# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

# CASE NO. 02-80766-CIV-HURLEY/LYNCH

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	E COMMISSION, )	$ \swarrow $
	Plaintiff, )	FILED by D.C.
v.	)	FEB 1 8 2003
JEFFREY R. SENGER, BRAD NORMAN F. PIATTI,	M. NIRENBERG and ) )	CLARENCE MADDOX CLERK U.S. DIST. CT. S.D. OF FLA W.P.B.
	Defendants. )	
	)	

# ORDER CONCERNING CIVIL PENALTY AND IMPOSING A PENNY STOCK BAR <u>AGAINST DEFENDANT NORMAN PIATTI</u>

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") commenced this action by filing its Complaint against, among others, Defendant Norman Piatti ("Defendant"). In its Complaint, the Commission sought, among other relief, a permanent injunction to prohibit violations by the Defendant of Sections 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"), Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), and Rule 10b-5, thereunder, and the imposition of a civil money penalty against Defendant pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act and Section 21(d) of the Exchange Act.

On October 4, 2002, by the Consent of Defendant, the Court entered a Judgment of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief ("Judgment"), permanently enjoining Defendant from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act and Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, and further providing for the imposition of a civil money penalty in an amount to be determined by the Court upon the Commission's motion. The Commission has filed

Defendant's Consent affixed hereto, and an unopposed motion for the of entry of an Order permanently barring Defendant from participating in an offering of penny stock ("penny stock bar").

Defendant, by the Consent affixed hereto, without admitting or denying any of the allegations in the Commission's Complaint or any other paper filed herein, except as to the jurisdiction of this Court, has agreed to the entry of this Order Concerning Civil Penalty and Imposing a Penny Stock Bar ("Order"). This Court having accepted such Consent and this Court having jurisdiction over the Defendant and the subject matter hereof, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,

I.

# **CIVIL PENALTY**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that based on Defendant's sworn representations in his Statement of Financial Condition dated December 2, 2002, and other documents and information submitted to the Commission, the Court is not ordering Defendant to pay a civil penalty. The determination not to impose a civil penalty is contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of Defendant's Statement of Financial Condition. If at any time following the entry of this Order the Commission obtains information indicating that Defendant's representations to the Commission concerning his assets, income, liabilities, or net worth were fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made, the Commission may, at its sole discretion and without prior notice to Defendant, petition the Court for an order requiring Defendant to pay the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law. In connection with any such petition, the only issue shall be whether the financial information provided by Defendant was fraudulent,

misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made. In its petition, the Commission may move this Court to consider all available remedies, including, but not limited to, ordering Defendant to pay funds or assets, directing the forfeiture of any assets, or sanctions for contempt of this Order. The Commission may also request additional discovery. Defendant may not, by way of defense to such petition: (1) challenge the validity of the Consent or this Order; (2) contest the allegations in the Complaint filed by the Commission; (3) assert that payment a civil penalty should not be ordered; (4) contest the imposition of the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law; or (5) assert any defense to liability or remedy, including, but not limited to, any statute of limitations defense.

II.

#### PENNY STOCK BAR

# IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that

Defendant is permanently barred from participating in an offering of penny stock, including engaging in activities with a broker, dealer, or issuer for purposes of issuing, trading, or inducing or attempting to induce the purchase or sale of any penny stock. A penny stock is any equity security that has a price of less than five dollars, except as provided in Rule 3a51-1 under the Exchange Act [17 C.F.R. 240.3a51-1].

III.

# **INCORPORATION OF CONSENT**

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that

Defendant shall comply with the provisions of the Consent attached hereto, and that such

Consent is incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

# IV.

# **RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

shall retain jurisdiction over this matter and Defendant in order to implement and carry out the terms of all Orders and Decrees that may be entered and/or to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court, and will order other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

Dated this 15 day of Hebeus

THE HONORABLE DANIEL T. K. HURLEY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies to:

Teresa J. Verges Regional Trial Counsel Securities and Exchange Commission 801 Brickell Avenue Suite 1800 Miami, FL 33131

Norman Piatti 345 Dogwood Trail SE Marietta, GA 30067 Appearing Pro Se

Kenneth Dunn, Esq. Feder & Dunn, P.A. 11575 Heron Bay Boulevard Suite 309 Coral Springs, FL 33076 Counsel for Brad Nirenberg

William Stacey, Esq.
William Stacey, P.A.
P.O. Box 460053
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33346
Counsel for Jeffrey R. Senger