UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS Release No. 2729/May 27, 2015

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-16533

In the Matter of

COMPUTER LEARNING CENTERS, INC., INFERX CORP., and SEDONA CORP. ORDER REGARDING SERVICE ON COMPUTER LEARNING CENTERS, INC. AND TO SHOW CAUSE

On May 12, 2015, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an Order Instituting Proceedings (OIP) pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, alleging that Respondents have securities registered with the Commission and are delinquent in their periodic filings. A telephonic prehearing conference is scheduled for June 12, 2015.

On May 22, 2015, I noted that the status of service on Computer Learning Centers, Inc. (CLC), was unclear, as I was unable to locate the address to which the Commission's Office of the Secretary sent a copy of the OIP on CLC's most recent EDGAR filing. *Computer Learning Ctrs., Inc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 2715, 2015 SEC LEXIS 2058; *see* 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii). The Division of Enforcement, later on May 22, 2015, filed a supplemental declaration, explaining that EDGAR indicated that the last filing for CLC is a July 25, 2005, Form 8-K, but the actual document is not available through EDGAR's public website. The declaration explained that the Division obtained the Form 8-K, which it attached to the declaration, through a contract with Thomson Financial. This Form 8-K reflects the same Fairfax, Virginia, mailing address to which the Office of the Secretary sent a copy of the OIP, according to the Division's first, May 18, 2015, declaration. I find that CLC was served on May 13, 2015, and its Answer was due by May 26, 2015. OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.160(b), .220(b).

No Respondent has timely filed an Answer to the OIP. See Computer Learning Ctrs., Inc., 2015 SEC LEXIS 2058. Accordingly, I ORDER that on or before June 11, 2015, each Respondent shall SHOW CAUSE why the registration of its securities should not be revoked by default due to its failure to file an Answer or otherwise defend this proceeding. See 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f). If a Respondent fails to respond to this Order, it will be deemed in default, the proceeding will be determined against it, and the registration of its securities will be revoked. OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f).

James E. Grimes Administrative Law Judge