

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
CHARLOTTE DIVISION

FILED  
CHARLOTTE, NC

DEC 16 2014

US District Court  
Western District of NC

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN W. FEMENIA, SHAWN C.  
HEGEDUS, DANIELLE C. LAURENTI,  
CORAM REAL ESTATE HOLDING,  
INC., GOLDSTAR P.S. INC., ROGER A.  
WILLIAMS, KENNETH M. RABY,  
FRANK M. BURGESS, JAMES A.  
HAYES, MATTHEW J. MUSANTE,  
ANTHONY C. MUSANTE and AARON M.  
WENS,

Defendants,

and

KRISTINE LACK and CHRISTINE E.  
MUSANTE,

Relief Defendants.

Civil Action No.

3:12-cv-803-GCM

**JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT KENNETH M. RABY**

The Securities and Exchange Commission having filed a complaint and a First Amended Complaint and Defendant Kenneth M. Raby (“Defendant”), having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court’s jurisdiction over Defendant and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Judgment; waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant’s agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;

(b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or

(c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

## II.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant shall pay disgorgement of ill-gotten gains and prejudgment interest thereon; that the amounts of the disgorgement and civil penalty shall be determined by the Court upon motion of the Commission; and that prejudgment interest shall be calculated from December 5, 2012, based on the rate of interest used by the Internal Revenue Service for the underpayment of federal income tax as set forth in 26 U.S.C. § 6621(a)(2). Upon motion of the Commission, the Court shall determine whether a civil penalty pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) and 21A of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(3) and 78u-1] is appropriate and, if so, the amount of the penalty. In connection with the Commission's motion for disgorgement and/or civil penalties, and at any hearing held on such a motion: (a) Defendant will be precluded from arguing that he did not violate the federal securities laws as alleged in the First Amended Complaint; (b) Defendant may not challenge the validity of the Consent or this Judgment; (c) solely for the purposes

of such motion, the allegations of the First Amended Complaint shall be accepted as and deemed true by the Court; and (d) the Court may determine the issues raised in the motion on the basis of affidavits, declarations, excerpts of sworn deposition or investigative testimony, and documentary evidence, without regard to the standards for summary judgment contained in Rule 56(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

III.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Consent is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Defendant shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Judgment.

V.

There being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Judgment forthwith and without further notice.

Dated: 16 Dec 2014

Graham C. Muller  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE