

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
Before the  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Administrative Proceedings Rulings  
Release No. 6182 / October 15, 2018

Administrative Proceeding  
File No. 3-17132

In the Matter of  
**Infrastructure International, Inc.**

**Order Directing  
Briefing on Service**

The Division of Enforcement filed a declaration on May 18, 2018, regarding service of the order instituting proceedings (OIP) on Respondent. Respondent is a revoked Nevada corporation located in China. The Division attempted to serve Respondent through the mechanisms of the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents. Through the Hague Convention process, the Chinese central authority returned a certificate dated March 19, 2018, which, translated from Chinese, stated, “This person doesn’t exist at this address, so these documents can’t be served.” Div. Decl. Ex. 3 at 4. The Division states that March 19, 2018, “should be treated as the date of service for the purposes of this proceeding.” Div. Decl. 2–3. Since the certificate specifies that the Chinese authorities did not serve the OIP on Respondent and the Rules of Practice appear to limit service by attempted delivery to U.S. Postal Service mail, I direct the Division to file a brief explaining how Rule 141 is satisfied. 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii), (iv). Among other matters, the Division’s brief should address the requirement in the Hague Convention that the OIP be served either “by a method prescribed by” Chinese law or “by a particular method requested by the applicant, unless such a method is incompatible with [Chinese] law.” Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters art. 5, *done* Nov. 15, 1965, 20 U.S.T. 361, 658 U.N.T.S. 163. The Division may file its brief by November 9, 2018.

---

James E. Grimes  
Administrative Law Judge