UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Administrative Proceedings Rulings Release No. 6301 / November 6, 2018

Administrative Proceeding File No. 3-18190

In the Matter of

Immunoclin Corp., Intelligent Living America, Inc., and Ivanhoe Energy, Inc. Order Finding Service and Directing Respondents to Show Cause

On September 19, 2017, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an order instituting proceedings (OIP) against Respondents under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. After a prior initial decision was vacated by the Commission, the proceeding was reassigned to me. *Pending Admin. Proc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 5955, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2264, at *2, *4 (ALJ Sept. 12, 2018). The parties were provided the opportunity to propose how further proceedings should be conducted. *Immunoclin Corp.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 6074, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2575, at *1-2 (ALJ Sept. 24, 2018). But none of the Respondents filed a proposal. In an October 12, 2018, filing, the Division of Enforcement represented that it was unable to contact Immunoclin Corp. and Ivanhoe Energy, Inc., and that it discussed settlement with the CEO of Intelligent Living America, Inc.

On September 29, 2017, the Division submitted a declaration of service. After independently reviewing the declaration, I find that it establishes that Respondents were each served with the OIP by September 25, 2017, by U.S. Postal Service Priority Mail Express to the most recent address shown on each Respondent's most recent filing with the Commission. 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii), (iv).¹ Their answers were due by October 9, 2017. OIP at

¹ Ivanhoe Energy, Inc., is located in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. Canada is a signatory to the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial

3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.160(b), .220(b). To date, no Respondent has filed an answer.

I ORDER Respondents to SHOW CAUSE by November 16, 2018, why the registration of their securities should not be revoked by default due to their failures to file answers or otherwise defend this proceeding. If a Respondent fails to respond to this order, it shall be deemed in default, the proceeding will be determined against it, and the registration of its securities will be revoked. OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f); *Pending Admin. Proc.*, Securities Act of 1933 Release No. 10536, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2058, at *4 (Aug. 22, 2018).

> Brenda P. Murray Chief Administrative Law Judge

Matters, *done* Nov. 15, 1965, 20 U.S.T. 361, 658 U.N.T.S. 163, and does not object under Article 10(a) to service of judicial documents through postal channels. Table Reflecting Applicability of Articles 8(2), 10(a)(b) and (c), 15(2) and 16(3), https://assets.hcch.net/docs/6365f76b-22b3-4bac-82ea-395bf75b2254.pdf.