IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

★ AUG 2 4 2011

BROOKLYN OFFICE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

No. 10 civ. 1742 (JBW)

ECF Case

-against-

GRYPHON HOLDINGS, INC., ET AL.,

Defendants and Relief Defendants.

Marsh, et al., 10-cr-480 (E.D.N.Y.) ("Criminal Action").

ORDER

WHEREAS, on May 17, 2011, plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") moved for partial summary judgment against defendant Jeanne M. Lada based on defendant Lada's plea agreement, guilty plea, and allocation in the related criminal action, United States v.

WHEREAS, on June 27, 2011, the SEC moved for partial summary judgment against defendant Baldwin Anderson based on his plea agreement, guilty plea, and allocation in the Criminal Action.

WHEREAS, defendants Lada and Anderson have not filed any oppositions to the SEC's motions for partial summary judgment against them.

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, on the basis of the plea agreements, guilty pleas, and allocutions of defendants Lada and Anderson, the SEC's motions for partial summary judgment against defendants are hereby granted.



H.

and Anderson and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently retrained and enjoined from directly or indirectly violating Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

III.

and Anderson and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from directly or indirectly violating Section 206(1) or 206(2) of the Investment Advisors Act of 1940 ("Advisors Act") [15 U.S.C. § 80b-6(1), (2)], by singly or in concert with others, while acting as an investment advisor or associated

person of an investment advisor, by use of any means or instrument of transportation or communication in, or any instrumentality of, interstate commerce, or the mails:

- (a) employing any device, scheme or artifice to defraud any advisory client or prospective client; or
- engaging in any transaction, practice or course of business which operates or (b) would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any advisory client or prospective client.

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that upon motion by the SEC, which motion shall be made within 60 days following the sentencing of defendants Lada and Anderson, respectively, in the Criminal Action, the Court shall determine whether it is appropriate to order these defendants to pay disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, prejudgment interest thereon, and/or civil penalties pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3)] and Section 209(e)(1) of the Advisers Act [15 U.S.C. § 80b-9(e)(1)] and, if so, the amounts of such disgorgement, prejudgment interest, and/or civil penalty.

V.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Judgment.

States District Judge