## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA ORLANDO DIVISION

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

K&L International EnterpriseS, Inc., Signature Leisure, Inc., Signature Worldwide Advisors, LLC, Stephen W. Carnes, Lawrence A. Powalisz, Enzyme Environmental Solutions, Inc. and Jared E. Hochstedler, JUDGE GREGORY A. PRESNELL

No. 6:09-cv-01638-GAP-KRS

Defendants.

## FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT JARED E. HOCHSTEDLER

Upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for Entry of Final Judgment (Doc. 72), it is **ORDERED** that:

- 1. Defendant, Jared E. Hochstedler, and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 5 of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77e] by, directly or indirectly, in the absence of any applicable exemption:
  - (a) Unless a registration statement is in effect as to a security, making use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to sell such security through the use or medium of any prospectus or otherwise;

- (b) Unless a registration statement is in effect as to a security, carrying or causing to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce, by any means or instruments of transportation, any such security for the purpose of sale or for delivery after sale; or
- (c) Making use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails to offer to sell or offer to buy through the use or medium of any prospectus or otherwise any security, unless a registration statement has been filed with the Commission as to such security, or while the registration statement is the subject of a refusal order or stop order or (prior to the effective date of the registration statement) any public proceeding or examination under Section 8 of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77h].
- 2. Defendant is liable for disgorgement of \$1,445,000, representing profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint, together with prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$19,314, for a total of \$1,464,314. Based on Defendant's sworn representations in his Statement of Financial Condition dated April 21, 2010, and other documents and information submitted to the Commission, however, the Court is not ordering Defendant to pay a civil penalty. Payment of all but \$385,000 of the disgorgement and pre-judgment interest thereon is waived. The determination not to impose a civil penalty and to waive payment of all but \$385,000 of the disgorgement and pre-judgment interest is contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of Defendant's Statement of Financial Condition. If at any time following the entry of this Final Judgment the Commission obtains information indicating that Defendant's representations to the Commission concerning his assets, income, liabilities, or net worth were

fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made, the Commission may, at its sole discretion and without prior notice to Defendant, petition the Court for an order requiring Defendant to pay the unpaid portion of the disgorgement, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon, and the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law. In connection with any such petition, the only issue shall be whether the financial information provided by Defendant was fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made. In its petition, the Commission may move this Court to consider all available remedies, including, but not limited to, ordering Defendant to pay funds or assets, directing the forfeiture of any assets, or sanctions for contempt of this Final Judgment. The Commission may also request additional discovery. Defendant may not, by way of defense to such petition: (1) challenge the validity of the Consent or this Final Judgment; (2) contest the allegations in the Complaint filed by the Commission; (3) assert that payment of disgorgement, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest or a civil penalty should not be ordered; (4) contest the amount of disgorgement and prejudgment and post-judgment interest; (5) contest the imposition of the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law; or (6) assert any defense to liability or remedy, including, but not limited to, any statute of limitations defense.

Defendant shall pay \$385,000 pursuant to the terms of the payment schedule set forth in paragraph 3 below after entry of this Final Judgment to the Clerk of this Court, together with a cover letter identifying Jared E. Hochstedler as a defendant in this action; setting forth the title and civil action number of this action and the name of this Court; and specifying that payment is made pursuant to this Final Judgment. Defendant shall simultaneously transmit photocopies of

such payment and letter to the Commission's counsel in this action. By making this payment, Defendant relinquishes all legal and equitable right, title, and interest in such funds, and no part of the funds shall be returned to Defendant. Defendant shall pay post-judgment interest on any delinquent amounts pursuant to 28 USC § 1961.

The Clerk shall deposit the funds into an interest bearing account with the Court Registry Investment System ("CRIS") or any other type of interest bearing account that is utilized by the Court. These funds, together with any interest and income earned thereon (collectively, the "Fund"), shall be held in the interest bearing account until further order of the Court. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1914 and the guidelines set by the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, the Clerk is directed, without further order of this Court, to deduct from the income earned on the money in the Fund a fee equal to ten percent of the income earned on the Fund. Such fee shall not exceed that authorized by the Judicial Conference of the United States. The Commission may propose a plan to distribute the Fund subject to the Court's approval. Such a plan may provide that the Fund shall be distributed pursuant to the Fair Fund provisions of Section 308(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

3. Defendant Jared E. Hochstedler shall pay \$385,000 in two installments according to the following schedule: (1) \$65,000, within 30 days of entry of this Final Judgment; and (2) \$320,000, within two years of entry of this Final Judgment. If Defendant fails to make any payment by the date agreed and/or in the amount agreed according to the schedule set forth above, all outstanding payments under this Final Judgment minus any payments made, shall become due and payable immediately without further application to the Court.

4. The Defendant's Consent is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and Defendant shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

5. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter until December 31, 2012 for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment.

DONE and ORDERED this 17th day of December, 2010.

Copies to:

Counsel of Record

GREGORY A. PRESNELL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE