UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Administrative Proceedings Rulings
Release No. 6302 / November 6, 2018

Administrative Proceeding
File No. 3-18106

| | |
|---|---|
| In the Matter of<br><br>**China Du Kang Co., Ltd.** | **Order Finding Service<br>and Directing Respondent<br>to Show Cause** |

On August 8, 2017, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an order instituting proceedings (OIP) against Respondent under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. After the Commission vacated a prior initial decision, this proceeding was reassigned to me on September 12, 2018. *Pending Admin. Proc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 5955, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2264, at *2–3 (ALJ). The parties were provided the opportunity to propose how further proceedings should be conducted by October 9, 2018. *China Du Kang Co.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 6028, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2454 (ALJ Sept. 19, 2018). Respondent did not file a proposal. As of October 9, 2018, the Division of Enforcement reported that it was unable to contact Respondent.

Based on the Division's supplemental declaration regarding service dated October 29, 2018, and accompanying exhibits, I find that Respondent, a revoked Nevada corporation, was served with the OIP on August 18, 2017, by delivery of the OIP to its registered agent in Nevada. 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(i), (ii); *see Canarelli v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct.*, 265 P.3d 673, 675 n.2 (Nev. 2011) (permitting service upon the registered agent of revoked Nevada corporations).

I ORDER that, by November 16, 2018, Respondent shall SHOW CAUSE why the registration of its securities should not be revoked by default due to its failure to file an answer, submit a proposal for the conduct of further proceedings, or otherwise defend this proceeding. If Respondent fails to respond to this order or otherwise defend the proceeding, it will be deemed in

default and the registrations of its securities will be revoked. OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f).

James E. Grimes
Administrative Law Judge