

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK


SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

-against-

GALLEON MANAGEMENT, LP,  
RAJ RAJARATNAM,  
RAJIV GOEL,  
ANIL KUMAR,  
DANIELLE CHIESI,  
MARK KURLAND,  
ROBERT MOFFAT,  
NEW CASTLE FUNDS LLC,  
ROOMY KHAN,  
DEEP SHAH,  
ALI HARIRI,  
ZVI GOFFER,  
DAVID PLATE,  
GAUTHAM SHANKAR,  
SCHOTTENFELD GROUP LLC,  
STEVEN FORTUNA,  
and  
S2 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP,

Defendants.



09 Civ. 8811 (JSR)

ECF CASE

FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ZVI GOFFER

The Securities and Exchange Commission having filed a Second Amended Complaint ("Complaint") and Defendant Zvi Goffer ("Defendant") having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Final Judgment; waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

II.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant is liable for disgorgement of \$265,709.33, representing profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint, plus prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$59,564.56, for a total of \$325,273.89. Defendant's payment of disgorgement and prejudgment interest shall be deemed satisfied by the forfeiture ordered by the Court in the criminal case before the United States District Court of the Southern District of New York titled, United States v. Zvi Goffer, 10-CR-00056 (the "Parallel Criminal Action"). No civil penalty is being imposed in light of the Judgment entered against Defendant on September 21, 2011, in the Parallel Criminal Action, pursuant to which Defendant was sentenced to a term of imprisonment of ten (10) years and ordered to pay an assessment of \$1,400 and forfeiture of \$10,022,931.

III.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Consent is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Defendant shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment.

V.

There being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Judgment forthwith and without further notice.

*Given defendant Hoffer's parallel criminal conviction, the Court is able to approve this Consent Judgment.*

Dated: 12/4/11

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

---

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

-against-

GALLEON MANAGEMENT, LP,  
RAJ RAJARATNAM,  
RAJIV GOEL,  
ANIL KUMAR,  
DANIELLE CHIESI,  
MARK KURLAND,  
ROBERT MOFFAT,  
NEW CASTLE FUNDS LLC,  
ROOMY KHAN,  
DEEP SHAH,  
ALI HARIRI,  
ZVI GOFFER,  
DAVID PLATE,  
GAUTHAM SHANKAR,  
SCHOTTENFELD GROUP LLC,  
STEVEN FORTUNA,  
and  
S2 CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP,

Defendants.

---

09 Civ. 8811 (JSR)

ECF CASE

**CONSENT OF DEFENDANT ZVI GOFFER**

1. Defendant Zvi Goffer ("Defendant") acknowledges having been served with the Second Amended Complaint ("Complaint") in this action, and previously having entered a general appearance, and admits the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and over the subject matter of this action.

2. Defendant hereby consents to the entry of the Final Judgment in the form attached hereto (the "Final judgment") and incorporated by reference herein, which, among other things:

- (a) permanently restrains and enjoins Defendant from violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)], and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]; and
- (b) orders Defendant to pay disgorgement in the amount of \$265,709.33, plus prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$59,564.56, for a total of \$325,273.89, but provides that Defendant's payment of disgorgement and prejudgment interest shall be deemed satisfied by the criminal forfeiture ordered by the Court in the criminal case before the United States District Court of the Southern District of New York titled, United States v. Zvi Goffer, 10-CR-00056 (the "Parallel Criminal Action").

3. Defendant acknowledges that no civil penalty is being imposed in light of the Judgment entered against Defendant on September 21, 2011, in the Parallel Criminal Action, pursuant to which Defendant was sentenced to a term of imprisonment of ten (10) years and ordered to pay forfeiture of \$10,022,931.

4. Defendant waives the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

5. Defendant waives the right, if any, to a jury trial and to appeal from the entry of the Final Judgment.

6. Defendant enters into this Consent voluntarily and represent that no threats, offers, promises, or inducements of any kind have been made by the Commission or any

member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission to induce Defendant to enter into this Consent.

7. Defendant agrees that this Consent shall be incorporated into the Final Judgment with the same force and effect as if fully set forth therein.

8. Defendant will not oppose the enforcement of the Final Judgment on the ground, if any exists, that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and hereby waives any objection based thereon.

9. Defendant waives service of the Final Judgment and agrees that entry of the Final Judgment by the Court and filing with the Clerk of the Court will constitute notice to Defendant of its terms and conditions. Defendant further agrees to provide counsel for the Commission, within thirty days after the Final Judgment is filed with the Clerk of the Court, with an affidavit or declaration stating that Defendant has received and read a copy of the Final Judgment.

10. Consistent with 17 C.F.R. 202.5(f), this Consent resolves only the claims asserted against Defendant in this civil proceeding. Defendant acknowledges that no promise or representation has been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission with regard to any criminal liability that may have arisen or may arise from the facts underlying this action or immunity from any such criminal liability. Defendant waives any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the settlement of this proceeding, including the imposition of any remedy or civil penalty herein. Defendant further acknowledges that the Court's entry of a permanent injunction may have collateral consequences under federal or state law and the rules and regulations of self-regulatory organizations, licensing boards, and other regulatory organizations. Such collateral consequences include, but are not limited to, a statutory disqualification with respect to membership or participation in, or association with a

member of, a self-regulatory organization. This statutory disqualification has consequences that are separate from any sanction imposed in an administrative proceeding. In addition, in any disciplinary proceeding before the Commission based on the entry of the injunction in this action, Defendant understands that he shall not be permitted to contest the factual allegations of the complaint in this action.

11. Defendant understands and agrees to comply with the Commission's policy "not to permit a defendant or respondent to consent to a judgment or order that imposes a sanction while denying the allegation in the complaint or order for proceedings." 17 C.F.R. § 202.5. In compliance with this policy, Defendant agrees: (i) not to take any action or to make or permit to be made any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegation in the complaint or creating the impression that the complaint is without factual basis; and (ii) that upon the filing of this Consent, Defendant hereby withdraw any papers filed in this action to the extent that they deny any allegation in the complaint. If Defendant breaches this agreement, the Commission may petition the Court to vacate the Final Judgment and restore this action to its active docket. Nothing in this Consent affects Defendant's: (i) testimonial obligations; or (ii) right to take legal or factual positions in litigation or other legal proceedings in which the Commission is not a party.

12. Defendant hereby waives any rights under the Equal Access to Justice Act, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, or any other provision of law to seek from the United States, or any agency, or any official of the United States acting in his or her official capacity, directly or indirectly, reimbursement of attorney's fees or other fees, expenses, or costs expended by Defendant to defend against this action. For these purposes,

Defendant agrees that Defendant is not the prevailing party in this action since the parties have reached a good faith settlement.


13. Defendant agrees that the Commission may present the Final Judgment to the Court for signature and entry without further notice.

14. Defendant agrees that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the Final Judgment.

Dated: 11-17-11

  
Zvi Goffer

On Nov 17<sup>th</sup>, 2011, Zvi Goffer, a person known to me, personally appeared before me and acknowledged executing the foregoing Consent.

  
Notary Public  
Commission expires:

Approved as to form:



Alexander M. Dudelson, Esq. (AD4809)  
Attorney for Zvi Goffer  
26 Court Street - Suite 2306  
Brooklyn, New York 11242  
(718) 855-5100

ALEXANDER DUDELSON  
Notary Public, State of New York  
No. 02DU8107380  
Qualified in New York County  
Commission Expires 03/29/2012

12-4-2011 ENDORSEMENT

Given defendant Goffer's parallel criminal conviction, the Court is able to approve this Consent Judgment.