UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, :

v.

APR 1 0 2002

NANCY MAYER WHITTINGTON, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiff,

Un

DAVID ALLEN LESTER,

Defendant.

FINAL JUDGMENT OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF AS TO DEFENDANT DAVID ALLEN LESTER

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") having commenced this action by filing its Complaint (the "Complaint"), and Defendant David Allen Lester ("Lester"), in the attached Consent of Defendant David Allen Lester ("Consent"), having entered a general appearance, having admitted the jurisdiction of this Court over him and over the subject matter of this action, having waived the filing of an answer to the Complaint, having waived the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law, having waived any right he may have to appeal from the entry of this Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief as to Defendant David Allen Lester ("Final Judgment"), and without admitting or denying the allegations of the Complaint, except as to jurisdiction, which he admits, having consented to the entry of this Final Judgment, and it further appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over Lester and the subject matter of the action, and the Court being fully advised in the premises:

I.

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant Lester, his agents, servants, employees, attorneys-in-fact, and those persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment, are permanently restrained and enjoined from:

- (a) directly or indirectly, violating Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5], by the use of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or any facility of a national securities exchange by:
 - (1) employing any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud,
 - (2) making any untrue statement of a material fact or omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statement made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, or
 - (3) engaging in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person,

in connection with the purchase or sale of any security; and

- (b) directly or indirectly, violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] by, in the offer or sale of any securities by the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by the use of the mails, directly or indirectly,
 - (1) employing any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud,

- (2) obtaining money or property by means of any untrue statement of a material fact or any omission to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, or
- (3) engaging in any transaction, practice or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon the purchaser.

II.

Defendant's sworn representations in his Statement of Financial Condition dated May 28, 2000, and other documents submitted to the Commission, the Court is not ordering him to pay a civil penalty pursuant to Section 20(d) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77t(d)] and Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3)]. The determination not to impose a civil penalty is contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of his Statement of Financial Condition. If at any time following the entry of this Final Judgment the Commission obtains information indicating that Defendant's representations to the Commission concerning his assets, income, liabilities, or net worth were fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made, the Commission may, at its sole discretion and without prior notice to Defendant, petition the Court for an order requiring Defendant to pay the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law. In connection with any such petition, the only issue shall be whether the financial information provided by Defendant was fraudulent, misleading, inaccurate, or incomplete in any material respect as of the time such representations were made. In its petition, the

Commission may move this Court to consider all available remedies, including, but not limited to, ordering Defendant to pay funds or assets, directing the forfeiture of any assets, or sanctions for contempt of this Final Judgment. The Commission may also request additional discovery. Defendant may not, by way of defense to such petition: (1) challenge the validity of this Consent or the Final Judgment; (2) contest the allegations in the Complaint filed by the Commission; (3) assert that payment of a civil penalty should not be ordered; (4) contest the imposition of the maximum civil penalty allowable under the law; or (5) assert any defense to liability or remedy, including, but not limited to, any statute of limitations defense.

III.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the annexed Consent be, and the same hereby is, incorporated herein by reference with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

IV.

There being no reason for delay, the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to enter this Final Judgment forthwith.

SO ORDERED, this 3RD day of APRIL, 2002.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE