

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Administrative Proceedings Rulings
Release No. 6273 / October 31, 2018

Administrative Proceeding
File No. 3-18037

In the Matter of

**dELiA*s Inc. and
Global Energy, Inc.**

**Order Finding Service and
Directing Respondents to
Show Cause**

On June 20, 2017, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an order instituting proceedings (OIP) against Respondents under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. After a prior initial decision was vacated by the Commission, the proceeding was reassigned to me on September 12, 2018. *Pending Admin. Proc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 5955, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2264, at *2-3 (ALJ). The parties were provided the opportunity to propose how further proceedings should be conducted. *dELiA*s Inc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 5998, <https://www.sec.gov/alj/aljorders/2018/ap-5998.pdf> (ALJ Sept. 18, 2018). Neither Respondent filed a proposal. The Division of Enforcement represents that dELiA*s Inc.'s president advised that his company would not contest the revocation of the registration of its registered securities but that it was unable to contact Global Energy, Inc.

The Division submitted declarations of service on June 28 and July 19, 2017. After independently reviewing the declarations, I find that they establish that Respondents were each served with the OIP by July 18, 2017, by U.S. Postal Service Priority Mail Express to the most recent address shown on each Respondent's most recent filing with the Commission. 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii), (iv).¹ Their answers were due by July 31, 2017.

¹ Service on Global Energy by mailing the OIP to its address in Israel was valid because Israel does not object to service of process by mail under Article 10(a) of the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and

(continued...)

OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.160(b), .220(b). To date, neither Respondent has filed an answer.

I ORDER Respondents to SHOW CAUSE by November 13, 2018, why the registration of their securities should not be revoked by default due to their failures to file answers or otherwise defend this proceeding. If a Respondent fails to respond to this order, it shall be deemed in default, the proceeding will be determined against it, and the registration of its securities will be revoked. OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f).

Cameron Elliot
Administrative Law Judge

Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters, *done* Nov. 15, 1965, 20 U.S.T. 361, 658 U.N.T.S. 163. *See* Table Reflecting Applicability of Articles 8(2), 10(a)(b) and (c), 15(2) and 16(3) of the Hague Service Convention 7 (June 2017), <https://assets.hcch.net/docs/6365f76b-22b3-4bac-82ea-395bf75b2254.pdf>.