did it provide any additive value to the bringing of the Covered Action.

By: Office of the Whistleblower

Date: June 26, 2025

¹ See Exchange Act Rule 21F-18(a)(1)-(6).