UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Filed 06/02/2009

Page 1 of 10

USDS SDNY

DOCUMENT

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DATE FILED

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

Plaintiff.

MITCHEL S. GUTTENBERG, ERIK R. FRANKLIN. DAVID M. TAVDY. MARK E. LENOWITZ, ROBERT D. BABCOCK, ANDREW A. SREBNIK, KEN OKADA, DAVID A. GLASS, MARC R. JURMAN, RANDI E. COLLOTTA, CHRISTOPHER K. COLLOTTA, O CAPITAL INVESTMENT PARTNERS, LP, DSJ INTERNATIONAL RESOURCES LTD. (d/b/a CHELSEY CAPITAL), and

JASPER CAPITAL LLC.

Defendants.

C.A. No. 07 CV 1774 / Act

FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT MITCHEL S. GUTTENBERG

The Securities and Exchange Commission having filed a Complaint and Defendant Mitchel S. Guttenberg ("Defendant") having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Final Judgment without admitting or denying the allegations of the Complaint (except as to jurisdiction); waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

L

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact **(b)** necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

П.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] in the offer or sale of any security by the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails, directly or indirectly:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to obtain money or property by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission of a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

Ш.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant is liable for disgorgement of \$15,810,000, representing profits as a result of the conduct alleged in the complaint. This disgorgement obligation is deemed satisfied by the November 3, 2008 criminal forfeiture order, in the amount of \$15,810,000, entered by the Court in the criminal case before the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, <u>United States v. Mitchel</u> <u>Guttenberg and David Tavdy</u>, 1:07-CR-141.

Ш.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Consent is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Defendant shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment.

٧.

There being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Final Judgment forthwith and without further notice.

Dated: 6 - 1 - 07

PHE HONORABLE P. KEVIN CASTEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

THIS DOCUMENT WAS ENTERED ON THE DOCKET ON _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

Plaintiff.

C.A. No. 07 CV 1774

MITCHEL S. GUTTENBERG, BRIK R. FRANKLIN, DAVID M. TAVDY, MARK B. LENOWITZ ROBERT D. BABCOCK. ANDREW A. SREBNIK, KEN OKADA. DAVID A. GLASS, MARC R. JURMAN, RANDIE COLLOTTA, CHRISTOPHER K. COLLOTTA. Q CAPITAL INVESTMENT PARTNERS, LP. DSJ INTERNATIONAL RESOURCES LTD. (4/6/2 CHELSEY CAPITAL), and JASPER CAPITAL LLC.

Defendants.

CONSENT OF DEFENDANT MITCHEL 8. GUTTENBERG

- Defendant Mitchel S. Guttenberg ("Defendant") acknowledges having been served with the complaint in this action, enters a general appearance, and admits the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and over the subject matter of this action.
- Without admitting or denying the allegations of the complaint (except as to personal and subject matter jurisdiction, which Defendant admits), Defendant hereby consents to the entry of the final Judgment in the form attached hereto (the "Final Judgment") and incorporated by reference herein, which, among other things:

- permanently restrains and enjoins Defendant from violation of Section **(a)** 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 770(a)] and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78](b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated. thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5];
- orders Defendant to pay disgorgement in the amount of \$15,810,000.
- 3. Defendant waives the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- Defendent weives the right, if any, to a jury trial and to appeal from the entry of the Final Judgment.
- Defendant enters into this Consent voluntarily and represents that no threats, 5. office, promises, or inducements of any kind have been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission to induce Defendant to enter into this Consent.
- Defendent agrees that this Consent shall be incorporated into the Final Judgment with the same force and effect as if fully set forth therein.
- Defendant will not oppose the enforcement of the Final Judgment on the ground, if any exists, that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Pederal Rules of Civil Procedure, and hereby waives my objection based thereon.
- Defendant waives service of the Final Judgment and agrees that entry of the Final Judgment by the Court and filing with the Clerk of the Court will constitute notice to Defendant of its terms and conditions. Defendant further agrees to provide counsel for the Commission.

within thirty days after the Final Judgment is filed with the Clerk of the Court, with an affidevit or declaration stating that Defendant has received and read a copy of the Final Judgment.

- 9. Consistent with 17 C.F.R. 202.5(f), this Consent resolves only the claims asserted against Defendant in this civil proceeding. Defendant acknowledges that no prumise or representation has been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission with regard to any criminal liability that may have arisen or may arise from the facts underlying this action or immunity from any such criminal liability. Defendant walves any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the settlement of this proceeding. including the imposition of any remedy or civil penalty herein. Defendant further acknowledges that the Court's entry of a permanent injunction may have collateral consequences under federal or state law and the rules and regulations of self-regulatory organizations, licensing boards, and other regulatory organizations. Such collateral consequences include, but are not limited to, a statutory disqualification with respect to membership or participation in, or association with a member of, a self-regulatory organization. This statutory disqualification has consequences that are separate from any sanction imposed in an administrative proceeding. In addition, in any disciplinary proceeding before the Commission based on the entry of the injunction in this action, Defeadant understands that he shall not be permitted to context the factual allegatious of the complaint in this action.
- Defendant understands and agrees to comply with the Commission's policy "not 10. to permit a defendant or respondent to consent to a judgment or order that imposes a sunction while denying the allegation in the complaint or order for proceedings." 17 C.F.R. § 202.5. In compliance with this policy. Defendant agrees: (I) not to take any action or to make or permit to be made any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegation in the complaint or

creating the impression that the complaint is without factual basis; and (ii) that upon the filing of this Consent. Defendant hereby withdraws any papers filed in this action to the extent that they dony any allegation in the complaint. If Defendant breaches this agreement, the Commission may petition the Court to vacate the Final Judgment and restore this action to its active docket. Nothing in this paragraph affects Defendant's: (i) testimonial obligations; or (ii) right to take logal or factual positions in litigation or other logal proceedings in which the Commission is not a party,

- 11. Defendant hereby waives any rights under the Equal Access to Justice Act, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, or any other provision of law to seek from the United States, or any agency, or any official of the United States acting in his or her official capacity, directly or indirectly, reimbursement of attorney's fees or other fees. expenses, or costs expended by Defendant to defend against this action. For these purposes, Defendant agrees that Defendant is not the prevailing party in this action since the parties have reached a good faith settlement.
- In connection with this action and any related judicial or administrative proceeding or investigation commenced by the Commission or to which the Commission is a perty. Defendent (i) agrees to appear and be interviewed by Commission staff at such times and places as the staff requests upon reasonable notice; (ii) will accept service by mail or faceimile transmission of notices or subpoenss issued by the Commission for documents or testimony at depositions, hearings, or trials, or in connection with any related investigation by Commission stuff; (iii) appoints Defendant's undersigned atturney as agent to receive service of such notices and subpoenes; (iv) with respect to such notices and subpoenes, waives the tecritorial limits on service contained in Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local

rules, provided that the party requesting the testimony reimburses Defendant's travel, lodging, and subsistence expenses at the then-prevailing U.S. Government per dism rates; and (v) consents to personal jurisdiction over Defendant in any United States District Court for purposes of enforcing any such subposes.

- Defendant agrees that the Commission may present the Final Judgment to the
 Court for signature and entry without further notice.
- 14. Defendant agrees that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the Final Judgment.

Dated: 4-1-09

Mitchei S. Guttenberg

On April 2009, MITCHEL S. GUTENERS a person known to me,

Notary Public Commission expires:

DAVID J. STREET
HOTARY PUBLICUPIERS PROPERTY
Commission Deples Colonia

Approved as to form:

Sean F. O'Shea. O'Shea Partners LLP Attorney for Defendant rules, provided that the party requesting the testimony reimburses Defendant's travel, lodging, and subsistence expenses at the then-prevailing U.S. Government per diem rates; and (v) consents to personal jurisdiction over Defendant in any United States District Court for purposes of enforcing any such subpoens.

- 13. Defendant agrees that the Commission may present the Final Judgment to the Court for signature and entry without further notice.
- Defendant agrees that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the Final Judgment.

Dated:		·	
	Mito	Mitchel S. Guttenberg	
On		, a person known to me,	
personally appeared	before me and acknowledge	executing the foregoing Consent.	
	•	·	
	·		
		ry Public	
	Com	unission evolues	

O'Shoe Partners LLP Attorney for Defendant