

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FILED
INCEBARK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
★ OCT 05 2010 ★
BROOKLYN OFFICE

-----X
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JOHN J. AMORE, RALPH D. CASBARRO, DAVID
G. GHYSELS, JR., KENNETH E. MAHAFFY, JR.,
AND TIMOTHY J. O'CONNELL,

Defendants.
-----X

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

2005 Civ. 3885 (ILG) (SMG)

FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT DAVID G. GHYSELS, JR.

The Securities and Exchange Commission having filed a Complaint and Defendant David G. Ghysels, Jr. ("Defendant") having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Final Judgment without admitting or denying the allegations of the Complaint (except as to jurisdiction); waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and

Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

II.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] in the offer or sale of any security by the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails, directly or indirectly:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to obtain money or property by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission of a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or

- (c) to engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

III.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Consent is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Defendant shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment.

V.

There being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Final Judgment forthwith and without further notice.

Dated: October 5th, 2010

S/ILG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

4. Defendant waives the right, if any, to a jury trial and to appeal from the entry of the Final Judgment.

5. Defendant enters into this Consent voluntarily and represents that no threats, offers, promises, or inducements of any kind have been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission to induce Defendant to enter into this Consent.

6. Defendant agrees that this Consent shall be incorporated into the Final Judgment with the same force and effect as if fully set forth therein.

7. Defendant will not oppose the enforcement of the Final Judgment on the ground, if any exists, that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and hereby waives any objection based thereon.

8. Defendant waives service of the Final Judgment and agrees that entry of the Final Judgment by the Court and filing with the Clerk of the Court will constitute notice to Defendant of its terms and conditions. Defendant further agrees to provide counsel for the Commission, within thirty days after the Final Judgment is filed with the Clerk of the Court, with an affidavit or declaration stating that Defendant has received and read a copy of the Final Judgment.

9. Consistent with 17 C.F.R. 202.5(f), this Consent resolves only the claims asserted against Defendant in this civil proceeding. Defendant acknowledges that no promise or representation has been made by the Commission or any member, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the Commission with regard to any criminal liability that may have arisen or may arise from the facts underlying this action or immunity from any such criminal liability. Defendant waives any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the settlement of this proceeding, including the imposition of any remedy or civil penalty herein. Defendant further acknowledges

that the Court's entry of a permanent injunction may have collateral consequences under federal or state law and the rules and regulations of self-regulatory organizations, licensing boards, and other regulatory organizations. Such collateral consequences include, but are not limited to, a statutory disqualification with respect to membership or participation in, or association with a member of, a self-regulatory organization. This statutory disqualification has consequences that are separate from any sanction imposed in an administrative proceeding. In addition, in any disciplinary proceeding before the Commission based on the entry of the injunction in this action, Defendant understands that he shall not be permitted to contest the factual allegations of the complaint in this action.

10. Defendant understands and agrees to comply with the Commission's policy "not to permit a defendant or respondent to consent to a judgment or order that imposes a sanction while denying the allegation in the complaint or order for proceedings." 17 C.F.R. § 202.5. In compliance with this policy, Defendant agrees: (i) not to take any action or to make or permit to be made any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegation in the complaint or creating the impression that the complaint is without factual basis; and (ii) that upon the filing of this Consent, Defendant hereby withdraws any papers filed in this action to the extent that they deny any allegation in the complaint. If Defendant breaches this agreement, the Commission may petition the Court to vacate the Final Judgment and restore this action to its active docket. Nothing in this paragraph affects Defendant's: (i) testimonial obligations; or (ii) right to take legal or factual positions in litigation or other legal proceedings in which the Commission is not a party.

11. Defendant hereby waives any rights under the Equal Access to Justice Act, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, or any other provision of law to

seek from the United States, or any agency, or any official of the United States acting in his or her official capacity, directly or indirectly, reimbursement of attorney's fees or other fees, expenses, or costs expended by Defendant to defend against this action. For these purposes, Defendant agrees that Defendant is not the prevailing party in this action since the parties have reached a good faith settlement.

12. Defendant agrees that the Commission may present the Final Judgment to the Court for signature and entry without further notice.


13. Defendant agrees that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enforcing the terms of the Final Judgment.

Dated: 7/30/10 July 30, 2010, David G. Ghysels, Jr., a person known to me,

personally appeared before me and acknowledged executing the foregoing Consent.

Fl. driv. license
presented

Loures Gonzalez
Notary Public
Commission expires:





UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
NEW YORK REGIONAL OFFICE
3 World Financial Center
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10281

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL LINE
WILLIAM FINKEL
TELEPHONE: (212) 336-0068
FACSIMILE: (212) 336-1320

October 4, 2010

VIA UPS OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

Hon. I. Leo Glasser
United States District Judge
Eastern District of New York
225 Cadman Plaza East
Brooklyn, NY 11201

RECEIVED
10/5/10
Chambers of
I. Leo Glasser
U.S.D.J.

Re: **SEC v. Amore, et al. (05-cv-3885) (ILG)(SMG)(EDNY)**
SEC v. A. B. Watley Group, Inc., et al., (06-cv-1274) (ILG)(SMG)(EDNY)

Dear Judge Glasser:

I represent plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission in this case. Enclosed for your consideration are signed Consents and proposed Judgments for the following defendants in the above referenced related civil actions: Ralph Casbarro, Paul F. Coughlin, Keith M. Geller, David G. Ghysels, Jr., Robert F. Malin, Timothy J. O'Connell, Keith A. Rogers and Bryan S. Rogers. These proposed settlements would resolve the Commission's claims against eight of the remaining sixteen individual defendants in these actions.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "William Finkel", written over a horizontal line.

William Finkel
Attorney for Plaintiff
Securities & Exchange Commission
(212) 336-0068
finkelw@sec.gov

cc:
Hon. Steven M. Gold
Chief Magistrate Judge
Eastern District of New York
225 Cadman Plaza East
Brooklyn, NY 11201

Hon. I. Leo Glasser
October 4, 2010
Page 2 of 3

All Defendants (via email)

Jerry Bernstein
Attorney for Defendant A.B. Watley Group, Inc.
Blank Rome LLP
The Chrysler Building 405 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10174-0208

John J. Amore
c/o Nelson Boxer, Esq.
Alston & Bird LLP
90 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016-1387

Keevin Leonard
526 Hudson Street
Hoboken, NJ 07030

Kenneth Mahaffy, Jr.
c/o Andrew Frisch, Esq.
950 Third Ave
New York, NY 10022

Robert Malin
954 Lexington Avenue, Ste 279
New York, NY 10021

Linus Nwaigwe
82 South Lotus Oval
Valley Stream, NY 11581

Timothy O'Connell
216 Fairfield Avenue
Carle Place, NY 11514

Warren Fellus
c/o Robert Vella, Esq.
Pillari & Vella
114 Old Country Rd # 652
Mineola, NY 11501-4410

Ralph Casbarro
c/o Ellen Murphy, Esq.
Morvillo, Abramowitz, Grand,
Iason, Anello & Bohrer, PC
565 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10017

Hon. I. Leo Glasser

October 4, 2010

Page 3 of 3

Keith Geller

c/o William Schwartz, Esq. and Reed Smith, Esq.

Cooley Godward Kronish LLP

1114 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036

Bryan Rogers

c/o Mark Arisohn, Esq.

Labaton Sucharow LLP

140 Broadway

New York, NY 10005

Keith Rogers

c/o Mark Arisohn, Esq.

Labaton Sucharow LLP

140 Broadway

New York, NY 10005

Paul Coughlin

c/o Joan Markey, Esq.

404 Park Avenue South

New York, NY 10016

Michael Picone

c/o Richard H. Dolan; Ronald G. Russo, Esq.

Schlam Stone & Dolan LLP

26 Broadway, 19th Floor

New York, New York 10004

Steven Malin

c/o William Maderer, Esq.

Saiber Law Firm

18 Columbia Turnpike, Suite 200

Florham Park, NJ 07932-2266

David Ghysels

104 Arlington Pl.

West Palm beach, Fl 33405