UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

SECURITIES ACT OF 1933 Release No. 11097 / September 6, 2022

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-21032

In the Matter of

The Registration Statement of

ELECTROPREMIUM, 4006 W Commonwealth Ave, Fullerton, CA 02933

Respondent.

ORDER FIXING TIME AND PLACE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS AND INSTITUTING ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 8(d) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

I.

The Commission's public official files disclose that:

On August 18, 2022, ELECTROPREMIUM ("Electropremium" or "Respondent") filed a registration statement on a Form S-1 ("Registration Statement"). The Registration Statement purports to be in connection with a public offering of 125,000 shares of Respondent's "solar series" securities at a price of \$4 per share. The Registration Statement was filed without a delaying amendment and no other amendments have been filed. The Registration Statement is not effective.

II.

After an investigation, the Division of Enforcement alleges that:

A. The Respondent

- 1. Respondent is a California corporation headquartered in Fullerton, California.
- 2. Respondent purportedly has three product lines: (i) "the installation of solar cells on top of [] used hybrid vehicles"; (ii) "the manufacturing & design of wireless computer modems"; and (iii) "the design & manufacturing of battery charger[s] for hybrid vehicles."
- 3. Respondent states in the Registration Statement that it has only one employee and has had no income.

B. Material Misstatements and Omissions

- 4. Respondent's Registration Statement contains untrue statements of material fact and omits to state material facts necessary to make the statements therein not misleading. Moreover, the Registration Statement lacks audited financial statements, as well as other essential information required by Regulation S-K and Form S-1.
- 5. Respondent's Registration Statement falsely states that Electropremium has three product lines. However, Respondent has no products. Moreover, Respondent has no proprietary technology or specialized expertise in these product areas, and no design or manufacturing equipment.
- 6. Respondent's Registration Statement states that, for its battery-charger product line, "a contract is in process with DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER COLUMBUS." This statement is false.
- 7. According to the Registration Statement, Electropremium has assets of "\$600,000,000.00 plus \$500,000.00 totalling \$600,500,000.00," apparently based on Respondent's valuation of its unsold securities, including the \$500,000 it would receive from the sale of all of the securities subject to the Registration Statement. However, Respondent has never sold any securities, has no business, has never had income and has no intellectual property, no employees, no investors and no financing. There is no plausible support for the Respondent's valuation of its unsold securities.
- 8. The above described false and/or misleading statements are material.

III.

The Commission, having considered the aforesaid, deems it appropriate and in the public interest that public proceedings pursuant to Section 8(d) of the Securities Act be instituted with respect to the Registration Statement to determine whether the allegations of the Division of Enforcement are true; to afford the Respondent with an opportunity to establish any defenses to these allegations; and to determine whether a stop order should issue suspending the effectiveness of the Registration Statement referred to herein.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that public proceedings be and hereby are instituted under Section 8(d) of the Securities Act, such hearing to be convened at 10:00 a.m. on September 21, 2022 via remote means and/or in Hearing Room 1 at the Commission's offices at 100 F Street N.E., Washington, DC 20549, and to continue thereafter at such time and place as the hearing officer may determine.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that these proceedings shall be presided over by an Administrative Law Judge to be designated by further order, who is authorized to perform all the duties of an Administrative Law Judge as set forth in the Commission's Rules of Practice or as otherwise provided by law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondent shall file an Answer to the allegations contained in this Order within ten (10) days after service of this Order, pursuant to Rule 220 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.220. If the Respondent fails to file the directed answer, or fails to appear at a hearing after being duly notified, the Respondent may be deemed in default and the proceedings may be determined against the Respondent upon consideration of this Order, the allegations of which may be deemed to be true as provided by Rules 155(a), 220(f), 221 (f), and 310 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), 201.220(f), 201.221(f) and 201.310. This Order shall be served forthwith upon the Respondent in accordance with Rule 141 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.141.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge shall issue an initial decision no later than 120 days from the occurrence of one of the following events: (A) The completion of post-hearing briefing in a proceeding where the hearing has been completed; (B) Where the hearing officer has determined that no hearing is necessary, upon completion of briefing on a motion pursuant to Rule 250 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.250; or (C) The determination by the hearing officer that a party is deemed to be in default under Rule 155 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.155 and no hearing is necessary.

Attention is called to Rule 151(a), (b) and (c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 17 C.F.R. § 201.151(a), (b) and (c), providing that when, as here, a proceeding is set before the Commission, all papers (including those listed in the following paragraph) shall be filed electronically in administrative proceedings using the Commission's Electronic Filings in Administrative Proceedings (eFAP) system access through the Commission's website, www.sec.gov/eFAP. Respondent also must serve and accept service of documents electronically. All motions, objections, or applications will be decided by the Commission.

In the absence of an appropriate waiver, no officer or employee of the Commission engaged in the performance of investigative or prosecuting functions in this or any factually related proceeding will be permitted to participate or advise in the decision of this matter, except as witness or counsel in proceedings held pursuant to notice.

Since this proceeding is not "rule making" within the meaning of Section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not deemed subject to the provisions of Section 553 delaying the effective date of any final Commission action.

By the Commission.

Vanessa A. Countryman Secretary