

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	§	
COMMISSION,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 3:10-CV-1068-O
	§	
BLAKE G. WILLIAMS, TBECK CAPITAL, INC	§	
WARREN STREET INVESTMENTS, INC.,	§	
VICTORIA FINANCIAL CONSULTANTS, LLC,	§	
BGW ENTERPRISES, INC., EMERGING	§	
RESOURCES, INC., VALEK INVESTMENTS,	§	
INC., DEREK LOPEZ, and DA BIG	§	
KAHUNA, LLC,	§	
Defendants.	§	

FINAL JUDGMENT

In a hearing on February 3, 2011, the Court entered a Final Judgment against Defendants Blake G. Williams, TBeck Capital, Inc., Warren Street Investments, Inc., Victoria Financial Consultants, LLC, BGW Enterprises, Inc., Emerging Resources, Inc., and Valek Investments, Inc. (collectively “Defendants”).

This Court, having considered the pleadings and declarations on file herein, makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The Commission’s Complaint commencing this civil action against Defendants was filed on May 27, 2010. The Complaint and Summonses were served in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on the following dates: (1) as to Warren Street Investments, Inc., on June 10, 2010; and (2) as to Valek Investments, Inc., BGW Enterprises, Inc., TBeck Capital Inc., Emerging Resources, Inc., Victoria Financial Consultants, LLC and Blake G. Williams, on August 14, 2010.

2. Defendants have not filed an answer to the Commission’s Complaint, nor otherwise

appeared before this Court to defend in this cause.

3. Defendants are neither infants, nor incompetent persons, nor are they eligible for relief under the Soldiers' and Sailors' Civil Relief Act of 1940 [50 U.S.C. Appendix, § 501 *et seq.*].

4. The allegations in the Commission's Complaint as to Defendants Blake G. Williams, TBeck Capital, Inc., Warren Street Investments, Inc., Victoria Financial Consultants, LLC, BGW Enterprises, Inc., Emerging Resources, Inc., and Valek Investments, Inc. are deemed admitted.

5. The Commission is entitled to an interlocutory judgment permanently enjoining each of Defendants Blake G. Williams, TBeck Capital, Inc., Warren Street Investments, Inc., Victoria Financial Consultants, LLC, BGW Enterprises, Inc., Emerging Resources, Inc., and Valek Investments, Inc. from violating Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e (a) and (c)], and from violating Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j (b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], and permanently enjoining Defendant Williams from violating Section 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)].

6. The Commission is entitled to an interlocutory judgment permanently barring Defendant Blake G. Williams from serving as an officer or director of any company that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78l] or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(d)], pursuant to Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act, [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)], and Section 20(e) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(e)].

7. The Commission is entitled to an interlocutory judgment permanently barring each of Defendants Blake G. Williams, TBeck Capital, Inc., Warren Street Investments, Inc., Victoria Financial Consultants, LLC, BGW Enterprises, Inc., Emerging Resources, Inc., and Valek

Investments, Inc. from participating in any offering of any penny stock pursuant to Section 21(d)(6) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §78u(d)(6)] and Section 20(g) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §77t(g)].

8. Defendant Williams is required to disgorge an amount equal to the funds and benefits he obtained illegally as a result of the violations adjudged herein, plus prejudgment interest on that amount. The illegal profits obtained by Defendant Williams as a result of his sales of the common stock of the relevant issuers totals \$2,028,168. The prejudgment interest, calculated for a rate beginning May 1, 2008 and ending January 1, 2011 is \$\$245,754.79. In addition, Defendant Williams is required to pay a civil monetary penalty in the amount of \$130,000.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

I.

Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this final judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, are permanently restrained and enjoined from:

1. Violating Sections 5(a) and (c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77e (a) and (c)] by directly or indirectly, (i) making use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell securities, through the use or medium of a prospectus or otherwise; and/or (ii) making use of the means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or offer to buy securities through the use or medium of any prospectus or otherwise, without a registration statement having been filed or being in effect with the SEC as to such securities.

2. Violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] by directly or indirectly, in the use of any means or instruments of interstate commerce, of

the mails or of any facility of any national securities exchange, using or employing in connection with the purchase or sale of any security registered on a national securities exchange or any security not so registered any manipulative or deceptive device or contrivance in contravention of a rule or regulation prescribed by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

3. Violating Rule 10b-5 of the Exchange Act, [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by directly or indirectly, in the use of any means or instruments of interstate commerce, of the mails or of any facility of any national securities exchange: (i) employing any device, scheme or artifice to defraud; (ii) making any untrue statement of a material fact or omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statement(s) made, in the light of the circumstances under which were made, not misleading; or (iii) engaging in any act, practice or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

II.

Defendant Williams, his agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with him who receive actual notice of this final judgment by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 15(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(a)], by, as a broker or dealer, directly or indirectly, making use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of any security, any without being registered in accordance with Section 15(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(b)].

III.

Defendants are permanently barred from participating in an offering of penny stock, including engaging in activities with a broker, dealer, or issuer for purposes of issuing, trading, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock. A penny stock is any

equity security that has a price of less than five dollars, except as provided in Rule 3a51-1 under the Exchange Act [17 C.F.R. 240.3a51-1].

IV.


Pursuant to Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(2)] and Section 20(e) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(e)], Defendant Williams is permanently prohibited from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78l] or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78o(d)].

V.

Defendant Williams is ordered to pay \$2,028,168 for the disgorgement of illegally obtained profits plus \$245,754.79 in prejudgment interest, totaling \$2,273,922.79. In addition, Defendant Williams is ordered to pay \$130,000 in civil penalties.

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that all relief not specifically granted herein is denied.

SO ORDERED this 3rd day of February, 2011.


Reed O'Connor
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE