FINAL ORDER-THIS PRELIMINARY SUMMARY DISPOSITION BECAME THE FINAL ORDER OF THE COMMISSION ON SEPTEMBER 24, 2025, AS TO CLAIMANT 1 PURSUANT TO RULE 21F-18(b)(4) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Notice of Covered Action:	
Reference No: 09242025	

PRELIMINARY SUMMARY DISPOSITIONS OF THE OFFICE OF THE WHISTLEBLOWER

The Office of the Whistleblower has preliminarily determined to recommend that the Commission deny the above award claims for the reasons stated below.²

Claimants did not provide information to the Commission that led to the successful enforcement of the referenced Covered Action within the meaning of Section 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rules 21F-3(a)(2) and (3) and 21F(c) thereunder. Claimants' information to the Commission did not cause the Commission to (a) commence an examination, open or reopen an investigation, or inquire into different conduct as part of a current Commission examination or investigation, and (b) thereafter bring an action based, in whole or in part, on conduct that was the subject of Claimants' information; or (2) significantly contribute to the success of a Commission judicial or administrative enforcement action under Rule 21F-4(c)(2) of the Exchange Act.³

¹ See Exchange Act Rule 21F-18(a)(1)-(6).

² To the extent Claimants applied for an award in a related action, because Claimants are not eligible for an award in an SEC Covered Action, Claimants are not eligible for an award in connection with any related action. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 78u-6(b); Exchange Act Rule 21F-3(b), (b)(1); Rule 21F-4(g) and (f); Rule 21F-11(a); *see also* Order Determining Whistleblower Award Claim, Release No. 34-86902 (Sept. 9, 2019).

³ In determining whether the information "significantly contributed" to the success of the action, the Commission will consider whether the information was "meaningful" in that it "made a substantial and important contribution" to the success of the covered action. For example, the Commission would consider a claimant's information to have significantly contributed to the success of an enforcement action if it allowed the Commission to bring the action in significantly less time or with significantly fewer resources, or to bring additional successful claims or successful claims against additional individuals or entities. Order Determining Whistleblower Award Claims, Release No. 34-90922 (Jan. 14, 2021) at 4; see also Order Determining Whistleblower Award Claims, Release No. 34-85412 (Mar. 26, 2019) at 9 (same).

FINAL ORDER-THIS PRELIMINARY SUMMARY DISPOSITION BECAME THE FINAL ORDER OF THE COMMISSION ON SEPTEMBER 24, 2025, AS TO CLAIMANT 1 PURSUANT TO RULE 21F-18(b)(4) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Notice of (Covered Action:	
Deference	No: 09242025	

Enforcement staff opened the Covered Action investigation based on publicly-available information they discovered on their own initiative and not in response to information provided by the Claimants.⁴

While Enforcement staff responsible for the Covered Action received Claimant 1's information and had communications with him/her during the course of the investigation, the information did not cause staff to inquire into different conduct or significantly contribute to the investigation or Covered Action. Claimant 1's information did not substantially advance the investigation because it predated the timeframe that was the focus of the investigation or was otherwise duplicative of information staff had already obtained prior to receiving it from Claimant 1. As such, Claimant 1 did not provide any information that was used in or that had any impact on the investigation or resulting Covered Action.⁵



By: Office of the Whistleblower

Date: August 8, 2025

⁴ While Claimant 1 contends that he/she provided information to the (collectively, "Other Government Agencies") in Enforcement staff did not open the Covered Action investigation based on information received from Claimant 1 or information from the Other Government Agencies.

⁵ Additionally, Claimant 1 failed to timely file a Form TCR or sign the requisite whistleblower declaration as required under Exchange Act Rules 21F-9(a) and (b). Claimant 1 provided information to Commission staff as a witness in connection with interviews that occurred in but did not submit a TCR to the Commission until . Nor does the record support the conclusion that Claimant 1 would be eligible for the automatic waiver under Exchange Act Rule 21F-9(e) because the record does not unambiguously show that he/she would be otherwise meritorious, and Claimant 1 was on constructive notice of the TCR filing requirement by virtue of the fact that he/she had legal counsel representing him/her at the interviews.