FINAL ORDER – THIS PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION BECAME THE FINAL ORDER OF THE COMMISSION ON JANUARY 25, 2020 AS TO JOINT CLAIMANTS 2 AND 3 PURSUANT TO RULE 21F-10(f) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Notice of Covered Action			
			4

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION OF THE CLAIMS REVIEW STAFF

In response to the above-referen	ced Notice of Covered Action, the U.S. Securities and
Exchange Commission ("Commission")	received whistleblower award claims from
joint claimants	(Claimant 2) and
(Claimant 3),	
	*

Pursuant to Section 21F of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") and Rule 21F-10 promulgated thereunder, the Claims Review Staff has evaluated the claims in accordance with the criteria set forth in Rules 21F-1 through 21F-17.



Claimants 2, 3,

The Claims Review Staff has also preliminarily determined to recommend that the Commission deny the award claims of Claimant 2, Claimant 3,

The basis for this determination is as follows:

Claimants 2, 3, did not provide information that led to the successful enforcement of the above-referenced Covered Action within the meaning of Section 21F(b)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rules 21F-3(a)(3) and 21F-4(c) thereunder because their information did not:

- a. cause the Commission to commence an examination, open or reopen an investigation, or inquire into different conduct as part of a current Commission examination or investigation, and the Commission brought a successful judicial or administrative action based in whole or in part on conduct that was the subject of the information under Rule 21F-4(c)(1) of the Exchange Act; or
- b. significantly contribute to the success of the Covered Action under Rule 21F-4(c)(2) of the Exchange Act.

In making this preliminary determination, we note that the record reflects that joint Claimants 2 and 3 began providing information on and within 120 days, submitted a whistleblower tip on Form TCR, to the Commission, dated As such, we preliminarily credit Claimants 2 and 3 with having reported to the Commission as of any information that they provided to both the

).

also applied for an award in connection with an action brought by ¹ Claimants 2, 3, Action"). We are preliminarily determining to recommend that their claims for award in connection with the Action be denied for two reasons. First, Claimants 2, 3, eligible for an award in the Commission's Covered Action. A related action award may be made only if, among other things, the claimant satisfies the eligibility criteria for an award for the applicable covered action in the first instance. See, e.g., Order Determining Whistleblower Award Claims, Exchange Act Release No. 86902 (Sept. 9, 2019); Order Determining Whistleblower Award Claims, Exchange Act Release No. 84506, 2018 SEC LEXIS 3031. at *8 n.5 (Oct. 30, 2018) (same); Order Determining Whistleblower Award Claim, Exchange Act Release No. 84503, 2018 SEC LEXIS 3030, at *7 n.4 (Oct. 30, 2018) (same); Order Determining Whistleblower Award Claims, Exchange Act Release No. 84596, 2017 SEC LEXIS 1318, at *11 n.10 (May 4, 2017) (same); 15 U.S.C. § 78u-6(b); Exchange Act Rule 21F-3(b), (b)(1); Rule 21F-4(g) and (f), and Rule 21F-11(a). Second, the Action was a state civil action and therefore does not qualify as a related action under the Commission's whistleblower rules. See Exchange Act Rule 21F-3(b)(1)(iv)

as of (as opposed to	
of every issue subsequently charged by the Co because of information and documentation pro	ommission against in the Covered Action, ovided by or through their own ad 3's information was generally duplicative of
in the Covered Action. In short, non caused Enforcement staff to open the investiga	other alleged misconduct by the staff was they did not become part of the charges against the of the information from Claimants 2 or 3 either ation or significantly contributed to the success of
the enforcement action.	
	3
	By: Claims Review Staff
	Date: November 19, 2019
application that they should be deemed to have reported reporting to the However, Rule 21F-4(b)(7) p provide their information to the Commission pursuant	rovides a limited safe-harbor process for claimants who to Rule 21F-9 within 120 days of internally reporting their egulator. The safe harbor does not extend to reports made by