FILED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

★ JAN 26 2010

BROOKLYN OFFICE

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

Civ. No. 07-3928 (JG)(RML)

v.

JOSEPH SIMONE, et al.,

Defendants.

FINAL CONSENT JUDGMENT OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF AS TO DEFENDANT STEVEN DARONZIO

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") having filed an amended complaint on September 24, 2007 ("Complaint") alleging, among other things, that defendant Steven Daronzio ("Daronzio") violated Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)], and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], and defendant Daronzio having executed the Consent of Defendant Steven Daronzio ("Consent") annexed hereto and incorporated herein, having acknowledged service of the Summons and Complaint and the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, having admitted to the jurisdiction of this Court over him and over the subject matter of this action and, without admitting or denying the allegations contained in the Complaint, except as to jurisdiction, which are admitted, having consented to the entry of

this Final Consent Judgment Of Permanent Injunction And Other Relief As To Defendant Steven Daronzio ("Final Judgment"), without further notice:

I.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant Daronzio is permanently enjoined and restrained from, directly or indirectly, in the offer or sale of any security, by the use of any means or instrument of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails:

- (A) employing any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (B) obtaining money or property by means of any untrue statement of material fact or any omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- (C) engaging in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a purchaser,

in violation of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)].

II.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant

Daronzio is permanently restrained and enjoined from, directly or indirectly, in connection with
the purchase or sale of any security, by the use of any means or instrumentality of interstate
commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange:

(A) employing any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;

- (B) making any untrue statement of a material fact or to omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- engaging in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person,

in violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

III.

defendant Daronzio having entered a guilty plea in *United States v. Steven Daronzio*, 07-CR-596 (E.D.N.Y.) (JG), in which he was criminally charged with engaging in the same conduct alleged in the Commission's Complaint, and having been sentenced pursuant to his guilty plea to pay restitution in the amount of \$205,000, and a fine of \$4,000, and to a term of 5 years probation, the first 6 months of which Daronzio is subject to home detention, defendant Daronzio is not additionally liable in the Commission's civil action for disgorgement of the \$35,140 in ill-gotten gains he received as a result of the conduct alleged in the Commission's Complaint or for civil penalties.

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, pursuant to Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Final Judgment shall be binding upon defendant Daronzio, his agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and upon those persons in

active concert or participation with him who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise.

V.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the provisions of the annexed Consent be, and the same hereby are, incorporated in this Final Judgment with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

VI.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for all purposes, including, but not limited to, implementing and enforcing the terms and conditions of this Final Judgment.

VII.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that there being no just reason for delay, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Clerk is ordered to enter this Final Judgment forthwith and without further notice.

CONSENT OF DEFENDANT STEVEN DARONZIO

- 1. Defendant Steven Daronzio ("Daronzio"), being fully apprised of his rights, having had the opportunity to confer with legal counsel, having read and understood the terms of the annexed Final Consent Judgment Of Permanent Injunction And Other Relief As To Defendant Steven Daronzio ("Final Judgment"), appears and admits to the jurisdiction of this Court over him and over the subject matter of this action, waives the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and, without further notice, trial or argument, and without admitting or denying the allegations of the amended complaint ("Complaint") filed by plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), except as to jurisdiction, which are admitted, hereby consents to the entry of the annexed Final Judgment.
- 2. Defendant Daronzio agrees that this Consent Of Defendant Steven Daronzio ("Consent") shall be incorporated by reference in and made part of the Final Judgment to be presented to the Court for signature, filing and entry contemporaneously herewith.
- 3. Defendant Daronzio waives the right, if any, to a jury trial and to appeal from the Final Judgment.
- 4. Defendant Daronzio acknowledges that any violation of any of the terms of the Final Judgment may place him in contempt of this Court and subject him to civil or criminal sanctions.
- 5. Defendant Daronzio acknowledges that he enters into this Consent voluntarily and that this Consent and the Final Judgment embody the entire understanding of himself and the

Commission. Defendant Daronzio acknowledges and agrees that this proceeding and his

Consent to the entry of the Final Judgment are for the purposes of resolving this civil action only,
and that no tender, offer, promise, threat or representation of any kind has been made by the

Commission or any member, officer, attorney, agent or representative thereof with regard to: (a)
any criminal liability arising from the facts underlying this action; or (b) immunity from any such
criminal liability.

- 6. Defendant Daronzio acknowledges that he has been informed and understands that the Commission, at its sole and exclusive discretion, may refer, or grant access to, this matter or any information or evidence gathered in connection therewith or derived therefrom, to any person or entity having appropriate administrative, civil, or criminal jurisdiction, if the Commission has not already done so.
- 7. Defendant Daronzio acknowledges that, in conformity with the provisions of 17 C.F.R. § 202.5(f), their Consent and the entry of the annexed Final Judgment do not resolve, affect or preclude any other proceeding that has been or may be brought against him or anyone else. Among other things, defendant Daronzio waives any right he may have to assert that, under the Double Jeopardy Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution, the relief sought or consented to in this civil action, including the seeking or imposition of any remedy or civil penalty herein, bars any criminal action, or that any criminal action bars the relief consented to in this action.
- 8. Defendant Daronzio acknowledges that the Court's entry of a permanent injunction may have collateral consequences under federal or state law and the rules and regulations of self-regulatory organizations, licensing boards, and other regulatory organizations.

Such collateral consequences include, but are not limited to, a statutory disqualification with respect to membership or participation in, or association with a member of, a self-regulatory organization. This statutory disqualification has consequences that are separate from any sanction imposed in an administrative proceeding.

- 9. Defendant Daronzio understands and agrees to comply with the Commission's policy "not to permit a defendant or respondent to consent to a judgment or order that imposes a sanction while denying the allegation in the Complaint or order for proceedings," 17 C.F.R. § 202.5(e). In compliance with this policy, defendant Daronzio agrees: (i) not to take any action or to make or permit to be made any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegation in the Complaint or creating the impression that the Complaint is without factual basis; and (ii) that upon the filing of this Consent, defendant Daronzio hereby withdraws any papers filed in this action to the extent that they deny any allegation in the Complaint. If defendant Daronzio breaches this agreement, the Commission may petition the Court to vacate the Final Judgment and restore this action to its active docket. Nothing in this provision affects defendant Daronzio's: (a) testimonial obligations; or (b) right to take legal or factual positions in defense of litigation or other legal proceedings in which the Commission is not a party.
- 10. Defendant Daronzio agrees that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of implementing and enforcing the terms and conditions of the Final Judgment and for all other purposes.
- 11. Defendant Daronzio hereby consents and agrees that the annexed Final Judgment may be presented by the Commission to the Court for signature and entry without further notice or delay.

- 12. Defendant Daronzio will not oppose the enforcement of the Final Judgment on the ground, if any exists, that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and hereby waives any objection based thereon.
- 13. Defendant Daronzio waives service of the Final Judgment and agrees that entry of the Final Judgment by the Court and filing with the Clerk of the Court will constitute notice to defendant Daronzio of its terms and conditions. Defendant Daronzio agrees to execute and provide to the Commission a written declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 acknowledging their receipt of the Final Judgment no later than twenty (20) days after a copy of the Final Judgment has been delivered to his counsel, Howard Elisofon, Esq., Herrick, Feinstein, LLP, 2 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10016.
- Act, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, or any other provision of law to seek from the United States, or any agency, or any official of the United States acting in his or her official capacity, directly or indirectly, reimbursement of attorney's fees or other fees, expenses, or costs expended by defendant Daronzio to defend against this action. For these purposes, defendant Daronzio agrees that he is not the prevailing party in this action since the parties have reached a good faith settlement.
- 15. In connection with this action and any related judicial or administrative proceeding or investigation commenced by the Commission or to which the Commission is a party, defendant Daronzio (i) agrees to appear and be interviewed by Commission staff at such times and places as the staff requests upon reasonable notice; (ii) will accept service by mail or facsimile transmission of notices or subpoenas issued by the Commission for documents or

testimony at depositions, hearings, or trials, or in connection with any related investigation by Commission staff; (iii) appoints his attorney, Howard Elisofon, Esq., as agent to receive service of such notices and subpoenas; (iv) with respect to such notices and subpoenas, waives the territorial limits on service contained in Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules, provided that the party requesting the testimony reimburses defendant Daronzio's travel, lodging, and subsistence expenses at the then-prevailing U.S. Government per diem rates; and (v) consents to personal jurisdiction over her in any United States District Court for purposes of enforcing any such subpoena.

Dated:

July 3/, 2008

On July 3/, 2008, Steven Daronzio, a person known to me, personally appeared before me and acknowledged executing the foregoing Consent.

Commission expires:

DEANA L. SPENCER NOTARY PUBLIC OF NEW JERSEY My Commission Expires April 18, 2010

SO ORDERED:

s/John Gleeson

1-22-10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE