Thomas M. Melton (4999)
Karen L. Martinez (7914)
William B. McKean (4883)
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Securities & Exchange Commission
15 West South Temple, Suite 1800
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Tel. 801-524-5796

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

2010 AUG IT A II: 44

DISTRICT OF UTAH

BY: DEPUTY CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

PLAINTIFF,

THOMPSON CONSULTING, INC., a Utah corporation, KYLE J. THOMPSON, E. SHERMAN WARNER and DAVID C. CONDIE

DEFENDANTS, and

APEX EQUITY OPTIONS FUND, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, PREMIER PORTFOLIO, LP, an Anguilla limited partnership, M & K HOLDINGS, LLC, a Utah limited liability company, WILLOWBEND PROPERTY COMPANY, LLC, a Utah limited liability company, MICHAEL T. MORLEY and KRYSTIN P. MORLEY,

RELIEF DEFENDANTS.

RECEIVED

AUG 1 3 2010

OFFICE OF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
BRUCE S. JENKINS

Civil No. 2:08CV00171

Judge Bruce S. Jenkins

FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT E. SHERMAN WARNER

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") having filed a Complaint and Defendant E. Sherman Warner ("Warner" or the "Defendant") having entered a general appearance; consented to the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and the subject matter of this action; consented to entry of this Final Judgment without admitting or denying the allegations of

the Complaint (except as to jurisdiction); waived findings of fact and conclusions of law; and waived any right to appeal from this Final Judgment:

I.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant and Defendant's agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise are permanently restrained and enjoined from violating Sections 17(a)(2) and (3) of the Securities Act of 1933 [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(2) and (3)] in the offer or sale of any security by the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by use of the mails, directly or indirectly:

- (a) to obtain money or property by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission of a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (b) to engage in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

II.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Defendant is liable for disgorgement of \$504,459.00, representing profits gained as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint together with prejudgment interest thereon in the amount of \$106,157.62 for a total of \$610,616.62. Based on Defendant's sworn representations in his Statement of Financial Condition dated May 17, 2010, and other documents and information submitted to the Commission, however, the Court is not ordering Defendant to pay a civil penalty and payment of all of the disgorgement is waived. The determination not to impose a

civil penalty and to waive payment of all of the disgorgement is contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of Defendant's Statement of Financial Condition. If at any time following the entry of this Final Judgment the Commission obtains information indicating that Defendant's representations to the Commission concerning his assets, income, liabilities, or net worth were fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made, the Commission may, at its sole discretion and without prior notice to Defendant, petition the Court for an order requiring Defendant to pay the unpaid portion of the disgorgement, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest thereon, and the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law. In connection with any such petition, the only issue shall be whether the financial information provided by Defendant was fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made. In its petition, the Commission may move this Court to consider all available remedies, including, but not limited to, ordering Defendant to pay funds or assets, directing the forfeiture of any assets, or sanctions for contempt of this Final Judgment. The Commission may also request additional discovery. Defendant may not, by way of defense to such petition: (1) challenge the validity of the Consent or this Final Judgment; (2) contest the allegations in the Complaint filed by the Commission; (3) assert that payment of disgorgement, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest or a civil penalty should not be ordered; (4) contest the amount of disgorgement and prejudgment and post-judgment interest; (5) contest the imposition of the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law; or (6) assert any defense to liability or remedy, including, but not limited to, any statute of limitations defense.

III.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Consent is incorporated herein with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein, and that Defendant shall comply with all of the undertakings and agreements set forth therein.

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of enforcing the terms of this Final Judgment.

Dated this 16 day of AU 905 2010.