Notice of Covered Action: [redacted]

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION OF THE CLAIMS REVIEW STAFF

In response to the above-referenced Notice of Covered Action, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission received a whistleblower award claim from [redacted] ("Claimants")¹ for the above-referenced matter(s). Pursuant to Section 21F of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and Rule 21F-10 promulgated thereunder, the Claims Review Staff has evaluated the above claims in accordance with the criteria set forth in Rules 21F-1 through 21F-17.

The Claims Review Staff has preliminarily determined to recommend that the Commission deny the above award claims.² The basis for this determination is marked below as follows:

☑ Claimants did not provide information that led to the successful enforcement of the above-referenced Covered Action within the meaning of Section 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rules 21F-3(a)(3) and 21F-4(c) thereunder because any information provided did not, under Rule 21F-4(c)(1) of the Exchange Act: (1) cause the Commission to (a) commence an examination, open or reopen an investigation, or inquire into different conduct as part of a current Commission examination or investigation, and (b) thereafter bring an action based, in whole or in part, on conduct that was the subject of claimants' information; or (2) significantly contribute to the success of a Commission judicial or administrative enforcement action under Rule 21F-4(c)(2) of the Exchange Act.³

☐ Claimant is not a "whistleblower" under Exchange Act Rule 21F-2(a)(1) with

¹ We are treating [redacted] as joint claimants as their whistleblower award applications are functionally identical and were submitted together, and the tips on which they base their award claim were jointly submitted.

² To the extent Claimants have applied for an award in a related action, because Claimants are not eligible for an award in an SEC Covered Action, they are not eligible for an award in connection with any related action. See 15 U.S.C. § 78u-6(b); Exchange Act Rule 21F-3(b), (b)(1); Rule 21F-4(g) and (f); Rule 21F-11(a), see also Order Determining Whistleblower Award Claim, Release No. 34-86902 (Sept. 9, 2019).

³ Investigative staff responsible for the Covered Action never received any information from, or had any communications with, Claimants. Claimants' submissions [redacted] were not provided to the investigative staff until after [redacted] when the Office of the Whistleblower provided the Claimants' Claim for Award to the staff for review. As the staff's investigation was concluded and a settled order was instituted by the Commission in [redacted], the Claimants' submissions played no role in the staff's investigation opening, nor in the administrative enforcement action brought against [redacted]
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respect to the Covered Action. To qualify as a whistleblower, an individual must (among other things) provide information regarding a potential securities law violation to the Commission in the form and manner that is required by Exchange Act Rule 21F-9(a), which Claimant did not do.

☐ Claimant failed to submit the claim for award to the Office of the Whistleblower within ninety (90) days of the date of the above-referenced Notice for the Covered Action, as required under Rule 21F-10(b) of the Exchange Act.

☐ Claimant’s whistleblower submission(s), upon which Claimant bases the claim for an award, was not made voluntarily as required by Exchange Act Section 21F and Rules 21F-3 and 21F-4(a)(1) because Claimant made the submission(s) after a request, inquiry, or demand that relates to same subject matter as the submission(s) was directed to Claimant or anyone representing Claimant (such as an attorney) by (i) the Commission, (ii) another regulatory or law enforcement agency or self-regulatory organization (such as FINRA), or (iii) Congress or any other authority of the federal government.

☐ Claimant did not provide “original information” that led to the successful enforcement of the above-referenced Covered Action within the meaning of Section 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rules 21F-3(a)(2) and 21F-4(b) thereunder because the information was not derived from Claimant’s: (1) “independent knowledge,” as defined under Rule 21F-4(b)(2), but instead was derived entirely from “publicly available sources;” or (2) “independent analysis,” as defined under Rule 21F-4(b)(3), because the information did not include an examination and evaluation of information that “reveals information that is not generally known or available to the public.”

☐ Claimant did not provide “original information” that led to the successful enforcement of the above-referenced Covered Action within the meaning of Section 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rules 21F-3(a)(2) and 21F-4(b) thereunder because the information provided by Claimant was already known to the Commission.

☐ Claimant did not provide “original information” that led to the successful enforcement of the above-referenced Covered Action within the meaning of Section 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rules 21F-3(a)(2) and 21F-4(b) thereunder because the information was not provided to the Commission for the first time after
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July 21, 2010 (the date of enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act).  

By: Claims Review Staff

Date: December 16, 2019

---

4 See Stryker v. SEC, 780 F.3d 163 (2d Cir. 2015).