1	BENJAMIN J. HANAUER, Ill. Bar No. 6280156	
2	Email: hanauerb@sec.gov	
	MARCUS D. FRUCHTER, Ill. Bar No. 62	82906
3	Email: fruchterm@sec.gov	
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission	
5	175 West Jackson Blvd., Suite 1450	
6	Chicago, Illinois 60604	
7	Telephone: (312) 353-7390 Facsimile: (312) 353-7398	
	17aesimine. (312) 333-7398	
8	LOCAL COUNSEL	
9	Douglas M. Miller (Cal. Bar No. 240398)	
10	Email: millerdou@sec.gov	
	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission	
11	444 S. Flower St., Suite 900	
12	Los Angeles, California 90071	
13	Telephone: (323) 965-3398	
	Facsimile: (213) 443-1904	
14	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
15		
16	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	WESTERN DIVISION	
17		
18	U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No.
19	COMMISSION,	
	COMMISSION,	
$\mathbf{a} \cap$,	COMPLAINT
20	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT
	,	COMPLAINT JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
21	Plaintiff, vs.	
21 22	Plaintiff,	
20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, vs.	
21 22	Plaintiff, vs. RONG CHEN, Defendant, and	
21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, vs. RONG CHEN,	
21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, vs. RONG CHEN, Defendant, and	
21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, vs. RONG CHEN, Defendant, and YUEHONG WANG,	
21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, vs. RONG CHEN, Defendant, and YUEHONG WANG,	

)

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Commission brings this action pursuant to Sections 21(d), 21(e), and 21A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u(e), 78u-1].
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa].
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3) and Section 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa].
- 4. On information and belief, Defendant Rong Chen is an inhabitant, transacts business, and may be found in the Central District of California. Relief Defendant Yuehong Wang is Chen's wife and is an inhabitant of and may be found in the Central District of California. Wang resides, and, on information and belief, Chen at least periodically resides, at their home in Rancho Palos Verdes, California.
- 5. Chen has directly or indirectly made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or the facilities of a national securities exchange in connection with the acts, practices, transactions, and courses of business alleged herein.
- 6. Chen will, unless enjoined, continue to engage in the acts, practices, transactions, and courses of business set forth in this Complaint, or in acts, practices, transactions, and courses of business of similar purport and object.

SUMMARY

7. Over a two year period, Defendant Rong Chen engaged in multiple acts of insider trading by making timely and highly profitable securities purchases based on material nonpublic information about the impending acquisitions of two Chinabased companies whose securities traded on exchanges in the United States. Chen obtained this inside information in the course of his work advising the acquiring

permanently enjoin Chen fro

companies on these transactions. Attempting to conceal his insider trading, Chen placed his trades using the brokerage account of his wife, Yuehong Wang. Chen's insider trading generated more than \$173,000 in illicit profits, the majority of which Chen transferred to his and Wang's joint bank account. Because Wang shared the benefits of Chen's illegal conduct, she is named as a Relief Defendant in this action.

- 8. Chen's first set of insider trades occurred while he was the Vice President of Investments for the Chinese company Tsinghua Unigroup Ltd. ("Tsinghua"). On November 11, 2013, Tsinghua publicly announced that it would acquire another Chinese company, RDA Microelectronics, Inc. ("RDA"). Prior to that announcement, Chen used his wife's U.S. brokerage account to make a series of well-timed purchases of RDA securities, generating profits of more than \$79,500 following the acquisition announcement. Chen personally worked on the RDA acquisition, and made those trades based on material, nonpublic information not available to the investing public.
- 9. In early 2015, Chen again engaged in insider trading, this time purchasing securities of the Chinese company 58.com Inc. ("58.com"). By January 2015, Chen was working as an investment consultant to 58.com on the acquisition of a large stake in a rival company. Shortly before the acquisition was announced to the public, Chen used his wife's brokerage account to buy "out of the money" 58.com call options on a U.S. exchange. When news of the acquisition became public, 58.com's stock price skyrocketed, and Chen sold his options, earning profits of more than \$94,400. Again, by virtue of his work on the deal, when he purchased the 58.com options Chen had knowledge of material, nonpublic information that was not available to other investors.
- 10. Chen's insider trading violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder (15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5). The Commission brings this action to hold Chen accountable for his illegal conduct, permanently enjoin Chen from further insider trading, and impose civil penalties.

The Commission also seeks an order requiring Chen and Wang to, jointly and severally, pay disgorgement, plus prejudgment interest on all ill-gotten gains they received by virtue of Chen's insider trading.

4

COMMONLY-USED TRADING TERMS

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- A stock option, commonly referred to as an "option," gives its 11. purchaser-holder the option to buy or sell shares of an underlying stock at a specified price (the "strike price") before a specified time (the "expiration"). Options are generally sold in "contracts," which give the option holder the opportunity to buy or sell 100 shares of an underlying stock. If the holder does not exercise the option prior to the expiration date, the option expires as worthless.
- 12. A "call" option, such as those purchased by Chen, gives the purchaserholder of the option the right, but not the obligation, to purchase a security at a specified strike price prior to expiration. For example, one "December 2013 \$15" call on ABC Co.'s shares would give the purchaser the right to buy 100 ABC shares for \$15 per share before the call expired on a specified date in December 2013. Generally, the buyer of a call option anticipates that the price of the underlying security will increase prior to expiration. If the call option's strike price is above the price at which the underlying stock is trading, the call option is considered to be "out of the money," because it would be unprofitable to exercise the call and pay more for the stock than the price for which it could be obtained in the market. Conversely, if the strike price is below the then-current market price, the call is considered to be "in the money," because one could exercise the option, obtain the stock at the strike price, and then sell it at the higher market price for a profit. For a given expiration month, out of the money options are typically cheaper to buy than those that are in the money.

FACTS

The Defendant and Relief Defendant

- 13. <u>Defendant Rong Chen</u>, 50 years old, is a United States citizen. On information and belief, Chen resides both in China and Rancho Palos Verdes, California. During the period at issue in this lawsuit, Chen worked as a Vice President for Investments at Tsinghua, and later worked as a managing director at a Hong Kong investment banking firm.
- 14. **Relief Defendant Yuehong Wang** is a United States citizen who resides in Rancho Palos Verdes, California. Wang is Chen's wife. Wang and Chen share a joint bank account that received the proceeds of Chen's insider trading.

Relevant Entities

- 15. <u>Tsinghua</u> is a Chinese company engaged in electronics, biotechnology, science park development, and urban infrastructure development.
- 16. RDA was a China-based semiconductor company that produced component parts used in mobile phones. RDA's American Depository Shares ("ADS") traded on NASDAQ (ticker: RDA) until RDA was acquired by Tsinghua in July 2014. Prior to the acquisition, RDA options traded on U.S. securities exchanges including NASDAQ and the Chicago Board Options Exchange ("CBOE").
- 17. <u>58.com</u> is a China-based company that operates one of China's largest online marketplaces, transferring products and services between purchasers and sellers. 58.com's shares are listed on the New York Stock Exchange (ticker: WUBA), and its options are traded on U.S. securities exchanges including CBOE and the New York Stock Exchange.
- 18. **ganji.com** was a privately held China-based online classified advertisement company which was a business competitor of 58.com.

Chen's RDA Insider Trading

19. In September 2013, RDA had discussions with its investment banking advisors about potential acquisition opportunities.

- 20. On September 27, 2013, RDA publicly announced that it had received a non-binding acquisition proposal from a potential buyer at \$15.50 per ADS.
- 21. By October 2013, Chen was employed by Tsinghua as its Vice President for Investments. In that position, Chen had access to and possessed material, nonpublic information about Tsinghua's acquisition of RDA described herein. Chen also knew, or was reckless in not knowing, that he was required to keep information about the acquisition confidential.
- 22. On October 21, 2013, personnel from RDA and Tsinghua met to discuss a potential acquisition and, three days later, the companies executed a non-disclosure and confidentiality agreement.
- 23. On October 24, 2013, the potential buyer that submitted the acquisition proposal previously announced on September 27 informed RDA that it would not consider increasing its acquisition offer.
- 24. The next day, October 25, Tsinghua made an acquisition proposal to RDA for \$18.00 per ADS a \$2.50 per ADS increase over the proposal announced on September 27. Tsinghua's offer included a confidentiality requirement that precluded RDA from immediately disclosing it.
 - 25. On October 28, 2013, RDA sent a draft merger agreement to Tsinghua.
- 26. That same day, Chen opened, in Wang's name, a brokerage account at a United States brokerage firm (the "Wang account"). Chen left blank the portion of the online account application that requested information about Wang's marital status, and he listed Wang as the only person with trading authority over the account. When he opened the Wang account, Chen requested the ability to place trades in the account on margin.
- 27. Despite listing Wang as the sole person with trading authority over the Wang account, Chen had access to and directed all of the trading in that account.
- 28. Upon opening the Wang account, Chen funded the account with an initial deposit of \$17,000.

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

- 29. On October 30, 2013, Tsinghua and RDA personnel met to discuss the potential acquisition.
- 30. The following day, October 31, Chen sold all of the holdings in a joint brokerage account that he and Wang had opened in 2008 (the "joint brokerage account"). Chen had no prior history trading RDA securities in this account. Chen sold those holdings for more than \$19,000, and transferred the account balance to his and Wang's joint bank account (the "joint bank account").
- 31. On November 1, 2013, Chen purchased 270 RDA December 2013 \$15 call options in the Wang account for \$16,409. That same day, Chen deposited an additional \$18,000 into the Wang account from their joint bank account.
- On November 6, 2013, Chen purchased, on margin, eight RDA 32. December 2013 \$15 call options and 2,300 RDA ADSs at \$15.59 per share, for a total of \$36,512.
- Later that day, after the close of trading, RDA publicly announced its 33. receipt of Tsinghua's previously undisclosed October 25, 2013 offer to acquire RDA for \$18 per ADS.
- 34. The market reacted favorably to the announcement. The next day, November 7, 2013, the price of RDA ADSs climbed and closed at \$17.24, up from RDA's pre-announcement close of \$15.55, a 10.9% increase.
- That same day, Chen sold all 2,300 of the RDA ADSs he had recently 35. purchased in the Wang account for approximately \$39,700, realizing one day profits of \$3,852. Later that day, Chen purchased an additional 86 RDA December 2013 \$15 call options for \$19,832.
- 36. On November 11, 2013 at 3:33 a.m. ET, RDA and Tsinghua issued a joint press release announcing the companies' entry into a definitive merger agreement under which Tsinghua would acquire RDA at a price of \$18.50 per ADS – an increase of \$.50 per ADS over Tsinghua's previously disclosed offer. The press release identified Chen as Tsinghua's "Vice President – Investments" and its contact

person for questions about the RDA acquisition.

- 37. RDA and Tsinghua later disclosed in a Commission filing that Chen was a director of a special purpose entity that was created to effectuate the merger and signed the amended merger agreement on behalf of that entity. Other Commission filings also disclosed that Chen was an official designated by the parties to receive notices regarding the merger agreement.
- 38. On November 11, 2013, following the press release, Chen sold all 364 RDA December 2013 call options that he had purchased in November 2013, for more than \$112,500, realizing a profit of roughly \$75,670 on his RDA options trades. Chen's illegal trading in RDA securities netted more than \$79,520 in profits.
- 39. On January 6, 2014, Chen transferred \$60,000 from the Wang account to their joint bank account.

Chen's 58.com Insider Trading

- 40. By January 2015, 58.com was considering acquiring a large stake in a privately-held rival company, ganji.com ("ganji"), and had retained Chen to assist with the potential acquisition.
- 41. On January 23, 2015, 58.com and Chen entered into a "finder's" agreement regarding the potential ganji acquisition. In the agreement, 58.com acknowledged that Chen originated and introduced the potential ganji acquisition to 58.com.
- 42. The finder's agreement provided that 58.com would pay Chen \$5 million in the event 58.com or its affiliates obtained a majority equity interest in ganji. Should 58.com obtain less than a majority stake in ganji, the agreement provided that Chen would receive a payment from 58.com in an amount to be mutually determined by 58.com and Chen.
- 43. The finder's agreement additionally contained a confidentiality clause that committed Chen to maintaining "full confidentiality" of all information regarding the agreement and the contemplated ganji acquisition.

4

11

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

- In early 2015, Chen became a Managing Director at a Hong Kong-based 44. investment banking firm.
- 45. On March 11, 2015, 58.com engaged Chen's investment banking firm to advise on its potential acquisition of ganji. Chen worked on, and was privy to material nonpublic information about, the proposed ganji acquisition. Chen also knew, or was reckless in not knowing, that he was required to keep information about the acquisition confidential.
- 46. As consultants to 58.com, Chen and his investment banking firm were subject to 58.com's insider trading policies, which expressly prohibited trading based on material nonpublic information related to 58.com.
- Between March 12 and April 12, 2015, 58.com and the investment 47. banking firm where Chen worked exchanged term sheets with various ganji stakeholders and executives and the parties convened several conference calls and meetings in Beijing to discuss a potential acquisition of ganji. In a series of meetings that took place from late in the day of April 13, 2015 to the morning of April 14, 2015 (Beijing time), management from 58.com and ganji agreed to a framework for the transaction and to a memorandum of understanding ("MOU") regarding the acquisition, which was executed on April 14, 2015.
- 48. The same day 58.com entered the MOU, April 14, 2015, starting at 9:59 a.m. ET (9:59 p.m. Beijing time) through 10:06 a.m. ET (10:06 p.m. Beijing time), Chen used the Wang account to purchase, at a cost of \$5,507.68, 100 58.com call options with a strike price of \$55 and an expiration date only three days away. These call options were significantly out of the money. The day before Chen's option purchases, 58.com's shares had closed at \$50.83.
- Chen made these options purchases using nearly the entire cash balance 49. in the Wang account. Chen had no prior history trading 58.com securities in the joint brokerage account or the Wang account.
 - Less than 30 minutes after Chen made the 58.com options purchases, 50. 9

Bloomberg News reported that 58.com and ganji had agreed to merge and were expected to announce the deal as early as the following day.

- 51. Following the reporting on the merger, 58.com's share price jumped sharply and closed at \$67.87 on April 14, 2015, a 33.52% increase over the prior day's close.
- 52. Two days later, on April 16, 2015, Chen sold all 100 of his 58.com call options for \$99,910.47, realizing insider trading profits of more than \$94,400.
- 53. Chen made no additional trades in the Wang account, but later transferred \$100,000 from the Wang account to their joint bank account.
- 54. Following the announcement of the merger, Chen received a cash payment from 58.com pursuant to the finder's agreement. On July 9, 2015, Chen emailed a bank employee in Hong Kong who had inquired about the payment from 58.com. In the email, Chen wrote that "the payment is related to a M&A transaction I participated in as the advisor of the acquirer."

COUNT I

Chen's RDA Securities Trading in Violation of Exchange Act Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]

- 55. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 54 as though fully set forth herein.
- 56. All of the RDA call options and ADSs referenced in this Complaint are securities.
- 57. Chen purchased the RDA call options and ADSs set forth above based on material, nonpublic information about Tsinghua's potential acquisition of RDA.
- 58. Chen knew, or recklessly disregarded the fact, that the information he possessed was material and nonpublic and that his RDA securities trading was in breach of fiduciary duties or similar duties of trust and confidence owed to Tsinghua and the special purpose entity that was created to effectuate the Tsinghua-RDA

merger.

- 59. As more fully described in paragraphs 1 through 54 above, Chen, in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, by the use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, directly or indirectly: (a) employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; (b) made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state materials facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or (c) engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon another person, including purchasers and sellers and prospective purchasers and sellers of securities.
 - 60. Chen acted with scienter.
- 61. By engaging in the conduct described above, Chen, directly or indirectly, violated, and unless enjoined will again violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

COUNT II

Chen's 58.com Securities Trading in Violation of Exchange Act Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]

- 62. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 54 as though fully set forth herein.
- 63. All of the 58.com call options referenced in this Complaint are securities.
- 64. Chen purchased the 58.com call options set forth above based on material, nonpublic information about 58.com's potential acquisition of ganji.
- 65. Chen knew, or recklessly disregarded the fact, that this information was material and nonpublic and that his 58.com securities trading was in breach of a fiduciary duty or similar duty of trust and confidence owed to 58.com.

66. Additionally (and in the alternative), Chen knew, or recklessly

disregarded the fact, that his 58.com securities trading was in breach of a fiduciary duty or similar duty of trust and confidence owed to the investment banking firm where he worked.

- 67. As more fully described in paragraphs 1 through 54 above, Chen, in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, by the use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, directly or indirectly: (a) employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; (b) made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state materials facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or (c) engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon another person, including purchasers and sellers and prospective purchasers and sellers of securities.
 - 68. Chen acted with scienter.
- 69. By engaging in the conduct described above, Chen, directly or indirectly, violated, and unless enjoined will again violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

COUNT III

Equitable Claim Against Relief Defendant Wang

- 70. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 54 as though fully set forth herein.
- 71. As described in paragraphs 1 through 54 above, Chen placed his RDA and 58.com securities trades using, and generated ill-gotten gains for, an account held in the name of Wang and later transferred proceeds of these illegal trades to a joint bank account in the name of Chen and Wang.
- 72. Such proceeds are the illicit profits of the violations committed by Chen described in this Complaint.
- 73. Relief Defendant Wang has no legitimate claim to the amounts received COMPLAINT 12

by virtue of Chen's insider trading, and therefore was unjustly enriched by receiving those funds.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court:

I.

Issue findings of fact and conclusions of law that Chen committed the violations charged and alleged herein.

II.

Issue a Permanent Injunction, in a form consistent with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, restraining and enjoining Chen, his agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the injunction by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from, directly or indirectly, violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

III.

Issue an Order requiring Chen and Wang, jointly and severally, to disgorge all ill-gotten gains received as a result of the violations alleged in this Complaint, and to pay prejudgment interest thereon.

IV.

Issue an Order requiring Chen to pay civil monetary penalties pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u-1].

V.

Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered or to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court.

VI. Grant such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate. **JURY DEMAND** The Commission requests a trial by jury. Respectfully Submitted, Dated: September 10, 2018 /s/ Douglas M. Miller Douglas M. Miller Attorney for Plaintiff U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission