## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS Release No. 2277/February 3, 2015

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-15350

In the Matter of the Application of

SECURITIES INDUSTRY AND FINANCIAL MARKETS ASSOCIATION

For Review of Actions Taken by Self-Regulatory Organizations

ORDER ON MOTION TO QUASH

On May 16, 2014, the Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission) issued an Order Establishing Procedures and Referring Applications for Review to Administrative Law Judge for Additional Proceedings. *Sec. Indus. & Fin. Mkts. Ass'n*, Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Release No. 72182, 2014 SEC LEXIS 1686. The hearing is scheduled to begin on April 20, 2015.

On January 2, 2015, at the request of the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA), I issued two modified subpoenas duces tecum to NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (NASDAQ) and NYSE Arca, Inc. (NYSE Arca, collectively the Exchanges). *Sec. Indus. & Fin. Mkts. Ass'n*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 2177, 2015 SEC LEXIS 6.

On January 5, 2015, I issued a subpoena requested by the Exchanges to SIFMA. On January 23, 2015, SIFMA filed a motion to quash the subpoena (Motion). In the Motion, SIFMA argues that the subpoena is unduly burdensome and asks for irrelevant documents. SIFMA also argues that the subpoena, insofar as it calls for production from SIFMA's members, seeks documents outside of SIFMA's possession, custody, or control. On January 30, 2015, the Exchanges filed an opposition to the motion to quash.

## **Ruling**

SIFMA acknowledged in its own subpoena request, a "strong presumption in favor of discovery" in Commission administrative proceedings. SIFMA has not shown the request is unreasonable, oppressive, or unduly burdensome, 17 C.F.R. § 201.232(e)(2). If SIFMA does not have or cannot compel production of responsive documents from its members, it should state so in its document production. This dispute has gone on for a considerable period, and it is time to get the facts on the table and reach a resolution. SIFMA's Motion to Quash is DENIED.

Brenda P. Murray

Chief Administrative Law Judge