

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS
Release No. 4398/November 29, 2016

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File Nos. 3-17664, 3-17665, 3-17666, 3-17667

In the Matter of
the Registration Statements of

FLASTER CORPORATION,
iLOAN INC.,
ZUBRA INC., and
INSTRIDE, INC.

POST-HEARING ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On November 4, 2016, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued orders instituting proceedings (OIP) pursuant to Section 8(d) of the Securities Act of 1933, and set a hearing for November 22, 2016, on allegations that each Respondent's registration statement contains untrue statements of material fact and omits necessary material information and that each Respondent failed to cooperate with a Section 8(e) examination by failing to respond to Commission subpoenas. I consolidated the proceedings for hearing. *Flaster Corp.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 4325, 2016 SEC LEXIS 4143 (ALJ Nov. 7, 2016).

Based on a declaration filed by the Division of Enforcement, I found that each Respondent was served with the OIP on November 8, 2016, in accordance with Section 8(d). *Flaster Corp.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 4344, 2016 SEC LEXIS 4236 (ALJ Nov. 14, 2016). The November 14, 2016, order advised that if Respondents failed to file answers within ten days of service of the OIP and failed to appear at the November 22 hearing, they may be found in default and the effectiveness of their registration statements may be suspended. *Id.* (citing 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), .220(f), .310).

No Respondent appeared at the November 22 hearing and, as of the date of this order, no Respondent has answered the OIP. I therefore ORDER Respondents to SHOW CAUSE by December 9, 2016, why the effectiveness of their registration statements should not be suspended by default due to their failures to file answers, appear at the hearing, or otherwise defend the proceeding. If Respondents fail to respond to this order, I will deem them in default, determine the proceeding against them, and issue a stop order suspending the effectiveness of each Respondent's registration statement. *See* OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), .220(f), .310.

Brenda P. Murray
Chief Administrative Law Judge