UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO.: 10-CV-20475-TURNOFF

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

STEVEN SCOPPETUOLO, et al.,

Defendants.

JUDGMENT OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF AS TO DEFENDANT SARANG AHUJA

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission commenced this action by filing its Complaint against, among others, Defendant Sarang Ahuja. In its Complaint, the Commission sought, among other relief against Ahuja, a permanent injunction to prohibit violations of Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b) and 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]; disgorgement and prejudgment interest; and a civil money penalty pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u-1].

Ahuja has entered a general appearance and, by the attached Consent, consented to the Court's jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Judgment of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief ("Judgment") without admitting or denying the allegations of the Complaint (except as to jurisdiction); waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from the Judgment. This Court having accepted Ahuja's Consent, having jurisdiction over Ahuja and the subject matter of this action:

I.

SECTION 10(b) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT AND RULE 10b-5 THEREUNDER

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Ahuja, his officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating, directly or indirectly, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by using any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in connection with the purchase or sale of any security:

- (a) to employ any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (b) to make any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (c) to engage in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person.

II.

DISGORGEMENT, PREJUDGMENT INTEREST AND CIVIL PENALTY

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Ahuja shall pay disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, prejudgment interest thereon, and a civil penalty pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3)]. The Court shall determine the amounts of the disgorgement and civil penalty upon motion of the Commission. Prejudgment interest shall be calculated from August 8, 2007, based on the rate of interest used by the Internal Revenue Service for the underpayment of federal income tax as set forth in 26 U.S.C. § 6621(a)(2). In

connection with the Commission's motion for disgorgement and/or civil penalties, and at any hearing held on such a motion: (a) Ahuja will be precluded from arguing that he did not violate the federal securities laws as alleged in the Complaint; (b) Ahuja may not challenge the validity of the Consent or this Final Judgment; (c) solely for the purposes of such motion, the allegations of the Complaint shall be accepted as and deemed true by the Court; and (d) the Court may determine the issues raised in the motion on the basis of affidavits, declarations, excerpts of sworn deposition or investigative testimony, and documentary evidence, without regard to the standards for summary judgment contained in Rule 56(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In connection with the Commission's motion for disgorgement and/or civil penalties, the parties may take discovery, including discovery from appropriate non-parties.

III.

INCORPORATION OF AHUJA'S CONSENT

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Consent of Sarang Ahuja is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Ahuja shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

IV.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Judgment.

V.

CERTIFICATION UNDER RULE 54(b)

There being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Judgment forthwith and without further notice.

DONE AND	ORDERED this day of, 2011 at
Miami, Florida.	
	THE HONORABLE WILLIAM C. TURNOFF
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies to all counsel and parties of record