

Initial Decision Release No. 1315
Administrative Proceeding
File No. 3-18096

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

In the Matter of

**Axiom Oil & Gas Corp.,
GEI Global Energy Corp.,
Ironwood Gold Corp., and
Surge Global Energy, Inc.**

**Initial Decision of Default
as to Three Respondents**
November 27, 2018

Appearance: James Carlson, Stephan Schlegelmilch, and
David S. Frye for the Division of Enforcement,
Securities and Exchange Commission

Before: James E. Grimes, Administrative Law Judge

Summary

This initial decision revokes the registration of the registered securities of Axiom Oil & Gas Corp., Ironwood Gold Corp., and Surge Global Energy, Inc.¹ The revocation is based on Respondents' failure to timely file required periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

¹ GEI Global Energy Corp. settled with the Commission and this proceeding is concluded as to it. *Axiom Oil & Gas Corp.*, Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Release No. 81660, 2017 SEC LEXIS 2916 (Sept. 19, 2017). In this initial decision, "Respondents" refers only to Axiom Oil & Gas, Ironwood Gold, and Surge Global Energy.

Introduction

On August 2, 2017, the Commission initiated this proceeding under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 with an order instituting proceedings (OIP). The OIP alleges that Respondents have securities registered with the Commission under Exchange Act Section 12(g) and have repeatedly failed to file timely periodic reports with the Commission, in violation of Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder.

A different administrative law judge originally presided over this proceeding and issued an initial decision of default against Respondents.² But the Commission vacated that decision following the Supreme Court's decision in *Lucia v. SEC*,³ and the matter was reassigned to me to provide Respondents with the opportunity for a new hearing.⁴ Respondents were directed to propose how further proceedings should be conducted.⁵ But none did. I therefore proceeded under the Commission's directive to not give weight to or otherwise presume the correctness of any prior opinions, orders, or rulings issued by the prior administrative law judge.⁶

After independently reviewing evidence submitted by the Division, I determined that Respondents were served with the OIP by August 7, 2017, and their answers were due by August 21, 2017.⁷ On October 23, 2018, I noted that Respondents had not filed answers and ordered them to show cause by November 2, 2018, why the registrations of their securities should not be revoked by default due to their failure to file answers or otherwise

² See *Axiom Oil & Gas Corp.*, Initial Decision Release No. 1180, 2017 SEC LEXIS 2961 (ALJ Sept. 21, 2017).

³ 138 S. Ct. 2044 (2018); see *Pending Admin. Proc.*, Securities Act of 1933 Release No. 10536, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2058, at *2–3 (Aug. 22, 2018).

⁴ *Pending Admin. Proc.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 5955, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2264, at *2–3 (ALJ Sept. 12, 2018).

⁵ *Axiom Oil & Gas Corp.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 6024, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2450, at *1 (ALJ Sept. 18, 2018).

⁶ *Pending Admin. Proc.*, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2058, at *4.

⁷ *Axiom Oil & Gas Corp.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 6243, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2941, at *2 (ALJ Oct. 23, 2018).

defend the proceeding.⁸ To date, Respondents have not filed answers, submitted proposals, or responded to the show cause order.

Findings of Fact

Respondents are in default for failing to file answers, file proposals for how further proceedings should be conducted, or otherwise defend the proceeding.⁹ Accordingly, as authorized by Rule of Practice 155(a),¹⁰ I find the following allegations in the OIP to be true.

Axiom Oil & Gas Corp., Central Index Key (CIK) No. 1399095 and ticker symbol AXIO, is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). The company is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended May 31, 2015, which reported a net loss of \$3,686,099 for the prior nine months. As of July 26, 2017, the company's common stock was quoted on OTC Link operated by OTC Markets Group Inc., had six market makers, and was eligible for the "piggyback" exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

Ironwood Gold, CIK No. 1393909 and ticker symbol IROG, is a revoked Nevada corporation located in Ashcroft, British Columbia, Canada, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). The company is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period ended May 31, 2014, which reported a net loss of \$4,181,217 for the prior nine months. As of July 26, 2017, the company's common stock was quoted on OTC Link, had five market makers, and was eligible for the "piggyback" exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

Surge Global Energy, CIK No. 1053648 and ticker symbol SRGG, is a void Delaware corporation located in Palm Desert, California, with a class of securities registered with the Commission pursuant to Exchange Act Section 12(g). The company is delinquent in its periodic filings with the Commission, having not filed any periodic reports since it filed a Form 10-Q for the period

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ See OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f); *Pending Admin. Proc.*, 2018 SEC LEXIS 2058, at *4.

¹⁰ 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(a).

ended March 31, 2015, which reported a net loss of \$149,707 for the prior three months. As of July 26, 2017, the company's common stock was quoted on OTC Link, had six market makers, and was eligible for the "piggyback" exception of Exchange Act Rule 15c2-11(f)(3).

In addition to their repeated failures to file timely periodic reports, Respondents failed to heed delinquency letters sent to them by the Commission's Division of Corporation Finance requesting compliance with their periodic filing obligations or, through their failure to maintain a valid address on file with the Commission as required by Commission rules, did not receive such letters.

Conclusions of Law

Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 require public corporations to file annual and quarterly reports with the Commission. Compliance with these reporting requirements is mandatory.¹¹ Scierter is not required to establish violations of Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13.¹² Respondents failed to file timely periodic reports. As a result, Respondents failed to comply with Exchange Act Section 13(a) and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13.

Sanction

Under Exchange Act Section 12(j), the Commission is authorized, "as it deems necessary or appropriate for the protection of investors," to revoke the registration of a security or suspend the registration for a period not exceeding twelve months if it finds, after notice and an opportunity for hearing, that the issuer of the security has failed to comply with any provision of the Exchange Act or rules thereunder. In determining what sanctions will ensure that investors are adequately protected, the Commission "consider[s], among other things, the seriousness of the issuer's violations, the isolated or recurrent nature of the violations, the degree of culpability involved, the extent of the issuer's efforts to remedy its past

¹¹ *America's Sports Voice, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 55511, 2007 SEC LEXIS 1241, at *12 (Mar. 22, 2007), *recons. denied*, Exchange Act Release No. 55867, 2007 SEC LEXIS 1239 (June 6, 2007).

¹² *See SEC v. McNulty*, 137 F.3d 732, 740-41 (2d Cir. 1998); *SEC v. Wills*, 472 F. Supp. 1250, 1268 (D.D.C. 1978).

violations and ensure future compliance, and the credibility of its assurances, if any, against further violations.”¹³

Respondents’ failures to file required periodic reports are serious because they constitute violations of a central provision of the Exchange Act. The purpose of periodic reporting is “to supply investors with current and accurate financial information about an issuer so that they may make sound [investment] decisions.”¹⁴ The reporting requirements are the primary tool that Congress “fashioned for the protection of investors from negligent, careless, and deliberate misrepresentations” in the sale of securities.¹⁵ Respondents’ violations are also recurrent in that they repeatedly failed to file periodic reports.¹⁶ Respondents are culpable because they knew or should have known about the reporting requirements. They further failed to heed delinquency letters sent to them by the Division of Corporation Finance. Even if Respondents did not receive such letters due to their failure to maintain a valid address on file with the Commission as required by Commission rules, the other factors weigh in favor of revocation, and scienter is not necessary to establish grounds for revocation.¹⁷ In any event, there is no indication that Respondents’ violations were inadvertent or accidental.¹⁸ And there is no evidence that Respondents have made any effort to remedy their past violations or ensure future compliance. Respondents have not answered the OIP or responded to the show cause order, have not submitted

¹³ *Gateway Int’l Holdings, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 53907, 2006 SEC LEXIS 1288, at *19–20 (May 31, 2006).

¹⁴ *Id.* at *26.

¹⁵ *Eagletech Commc’ns, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 54095, 2006 SEC LEXIS 1534, at *12 (July 5, 2006) (quoting *SEC v. Beisinger Indus. Corp.*, 552 F.2d 15, 18 (1st Cir. 1977)).

¹⁶ *See Nature’s Sunshine Prods., Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 59268, 2009 SEC LEXIS 81, at *20 (Jan. 21, 2009) (respondent failed to file seven required periodic reports due over a two-year period); *Impax Labs., Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 57864, 2008 SEC LEXIS 1197, at *25–26 (May 23, 2008) (respondent’s failure to make eight filings over an eighteen-month period considered recurrent).

¹⁷ *See China-Biotics, Inc.*, Exchange Act Release No. 70800, 2013 SEC LEXIS 3451, at *37 & n.60 (Nov. 4, 2013).

¹⁸ *Id.* at *37 n.60.

proposals regarding the conduct of this proceeding following its reassignment, and have not otherwise participated in this proceeding.

For the reasons described above, it is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors to revoke the registration of each class of Respondents' registered securities.

Order

I ORDER that, under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registration of each class of registered securities of Axiom Oil & Gas Corp., Ironwood Gold Corp., and Surge Global Energy, Inc., is hereby REVOKED.¹⁹

This initial decision shall become effective in accordance with and subject to the provisions of Rule 360.²⁰ Under this rule, a party may file a petition for review of this initial decision within twenty-one days after service of the initial decision. A party may also file a motion to correct a manifest error of fact within ten days of the initial decision, under Rule 111.²¹ If a motion to correct a manifest error of fact is filed by a party, then a party shall have twenty-one days to file a petition for review from the date of the undersigned's order resolving such motion to correct a manifest error of fact.

This initial decision will not become final until the Commission enters an order of finality.²² The Commission will enter an order of finality unless a party files a petition for review or a motion to correct a manifest error of fact or the Commission determines on its own initiative to review the initial decision as to a party. If any of these events occur, the initial decision shall not become final as to that party.

A respondent may move to set aside a default. Rule 155(b) permits the Commission, at any time, to set aside a default for good cause, in order to prevent injustice and on such conditions as may be appropriate.²³ A motion to

¹⁹ This order applies to all classes of Respondents' securities registered under Section 12 of the Exchange Act, whether or not such securities are specifically identified by ticker symbol or otherwise in this initial decision.

²⁰ 17 C.F.R. § 201.360.

²¹ 17 C.F.R. § 201.111(h).

²² 17 C.F.R. § 201.360(d).

²³ 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(b).

set aside a default shall be made within a reasonable time, state the reasons for the failure to appear or defend, and specify the nature of the proposed defense in the proceeding.

James E. Grimes
Administrative Law Judge