## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS Release No. 2888/July 1, 2015

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-16517

In the Matter of

CHARLES R. KOKESH

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

I previously ordered that Respondent file his Answer by June 22, 2015. *Charles R. Kokesh*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 2782, 2015 SEC LEXIS 2276, at \*4 (June 8, 2015). As of today, no such Answer has been filed; *see* 17 C.F.R. § 201.220(c) ("an answer shall specifically admit, deny, or state that the party does not have, and is unable to obtain, sufficient information to admit or deny each allegation in the order instituting proceedings").

Respondent is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE by July 14, 2015, why this proceeding should not be determined against Respondent due to his failure to file an Answer or otherwise defend the proceeding. Failure to respond to this Order will mean a default by Respondent, and the proceeding may be determined against him. OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), .220(f); see also 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(b) (explaining that a motion to set aside a default may be made). Also, if Respondent fails to respond to this Order, the previously ordered summary disposition briefing schedule will be automatically converted to a motion for default briefing schedule. See Charles R. Kokesh, 2015 SEC LEXIS 2276, at \*3-5.

A motion for default shall include proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, with an analysis of each element necessary to establish liability under the securities laws; and legal authority and evidentiary support—consistent with *Gary L. McDuff*, Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) Release No. No. 74806, 2015 SEC LEXIS 1657, at \*6-14 (Apr. 23, 2015) —for the sanctions sought against Respondent. *See Rapoport v. SEC*, 682 F.3d 98, 215 (D.C. Cir. 2012); *Ross Mandell*, Exchange Act Release No. 71668, 2014 SEC LEXIS 849, at \*7-9 (Mar. 7, 2014).

\_\_\_\_\_

Jason S. Patil Administrative Law Judge