

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Administrative Proceedings Rulings
Release No. 6194/ October 16, 2018

Administrative Proceeding
File No. 3-18493

In the Matter of

**Apptigo International, Inc.,
JD International Limited,
XLI Technologies Inc., and
Zentric, Inc.**

**Order Finding Service,
Directing Respondents
to Show Cause, and
Scheduling Prehearing
Conference**

On May 17, 2018, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an order instituting proceedings (OIP) against Respondents under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The proceeding was reassigned to me on September 12, 2018. *Pending Admin. Proc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 5955, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2264, at *2-3 (ALJ). The parties were provided the opportunity to propose how further proceedings should be conducted. *Apptigo Int'l, Inc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 6014, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2394 (ALJ Sept. 18, 2018). No Respondent filed a proposal and as of October 9, the Division of Enforcement had not been able to contact any Respondent.

On June 7, 2018, the Division of Enforcement submitted a declaration and attachments establishing it served Respondents with the OIP by May 26, 2018, by mailing the OIP by U.S. Postal Service Priority Mail Express to each Respondent's most recent address shown on its most recent filing with the Commission in accordance with Rule of Practice 141(a)(2)(ii), (iv). 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii), (iv).¹ Respondents' answers were due by June 8, 2018. OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.160(b), .220(b). To date, no Respondent has answered.

¹ Zentric, Inc., and JD International Limited are located in Canada and Hong Kong, respectively. Canada is a signatory to the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters, *done* Nov. 15, 1965, 20 U.S.T. 361, 658 U.N.T.S. 163,

(continued...)

Additionally, on May 16, 2018, JD International filed a Form 15-12G terminating the registration of its securities. It appears that this form has taken effect under the terms of the regulation. *See* 17 C.F.R. § 240.12g-4(a) (providing that a Form 15 “shall take effect 90 days” after filing).

I ORDER that, by October 26, 2018, Respondents shall SHOW CAUSE why the registration of their securities should not be revoked by default due to their failure to file answers or otherwise defend this proceeding. If a Respondent fails to respond to this order or otherwise defend the proceeding, it will be deemed in default and the registrations of its securities will be revoked. OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f).

I FURTHER ORDER that, by October 26, 2018, the Division shall submit a filing stating its position on the status of this proceeding as to JD International, vis-à-vis the company’s Form 15.

I FURTHER ORDER that a telephonic prehearing conference will be held on October 29, 2018, at 2:30 p.m. EDT. The Division shall circulate dial-in information to the parties in advance of the conference.

James E. Grimes
Administrative Law Judge

and does not object under Article 10(a) to service of judicial documents through postal channels. *See Water Splash, Inc. v. Menon*, 137 S. Ct. 1504, 1512 n.7 (2017). Hong Kong, a special administrative region of China, also does not object to service through postal channels. *TracFone Wireless, Inc. v. Unlimited PCS Inc.*, 279 F.R.D. 626, 630 (S.D. Fla. 2012).