UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940 Release No. 4504 / August 25, 2016

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING File No. 3-17500

In the Matter of

Risk Paradigm Group, LLC

Respondent.

ORDER INSTITUTING CEASE-AND-DESIST PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 203(k) OF THE INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940, MAKING FINDINGS, AND IMPOSING A CEASE-AND-DESIST ORDER

I.

The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") deems it appropriate that cease-and-desist proceedings be, and hereby are, instituted pursuant to Section 203(k) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act") against Risk Paradigm Group, LLC ("RPg" or "Respondent").

II.

In anticipation of the institution of these proceedings, Respondent has submitted an Offer of Settlement (the "Offer") which the Commission has determined to accept. Solely for the purpose of these proceedings and any other proceedings brought by or on behalf of the Commission, or to which the Commission is a party, and without admitting or denying the findings herein, except as to the Commission's jurisdiction over Respondent and the subject matter of these proceedings, which are admitted, Respondent consents to the entry of this Order Instituting Cease-and-Desist Proceedings Pursuant to Section 203(k) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Making Findings, and Imposing a Cease-and-Desist Order ("Order"), as set forth below.

III.

On the basis of this Order and Respondent's Offer, the Commission finds¹ that:

¹ The findings herein are made pursuant to Respondent's Offer and are not binding on any other person or entity in this or any other proceeding.

Summary

- 1. This matter arises from misstatements made by registered investment adviser RPg to advisory clients of other advisors, including RPg's affiliate RPG Family Wealth Advisory, LLC ("RPg-FWA"), that invested in F-Squared Investments, Inc.'s ("F-Squared") strategy. RPg advertised the strategy by negligently relying on F-Squared's materially inflated, and hypothetical and back-tested, performance track record that F-Squared misrepresented.
- 2. AlphaSector is a sector rotation strategy based on an algorithm that yields a signal indicating whether to buy or sell nine industry exchange-traded funds ("ETFs") that together made up the industries in the S&P 500 Index. Between November 2011 and May 2014, RPg offered model portfolios based on F-Squared's AlphaSector strategy to other investment advisers, for use with those other advisers' clients.
- 3. From February 2012 to October 2013, in reliance on F-Squared's false statements, RPg's AlphaSector advertisements falsely stated that: (a) assets had been invested in the AlphaSector strategy from April 2001 to September 2008; and (b) the track record had significantly outperformed the S&P 500 Index from April 2001 to September 2008. In fact, no client assets had tracked the AlphaSector strategy from April 2001 through September 2008. In addition, F-Squared miscalculated the historical performance of AlphaSector from April 2001 to September 2008 by incorrectly implementing signals in advance of when such signals actually could have occurred. RPg took insufficient steps to confirm the accuracy of F-Squared's historical data and other information contained in the materials. In addition, RPg did not obtain sufficient documentation that substantiated F-Squared's advertising claims in the materials. As a result of this inaccurate compilation of historical data by F-Squared, RPg advertised the AlphaSector strategy by using hypothetical and back-tested historical performance that was inflated substantially over what performance would have been if F-Squared had applied the signals accurately.
- 4. As a result, RPg violated Section 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rule 206(4)-1(a)(5) thereunder by publishing, circulating, and distributing advertisements that contained untrue statements of material fact. RPg likewise did not make and keep true, accurate and current records or documents necessary to form the basis for or demonstrate the calculation of the performance or rate of returns that it circulated and distributed, as required by Section 204(a) of the Advisers Act and Rule 204-2(a)(16) thereunder.

Respondent

5. **Risk Paradigm Group, LLC** (SEC File No. 801-72141), also known as RPg Asset Management ("RPg") is an investment adviser registered with the Commission since April 8, 2011 and headquartered in Austin, Texas. As of March 31, 2016, RPg reported regulatory

assets under management of approximately \$234,361,000. RPg's business is to manage model portfolios on behalf of various other investment advisers.

Other Relevant Entities and Person

- 6. **RPg Family Wealth Advisory, LLC** (SEC File No. 801-72631) ("RPg-FWA") is an investment adviser registered with the Commission since August 3, 2011 and headquartered in Burlington, Massachusetts. As of March 26, 2016, RPg-FWA reported regulatory assets under management of approximately \$366,369,000. RPg and RPg-FWA have the same executive officers and ultimate owners. RPg managed model portfolios on behalf of RPg-FWA.
- 7. **F-Squared Investments, Inc.** ("F-Squared") (SEC File No. 801-69937) is an investment adviser that was registered with the Commission from March 2009 until January 2016, and is headquartered in Wellesley, Massachusetts. In October 2008, F-Squared launched its first AlphaSector index. F-Squared sub-licensed its approximately 75 AlphaSector indexes to unaffiliated third parties who managed assets pursuant to these indexes. On December 22, 2014, the Commission instituted a settled fraud action against F-Squared in which F-Squared admitted, among other things, to making the materially false claims that (a) the signals that formed the basis of the AlphaSector index returns had been used to manage client assets from April 2001 to September 2008; and (b) the signals resulted in a track record that significantly outperformed the S&P 500 Index from April 2001 to September 2008. See In the Matter of F-Squared Investments, Inc., Admin. Proceeding No. 3-16325 (Dec. 22, 2014).
- 8. **Howard Brian Present** ("Present"), age 55, resides in Wellesley, Massachusetts. In 2006, Present co-founded F-Squared and was the President and CEO until his separation in 2014. On December 22, 2014, the Commission filed a civil complaint alleging fraud charges against Present in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, which is pending. *See SEC v. Present*, No. 1:14-cv-14692 (D. Mass. filed Dec. 22, 2014).

Facts

RPg's Relationship With F-Squared

9. In approximately December 2009, F-Squared introduced its AlphaSector strategies to RPg. F-Squared marketed AlphaSector to RPg as an ETF sector rotation strategy that was based on an algorithm that yields a "signal" indicating whether to buy or sell nine industry ETFs.³ If the algorithm produced buy signals for three or fewer sector ETFs, the

² Regulatory assets under management include the securities portfolios for which RPg provides continuous and regular supervisory or management services.

³ F-Squared created several AlphaSector strategies and sub-licensed approximately 75 AlphaSector indexes. The AlphaSector indexes that are the subject of this matter, including the AlphaSector Premium Index and the AlphaSector Rotation Index, are based on investments in U.S. equity ETFs. As with all indexes, the performance of the AlphaSector Premium Index and AlphaSector Rotation Index is inherently hypothetical in the sense that the indexes do not purport to reflect the performance of any

AlphaSector strategy provided for some or all of the assets to be invested in cash equivalents. In approximately September 2011, RPg entered into subadvisory and model manager agreements with F-Squared whereby F-Squared licensed the AlphaSector strategy to RPg. Thereafter, RPg offered model portfolios that were managed using F-Squared's AlphaSector signals and strategy. Advisory clients ultimately invested in such products, including clients of RPg-FWA.

10. F-Squared described the strategy falsely to RPg by, among other things, representing that: (a) the AlphaSector strategy had been used to manage client assets from April 2001 to September 2008, often calling it a "live" track record; and (b) the track record had significantly outperformed the S&P 500 Index from April 2001 to September 2008. In reality, no assets tracked the strategy until 2008 and the back-tested track record was substantially overstated. F-Squared's AlphaSector sales and marketing materials shared with RPg claimed that clients actually achieved these performance returns for the April 2001 to September 2008 time period. In turn, RPg took portions of F-Squared's advertisements, including claims concerning the live nature of the track record and the significant outperformance, and disseminated advertisements, under RPg's logo, to other advisers' clients and prospective clients without having a reasonable basis to conclude that F-Squared's exceptional performance claims were true.

RPg's Advertisements Contained Misstatements

11. From February 2012 through October 2013, RPg, in advertising their own advisory services and the RPg products that would utilize/incorporate the AlphaSector strategies, disseminated AlphaSector performance advertisements that failed to disclose the AlphaSector track record for the period April 2001 to September 2008 was hypothetical and back-tested. The advertisements were distributed through regular mail, electronic mail, or in connection with oral presentations. As described above, RPg's AlphaSector advertisements also substantially overstated the performance of F-Squared's back-tested track record during this period based on the false information provided by F-Squared. In October 2013, RPg stopped distributing advertising materials containing past performance information of F-Squared. In May 2014, RPg ceased using the AlphaSector signals. RPg disclosed these changes to the financial intermediaries to whom they had marketed the AlphaSector strategies.

RPg Failed to Have A Reasonable Basis to Believe the Accuracy of the Performance and Performance-Related Claims in the Advertisements

12. RPg knew or should have known that it did not have a reasonable basis to believe that F-Squared's AlphaSector advertising claims were accurate. RPg knew or should have known that F-Squared's AlphaSector strategy purported performance contained in RPg's advertising materials was exceptional over the 2001-2008 period in that it significantly outperformed the S&P 500 Index and purported to involve actual results notwithstanding that the

particular client or account. However, the AlphaSector Premium Index and AlphaSector Rotation Index were advertised as being based on a strategy that had been in place since 2001 and therefore the performance of these indexes was advertised as "not backtested" when in fact the performance *was* backtested.

4

AlphaSector strategy was not launched until 2008. With regard to the performance claims, RPg included in some of its AlphaSector advertisements a general statement indicating that third parties were the source of the performance data and that RPg had not independently verified the data. RPg relied on documents making performance claims that came directly or indirectly from F-Squared. For example, RPg relied on a Morningstar report that stated the information in the report was self-reported by F-Squared and had not been independently verified. Moreover, although RPg reviewed performance returns calculated by certain online databases to analyze F-Squared's past performance, the input data that was used to calculate the returns came from F-Squared. Having taken insufficient steps to confirm the accuracy of the AlphaSector performance data and not having obtained sufficient documentation that would have substantiated F-Squared's advertised performance and performance-related claims in RPg's advertising materials, RPg failed to have a reasonable basis to believe that AlphaSector's performance was accurate when included in its own advertisements for clients considering the strategy.

RPg Failed to Maintain Adequate Books and Records

13. RPg was required to make and keep true, accurate and current records or documents necessary to form the basis for or demonstrate the calculation of the performance or rate of return that it circulated or distributed to ten or more persons. In marketing its own advisory services, RPg circulated and distributed the 2001-2008 historical performance of the AlphaSector strategy in client presentations and marketing materials and other communications to financial intermediaries to whom they advertised the AlphaSector strategy for investment by their clients and prospective clients. However, RPg never made or kept sufficient records or documents to form the basis for or demonstrate the calculation of the historical performance or rate of return of the AlphaSector strategy.

Violations

- 14. As a result of the conduct described above, RPg violated Section 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rule 206(4)-1(a)(5) thereunder, which make it a fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative act, practice, or course of business within the meaning of Section 206(4) of the Advisers Act to, among other things, directly or indirectly publish, circulate, or distribute an advertisement which contains any untrue statement of material fact, or which is otherwise false or misleading. A violation of Section 206(4) and the rules thereunder does not require scienter. SEC v. Steadman, 967 F.2d 636, 647 (D.C. Cir. 1992).
- 15. As a result of the conduct described above, RPg violated Section 204(a) of the Advisers Act and Rule 204-2(a)(16) thereunder. Section 204(a) of the Advisers Act requires investment advisers to make and keep certain records as the Commission, by rule, may prescribe as necessary or appropriate in the public interest or for the protection of investors. Rule 204-2 under the Advisers Act requires investment advisers registered or required to be registered to make and keep true, accurate and current various books and records relating to their investment advisory business, including all accounts, books, internal working papers, and any other records or documents that are necessary to form the basis for or demonstrate the calculation of the performance or rate of return of any or all managed accounts or securities recommendations in any notice, circular, advertisement, newspaper article, investment letter, bulletin or other

communication that the investment adviser circulates or distributes, directly or indirectly, to 10 or more persons.

IV.

In view of the foregoing, the Commission deems it appropriate to impose the sanctions agreed to in Respondent's Offers.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- A. Pursuant to Section 203(k) of the Advisers Act, Respondent shall cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and any future violations of Sections 204(a) and 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rules 204-2(a)(16), and 206(4)-1(a)(5) thereunder.
- B. Respondent shall pay a civil money penalty of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) to the Securities and Exchange Commission for transfer to the general fund of the United States Treasury, subject to Exchange Act Section 21F(g)(3). Payment shall be made in the following installments: (1) \$25,000 within 10 days of the entry of the Order; (2) \$25,000 within 90 days of the entry of this Order; (3) \$25,000 within 180 days of the entry of this Order; and (4) \$25,000 within 270 days of the entry of this Order. If any payment is not made by the date the payment is required by this Order, the entire outstanding balance of the civil penalty, plus any additional interest accrued pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3717, shall be due and payable immediately, without further application.

Payment must be made in one of the following ways:

- (1) Respondent may transmit payment electronically to the Commission, which will provide detailed ACH transfer/Fedwire instructions upon request;
- (2) Respondent may make direct payment from a bank account via Pay.gov through the SEC website at http://www.sec.gov/about/offices/ofm.htm; or
- (3) Respondent may pay by certified check, bank cashier's check, or United States postal money order, made payable to the Securities and Exchange Commission and hand-delivered or mailed to:

Enterprise Services Center Accounts Receivable Branch HQ Bldg., Room 181, AMZ-341 6500 South MacArthur Boulevard Oklahoma City, OK 73169

Payments by check or money order must be accompanied by a cover letter identifying Risk Paradigm Group as the Respondent in these proceedings, and the file number of these proceedings; a copy of the cover letter and check or money order must be sent to Robert B.

Baker, Assistant Director, Asset Management Unit, Securities and Exchange Commission, Boston Regional Office, 33 Arch Street, 24th Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02110.

C. Amounts ordered to be paid as civil money penalties pursuant to this Order shall be treated as penalties paid to the government for all purposes, including all tax purposes. To preserve the deterrent effect of the civil penalty, Respondent agrees that in any Related Investor Action, it shall not argue that it is entitled to, nor shall it benefit by, offset or reduction of any award of compensatory damages by the amount of any part of Respondent's payment of a civil penalty in this action ("Penalty Offset"). If the court in any Related Investor Action grants such a Penalty Offset, Respondent agrees that it shall, within 30 days after entry of a final order granting the Penalty Offset, notify the Commission's counsel in this action and pay the amount of the Penalty Offset to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such a payment shall not be deemed an additional civil penalty and shall not be deemed to change the amount of the civil penalty imposed in this proceeding. For purposes of this paragraph, a "Related Investor Action" means a private damages action brought against Respondent by or on behalf of one or more investors based on substantially the same facts as alleged in the Order instituted by the Commission in this proceeding.

By the Commission.

Brent J. Fields Secretary