

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Administrative Proceedings Rulings
Release No. 6116 / September 28, 2018

Administrative Proceeding
File Nos. 3-17547, 3-17548

In the Matters of

William J. Sears;

**Microcap Management LLC,
Bayside Realty Holdings LLC,
and Meadpoint Venture
Partners, LLC;**

Order on Motion to Withdraw

Fredric M. Winocur and Marci Gilligan LaBranche have filed a notice of withdrawal in each of these two proceedings and asked for “an order permitting withdrawal” from representation of Respondents William J. Sears, Microcap Management LLC, and Meadpoint Venture Partners, LLC.¹ A notice of withdrawal that complies with Rule of Practice 102(d)(4) is, however, self-effectuating.² And except that it does not state the effective date of counsel’s withdrawal, counsel’s notice complies with Rule 102(d)(4). Assuming it is necessary for counsel to withdraw,³ I deem their notice

¹ Notice at 1–2; *see* 17 C.F.R. § 201.102(d)(4). Counsel have not purported to represent Respondent Bayside Realty Holdings LLC.

² *See BDO China Dahua CPA Co.*, Exchange Act Release No. 72134, 2014 WL 1871077, at *2 (May 9, 2014); 17 C.F.R. § 201.102(d)(4).

³ Counsel have neither entered an appearance in these matters nor, prior to the notice of withdrawal, submitted any filings in them.

effective five days from the date of this order.⁴ Counsel’s request for “an order permitting withdrawal” is denied as unnecessary.

James E. Grimes
Administrative Law Judge

⁴ See 17 C.F.R. § 201.102(d)(4) (“The notice shall be filed at least five days before the proposed effective date of the withdrawal.”).