

Scott & Stringfellow, LLC
901 East Byrd Street
Riverfront Plaza/West Tower
Richmond, VA 23219
804-643-1811
www.scottstringfellow.com

July 6, 2012

Appendix 1, Professional Management Program Brochure

Item 1 – Cover Page

Form ADV, Part 2A, Appendix 1, our “Wrap Fee Program Brochure” or “Brochure” for our Professional Management Program, a wrap fee program, as required by the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 is a very important document between Clients (you, your) and “Scott & Stringfellow, LLC” (Scott & Stringfellow, S&S, we, us, our, the “Firm”).

This Brochure provides information about the qualifications and business practices of Scott & Stringfellow and the services relevant to our Professional Management Program. If you have any questions about the contents of this Brochure, please contact us at (804) 782-8798. The information in this Brochure has not been approved or verified by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) or by any State Securities Authority.

Additional information about Scott & Stringfellow is also available at the SEC’s website www.adviserinfo.sec.gov (click on the link, select “investment adviser firm” and type in our Firm name). Results will provide you both Part 1 and 2 of our Form ADV.

We are a registered investment adviser with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our registration as an Investment Adviser does not imply a certain level of skill or training. The oral and written communications we provide to you, including this Brochure, are information you can use to evaluate us and our Professional Management Program. These communications are factors you can use in your decision to hire us or to continue to maintain a mutually beneficial relationship with us.

Item 2 – Material Changes

1. We discuss below only material changes which we believe are important in terms of disclosure since the last filing of our Form ADV Part 2A, Appendix 1, for our Professional Management Program on March 15, 2012, which was our annual amendment update and filed on the SEC’s

Investment Advisers Public Disclosure Website (IAPD), www.adviser.info.sec.gov.

2. On June 19, 2012, FINRA accepted a Letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent (“AWC”) from Scott & Stringfellow, LLC (the “Firm”) (Case Number 20090195365). The allegations are as follows:

During the period from January 2008 through June 2009 (the “Relevant Period”), Scott & Stringfellow failed to establish and maintain a supervisory system, including written procedures, reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable NASD and/or FINRA rules in connection with the sale of leveraged, inverse, and inverse-leveraged Exchange-Traded Funds (“Non-Traditional ETFs”). Non-Traditional ETFs have certain risks that are not found in traditional ETFs, such as the risks associated with a daily reset, leverage and compounding. The performance of Non-Traditional ETFs over longer periods of time can differ significantly from the performance of their underlying index or benchmark, especially in volatile markets. Nonetheless, Scott & Stringfellow supervised Non-Traditional ETFs the same way it supervised traditional ETFs. Thus, Scott & Stringfellow failed to establish a reasonable supervisory system and written procedures to monitor the sale of Non-Traditional ETFs. Scott & Stringfellow also failed to establish adequate formal training regarding Non-Traditional ETFs during the Relevant Period.

In addition, certain Scott & Stringfellow registered representatives did not have an adequate understanding of Non-Traditional ETFs before recommending these products to retail brokerage customers. Certain Scott & Stringfellow registered representatives also made unsuitable recommendations of Non-Traditional ETFs to certain customers with the primary investment objectives of income or capital preservation.

The Firm consented, without admitting or denying the findings, to the imposition of the following sanctions in the AWC, namely a censure and a fine in the amount of \$350,000.

3. We may, at any time, update our Firm Disclosure Brochure or our Program Brochures, which you can download from the above SEC website. You may contact Theresa J. Manderski in the Compliance Department at 804-782-8798, regarding any questions you have about our Brochures and their contents.

Item 3 – Table of Contents

Item 1 – Cover Page	1
Item 2 – Material Changes	1
Item 3 – Table of Contents	2
Item 4 – Services, Fees and Compensation.....	2
Item 5 – Account Requirement and Types of Clients	5
Item 6 – Portfolio Manager Selection and Evaluation.....	5
Item 7 – Client Information Provided to Portfolio Manager	6
Item 8 – Client Contact with Portfolio Manager	6
Item 9 – Disciplinary Information	6
Item 10 – Requirements for State-Registered Advisers	10

Item 4 – Services, Fees and Compensation

Professional Management Program

1. Services

Scott & Stringfellow offers the Professional Management Program ("PMP" or the "Program") to assist clients, both individuals and institutions (such as pension and profit sharing plans, trusts, estates, or charitable organizations, corporations and other business entities), to clarify their investment needs and to obtain professional asset management for a convenient single "wrap" fee.

Scott & Stringfellow offers PMP as a wrap-fee program, i.e., a program under which a client is charged a specified fee or fees not based directly upon transactions in a client's account for investment advisory services (which may include portfolio management or advice concerning the selection of other investment advisors) and execution of client transactions.

In addition to PMP, Scott & Stringfellow offers other wrap fee programs: the CHOICE Portfolios, the Investment Advisory Program, the S&S Advisor Program, the Spectrum Investment Program, and the Unified Managed Account Program. Please contact your Investment Advisor

if you would like information about any of these other Wrap Fee Programs.

Through Scott & Stringfellow's PMP, certain selected, qualifying Scott & Stringfellow Financial Advisors provide investment advisory and brokerage services to client accounts on a discretionary basis. PMP Financial Advisors develop disciplined portfolios based on certain established PMP guidelines, the client's investment objectives, and individual client needs as established in investment portfolio and strategy criteria. Each PMP client must complete and sign a questionnaire that inquires about the client's attitude toward risk and reward, the client's current financial situation and any specific constraints that might affect investment decisions for the client.

Scott & Stringfellow offers investment advice in the areas of equity and debt securities, warrants, commercial paper, certificates of deposit, municipal securities, mutual funds, variable annuities, government securities, options contracts on securities, futures contracts on physical commodities and intangibles, commodity pools, and options on futures contracts.

Scott & Stringfellow utilizes charting, fundamental and technical methods of securities analysis for long term and short term investing, trading, short sales, margin transactions and option writing including covered options, uncovered options or spreading strategies.

Scott & Stringfellow's main sources of information include financial newspapers and magazines, research materials prepared by others, corporate rating services, annual reports, prospectuses and filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and company press releases.

The Firm's understanding and the Manager's understanding of the Client's current investment objectives and investment restrictions is based upon the information in the *Questionnaire and Investor Risk Profile*, which Client acknowledges having completed and represents all information to be accurate. The Firm and the Manager, respectively, have relied and will continue to rely on the information provided. This information is important for the management of the Account.

Additionally, Client agrees to promptly notify the Firm if Client's financial circumstances or investment objectives (including but not limited to the investment objectives for the Account) change. Also, Client agrees to provide the Firm such additional information as the Firm may request from time to time to assist the Firm in managing the Account.

Please be aware that you have the ability to impose reasonable restrictions on the management of the Account, including the designation of particular securities or types of securities that should not be purchased for the Account, or that should be sold if held in the Account. The Firm will forward these instructions to your Manager. If the Firm or your Manager believes the instructions are unreasonable or if the Firm or your Manager believes that the instructions are inappropriate, the Firm will notify you that unless the instructions are modified you may be

required to select an alternate Manager or cancel the Account.

If the Account is subject to the provisions of ERISA, the Firm represents that it is a fiduciary as defined in that Act in performing its duties under this Agreement.

Scott & Stringfellow considers it appropriate to use its own execution services for the purchase and sale of securities involved in its PMP services. On occasion, clients may designate, or legal requirements may indicate, the use of other brokers.

PMP accounts are carried by Clearview Correspondent Services, LLC ("Clearview"), an affiliate of Scott & Stringfellow. Both Scott & Stringfellow and Clearview are separate, non-bank affiliates of BB&T Corporation, and members of the New York Stock Exchange and the Securities Investor Protection Corporation. On an exception-only basis at the request of the client, a third party custodian other than Clearview may be used. For those clients choosing to use a third party custodian, they may be subject to additional custodial fees charged by and collected by their respective third party custodian. Those clients who use a third party custodian will be charged for custodian fees within the wrap program in addition to any fee assessed by their respective third party custodian.

Under PMP, Scott & Stringfellow effects securities transactions as agent, or, where permitted by law, as principal for clients but receives no additional brokerage execution compensation for the account. Clients authorize Scott & Stringfellow to effect and execute brokerage transactions, including on a national exchange, as permitted by current provisions of Section 11(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules promulgated thereunder. Scott & Stringfellow's PMP Financial Advisors also are authorized to purchase for their own account securities that are purchased for clients.

It is Scott & Stringfellow's practice, when feasible, to aggregate for execution as a single transaction orders for the purchase or sale of a particular security for the accounts of several PMP clients, in order to seek a lower commission or more advantageous net price. The benefit, if any, obtained as a result of such aggregation generally is allocated *pro rata* among the accounts of clients which participated in the aggregated transaction.

Scott & Stringfellow may purchase or sell the same security for a number of clients at the same time. Because of market fluctuations, the prices obtained on such transactions within a single day may vary substantially. In such a case, transactions in the same security for a number of customers may be "batched" to allocate more fairly those market fluctuations among clients. In these circumstances, the confirmations and statements for each client's transaction may show that the transaction was effected at a price equal to the average execution price for all transactions in that security on that day. In addition, Scott & Stringfellow may buy or sell securities for its own account that it recommends to clients and may profit from such transactions.

Scott & Stringfellow will not act for the client in any legal proceedings, including bankruptcies, involving securities held or previously held in the PMP Program. As a shareholder in the securities in which client's PMP account are invested, Client will receive shareholder communications (including proxy materials) from the individual companies, their service providers or Clearview. Scott & Stringfellow will not advise client on the voting of proxies for company investments held in the Account or advise client in legal proceedings involving companies.

Free credit cash balances resulting from sales, cash deposits, or interest or dividend credits (that is, cash that may be withdrawn from the account without resulting interest changes) will automatically be swept on a daily basis into the Firm's Insured Deposit Program (IDP) providing FDIC insurance for all eligible cash balances or, in some cases, into shares of a money market fund made available by the Firm at their then current net asset value. Refer to the prospectus provided for a more complete description of the money market fund and its operation.

2. Fees

Client pays the Firm an Annual Fee in accordance with the individual Program fee schedule. The Annual Fee will be deducted directly from the Account unless the parties agree otherwise. The Annual Fee, which is payable pro-rata on a quarterly basis in advance, will compensate the Firm for investment management as well as custody and execution services, and no separate Firm brokerage commissions will be charged.

The initial Annual Fee will be prorated to cover the period from the date the Account is opened and approved, through the end of the then current full calendar quarter. The initial Annual Fee will be due in full on the day the Firm opens and approves the Account and will be based on the opening value of the Account. Thereafter, the Annual Fee will be based on the Account's value as reflected on the quarter month-end statement and will be due the following business day to cover the next calendar quarter. Additional assets received into the Account will be charged a pro-rata Annual Fee based upon the number of days remaining in the current calendar quarter. No fee adjustment will be made for partial withdrawals or for appreciation or depreciation of the Account within a billing period. For purposes of calculating the Annual Fee due, the Account's value includes the sum of the long market value of all securities, money market, cash and credit balances. Margin debit balances and the short market value of securities held do not reduce the value of the Account. In accordance with the terms of the individual Client Agreement, a pro-rata refund of fees charged, less reasonable administrative and trading costs, will be made to Client if the Account is closed within a quarterly billing period.

Since the Annual Fee or Wrap Fee paid by the client covers all transaction-related costs, the client will not pay separate commissions for purchases or sales of securities for the client's account unless the client directs Scott &

Stringfellow to execute transactions in securities with another broker-dealer. Because all transaction related fees are paid for out of the Annual Fee, Scott & Stringfellow anticipates that it will fulfill its duty to obtain best price and execution of client orders by effecting those transactions itself as broker. If Scott & Stringfellow effects a transaction for a client through another broker, the net purchase or sale price reflected on client the confirmations of such trades may reflect brokerage commissions or dealer "mark-ups" or "mark-downs."

PMP accounts are charged an all inclusive "wrap fee" that is both for investment advice and in lieu of commissions. The standard PMP fee schedule, which is negotiable, is based on asset size and an assumed "active" equity portfolio. The minimum quarterly fee is \$500. This standard fee shall be effective if designated or if the fee schedule below is otherwise blank. Any change to the annualized fee must be in writing and signed by the Client and Scott & Stringfellow.

Total Account Value*	Annualized Fee
On the First \$250,000	3.00%
On the Next \$250,000	2.50%
On the Next \$500,000	2.25%
On Anything Over \$1,000,000	2.00%

* Calculated as the value of the account as reflected on your quarter month-end statement, the "value of the account" includes free credit cash balances and for the purposes of the accounts described, shall mean the sum of the long market value of all securities, money market, cash and credit balances. Margin debit balances and the short market value of securities do not reduce the value of the account.

The fee does not include certain dealer markups or markdowns, odd lot differentials, postage and handling charges, pass-through fees, transfer taxes, transaction fees and any other fees required by law which Scott & Stringfellow will either receive quarterly in advance or charge the Client's account. Cash balances in the account may in some cases be invested in money market mutual funds including, as permitted by law, those in which Scott & Stringfellow or its affiliates have agreements to provide advisory, administrative, distribution, and other services and for which Scott & Stringfellow or its affiliates receive compensation for the services rendered. As a shareholder of a money market fund, in addition to fees paid by the Client to Scott & Stringfellow under this program, the Client will bear a proportionate share of the fund's expenses. Non-brokerage related fees such as IRA fees or the money market administrative fees described above are not included and will be charged to the account.

PMP Account assets invested in mutual funds, exchange traded funds or other investment companies will be included in calculating the value of the account to determine the amount of the fee. Clients with mutual funds in their portfolios are effectively paying Scott & Stringfellow and the mutual fund advisor for the management of the client's assets. Clients who place mutual funds shares under Scott & Stringfellow's management therefore incur

both Scott & Stringfellow's direct management fee and the indirect management fees of the mutual fund advisor. Mutual funds are subject to additional advisory and other fees and expenses, as set forth in the prospectuses for those funds, which are ultimately borne by the client.

The initial fee under the PMP fee schedule is calculated as of the date the agreement is accepted by Scott & Stringfellow. It is based on the initial value of the account, and covers the remainder of the calendar quarter. Subsequent fees will be determined for calendar quarter periods and shall be calculated on the basis of the market value of the securities and cash held for the account of the Client as reflected on your quarter month-end statement. Should cash and/or securities be added between billing periods, a proportionate fee will be charged on the value added based upon the number of days remaining in the current calendar quarter.

Whenever there are changes to the fee schedule, the schedule or charges previously in effect shall continue until the next quarterly billing cycle. Established fees may not be increased without client approval. If the PMP agreement is terminated during a quarter upon written notice from the client, a *pro rata* refund will be made to the client. The PMP agreement may be terminated by either the client or Scott & Stringfellow upon written notice. A full refund will be provided to the client should they terminate the PMP agreement within five (5) business days of signing with Scott & Stringfellow.

The wrap fee charged under PMP may cost clients more or less than purchasing such services separately depending on the frequency of trading in the client's accounts, commissions charged at other broker-dealers for similar products, fees charged for like services by other broker-dealers, and other factors.

3. Compensation

Scott & Stringfellow may receive compensation or other benefits in addition to the Wrap Fee it receives from clients and, therefore, may have an incentive to engage in such transactions. Further, Scott & Stringfellow will maintain records of all securities purchased and sold by Scott & Stringfellow, its associated persons, and related entities, which will be available for client inspection upon reasonable request.

Scott & Stringfellow will not act as principal in a transaction for wrap fee clients without providing disclosure that it is so acting and obtaining prior client consent. Scott & Stringfellow also, with appropriate disclosure and client consent, may effect transactions for a

Wrap Fee client in which it acts as broker for both that client and the other party to the transaction and earn a commission on the trade from that other party. Scott & Stringfellow will do so only to the extent consistent with its duty to obtain best execution for the Wrap Fee client and with appropriate client consent. Wrap Fee clients may revoke consent to engage in such transactions at any time.

Since the Wrap Fee paid by the client covers all transaction-related costs, the client will not pay separate commissions for purchases or sales of securities for the client's account unless the client directs Scott & Stringfellow to execute transactions in securities with another broker-dealer. Because all transaction related fees are paid for out of the Wrap Fee, Scott & Stringfellow anticipates that it will fulfill its duty to obtain best price and execution of client orders by effecting those transactions itself as broker. If Scott & Stringfellow effects a transaction for a client through another broker, the net purchase or sale price reflected on client the confirmations of such trades may reflect brokerage commissions or dealer "mark-ups" or "mark-downs."

Each Wrap Fee Account client may grant Scott & Stringfellow the limited authority to exercise discretion in effecting transactions for the client's account. Scott & Stringfellow will decide what securities to buy and sell for each account, and in what quantity, consistent with each client's Investor Profile.

Finally, because the Wrap Fee Program may cost clients more or less than purchasing the included services separately, Scott & Stringfellow may have a financial incentive to recommend participation in the Wrap Fee Program.

Outside the Wrap Fee Program, Scott & Stringfellow as a registered broker-dealer provides a variety of services to its clients as a broker-dealer, for which it is compensated. Scott & Stringfellow usually acts as broker in transactions for clients. Scott & Stringfellow may act in a riskless principal capacity for certain fixed income securities and mutual funds that impose sales loads. All transactions, whether Scott & Stringfellow acts as broker or as riskless principal, are effected at the prevailing market price consistent with Scott & Stringfellow's duty to obtain best execution. Scott & Stringfellow is compensated in such transactions in the form of commissions on trades. In connection with clients investing in mutual funds, Scott & Stringfellow may be paid compensation as described in the funds prospectuses.

Item 5 – Account Requirement and Types of Clients

A minimum initial account value of \$100,000 is required for PMP accounts. Under certain circumstances this minimum may be waived by Scott & Stringfellow.

The Firm may terminate any Account that has fallen below the minimum Account value shown above as required to remain in the Program. Should the Account not receive a deposit for at least the amount of the deficiency shown within thirty (30) days of the date that the notification was mailed, the Account shall be removed from the Program and become a nondiscretionary commission-based investment Account at the Firm.

We generally provide our services to the following types of Clients:

- Individuals, including high net worth individuals
- Trusts, estates and charitable organizations

- Corporations or other business entities
- Taft-Hartley plans, governmental plans, municipalities
- Not-for-profit entities
- Private equity firms (Consulting only)

Item 6 – Portfolio Manager Selection and Evaluation

Scott & Stringfellow serves as a portfolio manager for PMP. Through PMP qualifying financial advisors provide investment advisory and brokerage services to client accounts on a discretionary basis. Before a financial advisor can enter the program he/she must complete a comprehensive application which is used by the Firm to formally review the financial advisor's qualifications. Financial advisors in the program develop disciplined portfolios based on certain established PMP guidelines, the client's investment objectives, and individual client needs as detailed by the client in the Questionnaire completed by the client.

Conflicts of Interest

As a general matter, Scott & Stringfellow considers it appropriate to use its own execution services for the purchase and sale of securities involved in its PMP program.

Under PMP, Scott & Stringfellow effects securities transactions as agent or, where permitted by law, as principal for clients but receives no additional brokerage execution compensation for the account. Clients authorize Scott & Stringfellow to effect brokerage transactions, including on a national exchange, as permitted by current provisions of Section 11(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules promulgated thereunder. Scott & Stringfellow's PMP investment managers also are authorized to purchase for their own accounts securities that are purchased for clients subject to Scott & Stringfellow's Code of Ethics.

It is Scott & Stringfellow's practice, when feasible, to aggregate for execution as a single transaction orders for the purchase or sale of a particular security for the accounts of PMP (including employee, Scott & Stringfellow or Scott & Stringfellow affiliates' PMP accounts), in order to seek a lower commission or more advantageous net price. The benefit, if any, obtained as a result of such aggregation generally is allocated *pro rata* among the accounts of PMP.

Advisory Business of Scott & Stringfellow

For a full description of the Advisory Services offered by Scott & Stringfellow, please see Item 4B of Scott & Stringfellow's Firm 2A Disclosure Brochure.

Performance-Based Fees and Side-by-Side Management

We do not charge advisory fees based on the capital appreciation of the funds or securities in a client account (so-called performance-based fees). Our advisory fee compensation for each of our Programs is disclosed in Item 5 of Scott & Stringfellow's Firm Disclosure Brochure dated March 31, 2011.

Methods of Analysis, Investment Strategies and Risk of Loss

For a description of the methods of analysis and investment strategies of each of our Programs, see Item 4B of Scott & Stringfellow's Firm Disclosure Brochure dated March 31, 2011.

These methods vary widely across the Firm and Managers. Security analysis methods include charting, fundamental, technical and cyclical analysis. Investment strategies used to implement advice given to clients may include long term purchases (securities held at least a year), short term purchases (securities sold within a year), trading (securities sold within 30 days), short sales, margin transactions and approved option writing.

Risk of Loss

All investments in securities include a risk of loss of your principal (invested amount) and any profits that have not been realized. As you know, stock markets and bond markets fluctuate substantially over time. In addition, as recent global and domestic economic events have indicated, performance of any investment is not guaranteed. As a result, there is a risk of loss of the assets we manage that may be out of our control. We will do our very best in the management of your assets; however, we cannot guarantee any level of performance or that you will not experience a loss of your account assets.

Voting Client Securities

As a shareholder in the securities in which client's PMP account are invested, Client will receive shareholder communications, including proxy materials, from the individual companies, their service providers or Clearview. Scott & Stringfellow will not advise client on the voting of proxies or advise client in legal proceedings involving companies.

Item 7 – Client Information Provided to Portfolio Manager

As portfolio manager, Scott & Stringfellow has access to all client information provided to them by client so it and the Program's qualifying S&S Financial Advisors may properly manage the client account on an on-going basis.

Item 8 – Client Contact with Portfolio Manager

Clients have access to the portfolio manager through various means acceptable to both parties either in-person, by conference call or email.

Item 9 – Disciplinary Information

As a registered broker-dealer and investment advisor, we from time to time are subject to disciplinary actions from our regulators. Such disciplinary actions have historically been and are currently disclosed on our Forms BD and ADV Part 1. We disclose the following disciplinary events which occurred within the last ten (10) years which we believe may be material to you when evaluating us to initiate or continue a Client/Adviser relationship with us.

On April 15, 2002, NASD Regulation, Inc. initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket #CMS020106 AWC). The allegations related to this action are NASDR alleged that Scott & Stringfellow, Inc. ("S&S") failed to use reasonable diligence to

ascertain the best inter-dealer market for selected transactions during the period July 1, 1999 through September 30, 1999.

Without admitting or denying the allegations, Scott & Stringfellow, Inc. ("S&S") entered into a letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent ("AWC") relating to certain account transactions during the period July 1, 1999 through September 30, 1999, relating to S&S's diligence in ascertaining the best inter-dealer market for selected customer orders. On June 27, 2002, S&S agreed to a censure, to pay a fine of \$15,000, and to make restitution to customers of \$4,861.13 plus interest.

On October 16, 2003, the New York Stock Exchange initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket #03-207). The allegations related to this action are violations of Rules 15c3-3(E)(1) and 15c3-3(E)(1)/01. It was alleged that applicant transacted options from branch where supervisor is not properly qualified, and failed to properly compute its customer reserve requirement and deposited the special reserve funds into a money market deposit account that exceeded the amount permitted by the Rule. In May 2000, two of S&S former brokers executed options contracts in their personal accounts in a manner designed to evade S&S's Compliance Procedures. During the applicant's investigation into the trading the applicant determined that the branch office where these individuals worked did not have a ROP. The applicant has subsequently revised its procedures and the branch manager is now registered as a ROP. In an unrelated matter, S&S determined that one of its employees made an error in a spreadsheet calculation that caused a hindsight deficiency in the applicant's reserve deposit for the week of November 22, 2002. The applicant corrected the error and notified the SEC and MFR immediately. In conjunction with this error, the applicant exceeded the amount of allowable deposits into a money market deposit account. Upon discovery, the applicant took corrective action, and subsequently revised its procedures so that an automated system performs these calculations. Without admitting or denying the allegations, S&S consented to a censure and a \$50,000.00 fine to resolve these matters.

On March 8, 2004, NASD Regulation, Inc. initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket #CMS040022 AWC). NASDR alleged that S&S failed to use reasonable diligence to ascertain the best inter-dealer market for selected transactions during the period April 1, through June 30, 2002. Without admitting or denying the allegations, S&S entered into a letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent ("AWC") relating to certain account transactions during the period April 1, through June 30, 2002, relating to S&S's diligence in ascertaining the best inter-dealer market for selected customer orders. On January 22, 2004, S&S agreed to a censure, to pay a fine of \$25,000, and to make restitution to customers of \$615.43 plus interest.

On September 13, 2004, NASD Regulation, Inc. initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket #CMS040159). NASD alleged that S&S failed to use reasonable diligence to ascertain the best inter-dealer market for selected transactions during the period January 1, through March 31, 2003. Without

admitting or denying the allegations, S&S entered into a Letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent ("AWC") relating to certain account transactions during the period January 1, through March 31, 2003, relating to S&S's diligence in ascertaining the best inter-dealer market for selected customer orders. On October 14, 2004, the NASD agreed to accept S&S's AWC wherein S&S agreed to a censure, to pay a fine of \$35,000, to revise its written supervisory procedures and to make restitution to customers of \$595.20 plus interest.

On November 14, 2005, NASD Regulation, Inc. initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket #20042000064-01AWC). NASD alleged that: (i) on 39 occasions during the period of September 4, 2002 through December 31, 2002, the Firm failed to immediately display customer limit orders in Nasdaq securities in its public quotation, when each such order was at a price that would have improved its bid or offer in each such security or when the order was priced equal to the Firm's bid or offer and the national best bid or offer for each such security, and the size of the order represented more than a de minimis change in relation to the size associated with the Firm's bid or offer in each such security, in violation of Securities Exchange Act Rule 11Ac1-4; (ii) During the same period, the Firm transmitted to OATS 15 reports that contained inaccurate, incomplete, or improperly formatted data in that the reports omitted a special handling code of not held and six reports that contained inaccurate, incomplete, or improperly formatted data in that the reports omitted all subsequent order events, in violation of NASD Rules 6955(a) and 2110; (iii) the Firm's supervisory system was inadequate with respect to limit order display in that the Firm's exception reports for monitoring compliance with the limit order display rule failed to identify the violations described above and such supervisory system did not include written supervisory procedures providing for a statement of the supervisory steps to be taken by the person(s) responsible for supervision with respect to the applicable rules, in violation of NASD Rules 2110 and 3010 and (iv) the Firm incorrectly distributed or published, or caused to be distributed or published, 139 reports of a purchase or sale of municipal bonds that were not required to be distributed or published, in violation of MSRB Rule G-14. On November 14, 2005, the NASD agreed to accept S&S's AWC wherein S&S agreed to a censure, to pay a fine of \$37,500, and an undertaking to revise the Firm's written supervisory procedures to address the alleged inadequacies described above.

On August 29, 2005, NASD initiated a regulatory action against the applicant. NASD alleged that, between February 1, 2004 and May 31, 2004, the applicant, Bergen Capital, Inc. (CRD# 46348) who merged into the broker dealer, Scott & Stringfellow, Inc. on January 4, 2006, failed to record the time of receipt on certain municipal order tickets in violation of municipal securities rulemaking board rule g(8)(a)(vii), section 17(a) of the securities exchange act of 1934, rule 17a-3 thereunder and NASD conduct rules 3110 and 2110. (Docket/Case Number #E9B2004001503). The applicant

consented to censure and payment of a fine in the amount of \$7,500 pursuant to the Letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent with respect to such matter ("AWC"). The fine was paid by the applicant on September 16, 2005. The applicant consented, without admitting or denying the allegations, to the issuance of the AWC. The AWC was accepted by the NASD on August 29, 2005.

On November 22, 2005, NASD initiated a regulatory action against the applicant. NASD alleged that, between July 15, 2003 and December 31, 2004, the applicant, Bergen Capital, Inc. (CRD# 46348) who merged into the broker dealer, Scott & Stringfellow, Inc. on January 4, 2006, disseminated to the investing public 17 pieces of advertising and sales literature relating to municipal, corporate, and government bonds that allegedly violated NASD conduct rules 2210 and 2110 (Docket/Case Number # EAF0401260002). Thirteen of these pieces were radio advertisements broadcast on three New York metropolitan area radio stations that allegedly minimized the risks associated with bond investing and contained allegedly misleading and promissory language. The applicant also used two websites and two newsletters that were allegedly misleading and omitted allegedly necessary disclosures in violation of NASD conduct rules 2210 and 2110. Ten pieces of advertising or sales literature allegedly omitted material information and 10 communications included allegedly misleading, unwarranted or exaggerated statements or claims. In addition, seven of the applicant's radio advertisements and one of its websites allegedly violated SIPC rules applicable to communications with the public. The applicant consented to censure, payment of a fine in the amount of \$115,000 and an undertaking to file with the NASD's advertising regulation department ("ARD") all sales literature and advertisements at least 15 days prior to their first use until the earlier of six months from the acceptance by the NASD's national adjudicatory council ("NAC") of the Letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent with respect to this matter ("AWC") or such time as the form BDW of Bergen Capital, Inc. becomes effective. The fine was paid by the applicant on December 13, 2005. The applicant consented, without admitting or denying the allegations, to the issuance of the AWC. The AWC was accepted by NASD on November 23, 2005.

On June 26, 2006, the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket/Case Number #HPD 06-98). The NYSE Division of Enforcement alleged that on 2 occasions between January 2003 and March 2003 S&S's Branch Office Manager approved erroneous trades, after the corrections were made, in violation of Rule 410, that on 6 occasions, as a result of cumulative purchases, customers purchases of Class B mutual funds exceeded recommended purchase amounts in the fund prospectus, and that the firm did not have adequate procedures concerning pre-dissemination review of internal e-mail by a research analyst. S&S consented without admitting or denying guilt, to the entry of stipulation and consent, that was accepted by an NYSE Hearings Officer on June 26, 2006.

In the consent, without admitting or denying guilt, S&S agreed to a censure and to the payment of a fine of \$75,000.

On June 29, 2006, the NASD initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket/Case Number #E9A2004009101). It was alleged that between July 1, 2004 and September 30, 2004 S&S failed to timely report 6 transactions to the MSRB in violation of Rule G-14 and that between June 2002 and February 2004 S&S failed to timely file statements to the MSRB for 17 transactions in violation of Rule G-36. S&S consented to the payment of a fine in the amount of \$5,000 pursuant to the Letter of Acceptance Waiver and Consent ("AWC"). S&S consented without admitting or denying the allegations to the issuance of the AWC. The AWC was accepted by the NASD on June 26, 2005.

On August 16, 2006, the Virginia State Corporation Commission, Securities Division initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket/Case Number #2006-00032). The Division alleged that one of Scott & Stringfellow, Inc.'s ("S&S") registered representatives made an unsuitable recommendation to sell part of a variable annuity and purchase mutual funds to one customer, and thus, that S&S failed to properly supervise the registered representative. While S&S and the registered representative believe they had meritorious defenses to the allegations, without admitting or denying the allegations and in order to avoid the expense of litigation, S&S and its registered representative agreed to the consent order, fully resolving the matter. The consent order levied a fine of \$6,000. S&S was charged with \$4,000 and the registered representative was charged with the remaining \$2,000. S&S was also charged an additional \$2,000 in investigative charges. The registered representative was placed under heightened supervision for solicited mutual fund sales for a period of 12 months. The consent order was executed by the Securities Division on September 1, 2006.

On July 30, 2007, FINRA initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket/Case Number #2006003791101). It was alleged that between December 17, 2004 and March 31, 2006, S&S failed to timely report 16 transactions to the MSRB and that it failed to file one Form G-36 (05) and final official statement to the MSRB in violation of Rule G-36. S&S consented, without admitting or denying the allegations, to the issuance of the Acceptance Waiver and Consent ("AWC"), and to the payment of a fine in the amount of \$7,500 pursuant to the AWC. The AWC was accepted by the FINRA on July 30, 2007.

On August 6, 2009, FINRA initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket/Case Number #2008011754301). It was alleged that between June 27, 2006 and June 6, 2008, the firm failed to report on a Form G-37 eight (8) instances in which it had participated in negotiated securities underwriting activities. The firm consented, without admitting or denying the findings, to the issuance of the letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent ("AWC"), and to the payment of a fine in the amount of \$10,000 pursuant to the AWC. The AWC was accepted by FINRA on August 6, 2009.

On September 17, 2009, FINRA initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket/Case Number #2006004160701). As a result of a series of TMMS, TRACE and short sale reporting examinations covering the November 1, 2005 through 2007 period, FINRA alleged that the firm had some violations regarding individual registrations, Rule 10b-10, Rule 606 and Rule 605, TRACE reporting, short sale reporting and written supervisory procedures. The firm consented, without admitting or denying the findings, to the issuance of the letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent ("AWC"), to the payment of a fine in the amount of \$72,500, and to revise certain written supervisory procedures, pursuant to the AWC. The AWC was accepted by FINRA on September 17, 2009.

On January 6, 2010, the Commonwealth of Virginia, State Corporation Commission, initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket/Case Number #SEC-2009-00112). The Virginia State Corporation Commission's Division of Securities and Retail Franchising alleged that the Firm violated Commission Rules 21 VAC 5-20-260A and B, and 21 VAC 5-20-580A3 and A18 in connection with the Firm's marketing and sale of auction rate securities to Virginia residents. Prior to entering into this settlement with the Virginia State Corporation Commission, the Firm offered to purchase, at par, auction rate securities ("ARS") from certain eligible customers ("Offer"). As part of the settlement, the Firm undertook to: abide by the terms and conditions of its Offer; make up the difference paid to any eligible customers who sold ARS below par; reimburse eligible customers for expenses on ARS secured loans; and participate in FINRA's ARS Arbitration Program. Although the Firm believed that it had meritorious defenses to the allegations, to avoid the uncertainty and expense of litigation, and without admitting or denying the allegations, the Firm settled the matter. The Order was entered by the Virginia State Corporation Commission on January 6, 2010.

On May 27, 2010, the Commonwealth of Virginia, State Corporate Commission (the "Commission"), initiated a regulatory action against the applicant (Docket/Case Number #SEC-2010-00091). The Commission alleged that the firm violated Securities Rule 21 VAC 5-20-260 B for inadequate supervision in connection with a former registered representative's recommendation and sale of allegedly unsuitable securities to a customer. Without admitting or denying the allegations, and to avoid the cost and uncertainty of continued investigation by the Commission, the firm agreed to the entry of a settlement order, fully and finally resolving the matter with the Commission. The settlement order levied a fine of \$20,000 against the firm. The firm was also charged an additional \$5,000 in investigative charges. The settlement order was executed by the Commission on January 6, 2011. The \$20,000 fine and \$5,000 investigative charges were paid on December 31, 2010 and no portion of either was waived. A \$10,000 rescission offer to the customer was made on January 10, 2011. The registered representative who was the subject of the investigation is no longer employed by the firm.

On June 19, 2012, FINRA accepted a Letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent ("AWC") from Scott & Stringfellow, LLC (the "Firm") (Case Number 20090195365). The allegations are as follows: During the period from January 2008 through June 2009 (the "Relevant Period"), Scott & Stringfellow failed to establish and maintain a supervisory system, including written procedures, reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable NASD and/or FINRA rules in connection with the sale of leveraged, inverse, and inverse-leveraged Exchange-Traded Funds ("Non-Traditional ETFs"). Non-Traditional ETFs have certain risks that are not found in traditional ETFs, such as the risks associated with a daily reset, leverage and compounding. The performance of Non-Traditional ETFs over longer periods of time can differ significantly from the performance of their underlying index or benchmark, especially in volatile markets. Nonetheless, Scott & Stringfellow supervised Non-Traditional ETFs the same way it supervised traditional ETFs. Thus, Scott & Stringfellow failed to establish a reasonable supervisory system and written procedures to monitor the sale of Non-Traditional ETFs. Scott & Stringfellow also failed to establish adequate formal training regarding Non-Traditional ETFs during the Relevant Period. In addition, certain Scott & Stringfellow registered representatives did not have an adequate understanding of Non-Traditional ETFs before recommending these products to retail brokerage customers. Certain Scott & Stringfellow registered representatives also made unsuitable recommendations of Non-Traditional ETFs to certain customers with the primary investment objectives of income or capital preservation. The Firm consented, without admitting or denying the findings, to the imposition of the following sanctions in the AWC, namely a censure and a fine in the amount of \$350,000. The Firm paid the fine on June 27, 2012.

Other Financial Industry Activities and Affiliations

Scott & Stringfellow is a registered broker-dealer.

BB&T Investment Services, Inc., a FINRA member broker-dealer and a state registered investment adviser, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of BB&T Corporation, a bank holding company.

Scott & Stringfellow serves as a sub-advisor to Sterling Capital Funds (the funds are distributed by Sterling Capital Distributor, Inc.) for certain funds offered by Sterling Capital Funds. Scott & Stringfellow is paid a fee by Sterling Capital Funds based on the assets under management under a sub-advisory agreement signed by both parties.

Clearview, a FINRA member clearing broker-dealer of securities, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of BB&T Corporation. Clearview acts as the clearing firm for Scott & Stringfellow.

Scott & Stringfellow and the Professional Management Program are affiliated by common ownership with SHDR Investment Advisers and Sterling Capital Management LLC, which are wholly-owned subsidiaries of BB&T Corporation and are SEC-registered investment advisers.

BB&T Insurance Services, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Branch Banking and Trust Company.

Scott & Stringfellow's affiliated advisers (BB&T Investment Services, Inc., Sterling Capital Management and SHDR Investment Advisers, Inc.) may manage limited partnerships or other private funds. A complete list of partnerships managed by these companies can be obtained by viewing each respective adviser's ADV Part I, Schedule D, Section 7.B. Scott & Stringfellow's customers are not solicited to invest in any of the affiliated companies' limited partnerships.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted an Investment Advisory Code of Ethics based on the principle that all Investment Advisory Representatives have a fiduciary duty to place the interest of clients ahead of their own. This Code of Ethics is designed to (i) ensure we meet our fiduciary obligations to you, our Client, and (ii) foster and maintain a Culture of Compliance within our Firm. On an annual basis, all Investment Advisory Representatives are required to certify in writing that they are aware and will abide by the principles of the Code. We also supplement the Code with annual training and on-going monitoring of investment advisory activity.

Our Code includes the following:

- Requirements related to the confidentiality of our Client;
- Policies relating to conflicts of interest;
- Prohibitions on:
 - Insider trading;
 - Use of proprietary information, and
 - Rumor mongering;
- Policies relating to employee and Firm transactions;

Our Code does not prohibit personal trading by employees or proprietary trading by our Firm. As you may imagine, as a professional investment adviser, we follow our own advice. As a result, we or our employees may purchase or sell the same or similar securities (or securities that are suitable for a Firm or employee or related account but not suitable for any client, including you) at the same time that we place transactions for your account and the accounts of our other Clients.

On an annual basis, we require all Investment Advisory Representatives to re-certify to our Code. Individuals who are designated as "Access Persons" are required to make quarterly reports to Compliance of all securities transactions made in their covered accounts. By January 31st of each year Access Persons must also file an Annual Holdings Report.

You may request a complete copy of our Code by contacting us at the address or telephone number on the cover page of this Brochure; attn.: Chief Compliance Officer.

Review of Accounts

For the PMP account, a Scott & Stringfellow wrap fee program, the client's investment objective and strategy are reviewed for consistency with PMP guidelines when each PMP account is opened.

The Firm and its advisors are responsible for the continuous monitoring of the activity in their clients' fee-based accounts to ensure the respective advisory program is appropriate. The Firm's Centralized Supervision Unit (CSU) is responsible for the daily review of S&S's advisory accounts. Each month CSU will review a selected sample of advisory accounts which review includes, but is not limited to, suitability, trading volume, cash balance and overall performance. On a quarterly basis, the advisor will review the account's performance and adherence to the Client's investment strategy using the reports provided by Scott and Stringfellow. The advisor will meet with Clients on at least an annual basis.

Clearview provides PMP clients with periodic reports of relevant activity. Each PMP account receives: (1) confirmation of each transaction in securities (except money market mutual fund transactions); (2) monthly statements of account; and (3) annual summary of transactions and dividend and interest statements. At account inception PMP clients may elect not to receive a confirmation for each securities transaction, which election may be rescinded at any time.

Client Referrals and Other Compensation

Non-employee third-party consultants who are directly responsible for bringing a client to Scott & Stringfellow; such as accountants, may receive compensation from Scott & Stringfellow. Such agreements will comply with the requirements set out in Rule 206(4)-3 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended, including the requirement that the relationship between the solicitor and the investment advisor be disclosed to the client at the time of

the solicitation or referral. Referral fees are a percentage of the annual management fees earned by Scott & Stringfellow on referred accounts and represent no additional expenses to such accounts. The Client will be requested to acknowledge this arrangement prior to acceptance of the Clients' funds.

In certain cases, applicable state laws may require these third-party consultants to become either licensed as representatives of Scott & Stringfellow or as independent investment advisors.

Financial Information

Scott & Stringfellow does not charge or solicit pre-payment of fees by clients six months or more in advance. It will bill fees in advance each quarter. Scott & Stringfellow is not aware of any financial conditions or events which are reasonably likely to impair its ability to meet its contractual commitments to its clients.

Item 10 – Requirements for State-Registered Advisers

N/A