

2

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES
AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**CHARLES L. HARRIS,
TRADEWINDS INTERNATIONAL, L.L.C.
TRADEWINDS INTERNATIONAL II, L.P.**

Defendants.

Case No: 04 C 5725

Judge William T. Hart

Magistrate Judge Schenkier

**ORDER OF FINAL JUDGMENT AGAINST CHARLES L. HARRIS, TRADEWINDS
INTERNATIONAL, L.L.C., AND TRADEWINDS INTERNATIONAL II, L.P.**

The Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) having filed a Complaint, Defendant Charles L. Harris (“Harris”) having entered a general appearance, consented to the Court’s jurisdiction over Defendant Harris and the subject matter of this action, consented to the entry of an Order of Permanent Injunction, waived any right to appeal from the Order of Permanent Injunction, and waived findings of fact and conclusions of law, and the Court having entered a Final Judgment against Defendant Harris, by consent, and a Default Judgment against Defendants Tradewinds International, L.L.C. (“Tradewinds LLC”) and Tradewinds International II, L.P. (“Tradewinds II”) for their failure to enter a general appearance:

I.

IT IS ORDERED that the Consent of Defendant Harris dated July 12, 2005 is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Defendant Harris shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

II.

IT IS ORDERED that the Final Judgment As To Defendant Charles L. Harris of an order of permanent injunction ("Order of Permanent Injunction") entered on October 12, 2005 pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

III.

IT IS ORDERED that the case is dismissed against Tradewinds LLC and Tradewinds II.

IV.

IT IS ORDERED that the asset freeze language contained in Order of Asset Freeze entered by the Court on September 1, 2004 be stricken.

V.

IT IS ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for all purposes, including, but not limited to: (1) any motions for an order to show cause filed in the future based on historical violations of the asset freeze order where the conduct occurred before the asset freeze order was vacated; (2) implementing and carrying out the terms of all orders and decrees which may be entered herein; (3) enforcing the Order of Permanent Injunction; and (4) entertaining any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court.

Dated: 5/23/06



JUDGE WILLIAM T. HART