

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Administrative Proceedings Rulings
Release No. 6276 / November 1, 2018

Administrative Proceeding
File No. 3-18082

In the Matter of

**CNK Global, Inc. (a/k/a American
Life Holding Co., Inc.)**

**Order Finding Service and
Directing Respondent to Show
Cause**

On July 27, 2017, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an order instituting proceedings (OIP) against Respondent under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. After a prior initial decision was vacated by the Commission, the proceeding was reassigned to me on September 12, 2018. *Pending Admin. Proc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 5955, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2264, at *2-3 (ALJ). The parties were provided the opportunity to propose how further proceedings should be conducted. *CNK Glob., Inc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 5996, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2414, at *1 (ALJ Sept. 18, 2018). The Division of Enforcement represents that it reached someone who purported to be Respondent's CFO by email, and that the CFO stated on September 26 and October 1, 2018, that Respondent wishes to participate in the proceeding. However, to date Respondent has not filed a proposal or appeared in the case.

On August 2, 2017, the Division of Enforcement submitted a declaration of service. After independently reviewing the declaration, I find that the declaration establishes that Respondent was served with the OIP on July 31, 2017, by U.S. Postal Service Priority Mail Express to Respondent's registered agent in Florida. Although Respondent is a dissolved Florida corporation, dissolution does not "[t]erminate the authority of the registered agent of the corporation" under Florida law. Fla. Stat. § 607.1405(2)(g). Therefore, Respondent's answer was due by August 14, 2017. OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.141(a)(2)(i)-(ii), .160(a)-(b), .220(b). To date, Respondent has not filed an answer.

I ORDER Respondent to SHOW CAUSE by November 13, 2018, why the registration of its securities should not be revoked by default due to its failures to file an answer or otherwise defend this proceeding. If Respondent fails to respond to this order, it shall be deemed in default, the proceeding will be determined against it, and the registration of its securities will be revoked. OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f).

In addition to serving this order by the usual channels, the Division should email it to Respondent's CFO.

Cameron Elliot
Administrative Law Judge