UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Administrative Proceedings Rulings Release No. 6173/ October 12, 2018

Administrative Proceeding File No. 3-18169

In the Matter of

Kun De International Holdings, Inc., New Colombia Resources, Inc., and

Sutor Technology Group Limited

Order Finding Service, Directing Respondents to Show Cause, and Scheduling Prehearing Conference

On September 11, 2017, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an order instituting proceedings (OIP) against Respondents under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. After a prior initial decision was vacated by the Commission, the proceeding was reassigned to me on September 12, 2018. *Pending Admin. Proc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 5955, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2264, at *2–3 (ALJ). The parties were provided the opportunity to propose how further proceedings should be conducted. *Kun De Int'l Holdings, Inc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 6018, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2444 (ALJ Sept. 18, 2018). However neither of the remaining Respondents, Kun De International and Sutor Technology Group, filed a proposal. The Division of Enforcement represents that it cannot locate a valid email address for either Respondent.

In September 2017, the Division submitted the declarations of two process servers: one declared that she served the OIP on Sutor Technology Group by leaving a copy with its registered agent in Nevada on September 13 and the other declared that he served the OIP on Kun De International by leaving a copy with the Delaware Secretary of State on September 12. I find that prior service to be effective on both parties. 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii); Del. Code Ann. tit. 8, § 321(b); Nev. Rev. Stat. § 78.090. As such, their answers were due by September 25, 2017. OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.160(a)–(b), .220(b). To date, neither has answered.

I ORDER that, by October 22, 2018, Kun De International and Sutor Technology Group shall SHOW CAUSE why the registration of their securities should not be revoked by default due to their failure to file answers or otherwise defend this proceeding. If either Respondent fails to respond to this order or otherwise defend the proceeding, they will be deemed in default and the registrations of their securities will be revoked. OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. \S 201.155(a)(2), .220(f).

I FURTHER ORDER that a telephonic prehearing conference will be held on November 21, 2018, at 3:00 p.m. EST, if this matter has not been concluded before then.

> James E. Grimes Administrative Law Judge