

Sullivan, J

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

ARTHUR J. CUTILLO,
JASON C. GOLDFARB,
ZVI GOFFER,
CRAIG C. DRIMAL,
SCHOTTENFELD GROUP, LLC,
GAUTHAM SHANKAR,
DAVID PLATE,
EMANUEL GOFFER,
and
MICHAEL KIMELMAN,

Defendants.

USDS SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 1-31-12

09 Civ. 9208 (RJS)

ECF CASE

FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT CRAIG DRIMAL

The Securities and Exchange Commission having filed a Complaint and Defendant Craig Drimal ("Defendant") having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Final Judgment; waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section

10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

II.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant is liable for disgorgement of \$6,711,805, representing profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint, together with prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$970,481. Defendant's payment of disgorgement and prejudgment interest shall be deemed satisfied by the criminal forfeiture ordered by the Court in the pending criminal case before the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York titled, United States v. Craig Drimal, 10-CR-0056 (the "Parallel Criminal Action"). No civil penalty is being imposed in light of the Judgment entered against Defendant on August 31, 2011, in the Parallel Criminal Action, pursuant to which Defendant was sentenced to a term of imprisonment of sixty-six (66) months and ordered to pay a criminal forfeiture of \$11,000,000.

III.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Consent is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Defendant shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment.

VI.

There being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Final Judgment forthwith and without further notice.

Dated:

Jan. 31, 2012


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

ARTHUR J. CUTILLO,
JASON C. GOLDFARB,
ZVI GOFFER,
CRAIG C. DRIMAL,
SCHOTTENFELD GROUP, LLC,
GAUTHAM SHANKAR,
DAVID PLATE,
EMANUEL GOFFER,
and
MICHAEL KIMELMAN,

Defendants.

09 Civ. 9208 (RJS)

ECF CASE

CONSENT OF DEFENDANT CRAIG DRIMAL

1. Defendant Craig Drimal (“Defendant”) acknowledges having been served with the complaint in this action, enters a general appearance, and admits the Court’s jurisdiction over Defendant and over the subject matter of this action.

2. Defendant hereby consents to the entry of the Final Judgment in the form attached hereto (the “Judgment”) and incorporated by reference herein, which, among other things:

- (a) permanently restrains and enjoins Defendant from violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)], and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]; and

(b) orders Defendant to pay disgorgement in the amount of \$6,711,805, plus prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$970,481, for a total of \$7,682,286; but provides that Defendant's payment of disgorgement and prejudgment interest shall be deemed satisfied by the criminal forfeiture ordered by the Court in the pending criminal case before the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York titled, United States v. Craig Drimal, 10-CR-0056, (the "Parallel Criminal Action").

3. Defendant acknowledges that no civil penalty is being imposed in light of the Judgment entered against Defendant on August 31, 2011 in the Parallel Criminal Action, pursuant to which Defendant was sentenced to a term of imprisonment of sixty-six (66) months and ordered to pay a criminal forfeiture of \$11,000,000.

4. Defendant waives the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

5. Defendant waives the right, if any, to a jury trial and to appeal from the entry of the Judgment.

6. Defendant enters into this Consent voluntarily and represents that no threats, offers, promises, or inducements of any kind have been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission to induce Defendant to enter into this Consent.

7. Defendant agrees that this Consent shall be incorporated into the Judgment with the same force and effect as if fully set forth therein.

8. Defendant will not oppose the enforcement of the Judgment on the ground, if any exists, that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and hereby waives any objection based thereon.

9. Defendant waives service of the Judgment and agrees that entry of the Judgment by the Court and filing with the Clerk of the Court will constitute notice to Defendant of its terms and conditions. Defendant further agrees to provide counsel for the Commission, within thirty days after the Judgment is filed with the Clerk of the Court, with an affidavit or declaration stating that Defendant has received and read a copy of the Judgment.

10. Consistent with 17 C.F.R. § 202.5(f), this Consent resolves only the claims asserted against Defendant in this civil proceeding. Defendant acknowledges that no promise or representation has been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission with regard to any criminal liability that may have arisen or may arise from the facts underlying this action or immunity from any such criminal liability. Defendant waives any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the settlement of this proceeding, including the imposition of any remedy or civil penalty herein. Defendant further acknowledges that the Court's entry of a permanent injunction may have collateral consequences under federal or state law and the rules and regulations of self-regulatory organizations, licensing boards, and other regulatory organizations. Such collateral consequences include, but are not limited to, a statutory disqualification with respect to membership or participation in, or association with a member of, a self-regulatory organization. This statutory disqualification has consequences that are separate from any sanction imposed in an administrative proceeding. In addition, in any disciplinary proceeding before the Commission based on the entry of the injunction in this

action, Defendant understands that he shall not be permitted to contest the factual allegations of the complaint in this action.

11. Defendant understands and agrees to comply with the Commission's policy "not to permit a defendant or respondent to consent to a judgment or order that imposes a sanction while denying the allegations in the complaint or order for proceedings." 17 C.F.R. § 202.5. In compliance with this policy, Defendant agrees: (i) not to take any action or to make or permit to be made any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegation in the complaint or creating the impression that the complaint is without factual basis; and (ii) that upon the filing of this Consent, Defendant hereby withdraws any papers filed in this action to the extent that they deny any allegation in the complaint. If Defendant breaches this agreement, the Commission may petition the Court to vacate the Judgment and restore this action to its active docket. Nothing in this paragraph affects Defendant's: (i) testimonial obligations; or (ii) right to take legal or factual positions in litigation or other legal proceedings in which the Commission is not a party.

12. Defendant hereby waives any rights under the Equal Access to Justice Act, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, or any other provision of law to seek from the United States, or any agency, or any official of the United States acting in his or her official capacity, directly or indirectly, reimbursement of attorney's fees or other fees, expenses, or costs expended by Defendant to defend against this action. For these purposes, Defendant agrees that Defendant is not the prevailing party in this action since the parties have reached a good faith settlement.

13. Defendant agrees that the Commission may present the Judgment to the Court for signature and entry without further notice.

14. Defendant agrees that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the Judgment.

Dated: 10/24/2011

Craig C. Drimal
Craig Drimal

On 10/24, 2011, Craig C. Drimal, a person known to me, personally appeared before me and acknowledged executing the foregoing Consent.

ARLENE VILLAMIA-DRIMAL
NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF NEW YORK
No. 0206244836
Qualified in New York County
Arlene Villamia-Drimal
Notary Public Commission Expires July 11, 2018
Commission expires:

Approved as to form:

Jane Anne Murray
Jane Anne Murray
Murray Law LLC
Woolworth Building
233 Broadway, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10279
Attorney for Craig Drimal