UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
x
)
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION)
100 F Street NE)
Washington, DC 20549)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
) COMPLAINT
MELISSA A. MAHLER)
756 Rock Beach Road)
Rochester, New York 14617)
Defendant.)
)

Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an insider trading case against Melissa A. Mahler ("Mahler"), a corporate attorney at Nixon Peabody LLP. Mahler traded on material, nonpublic information in breach of her duty of confidentiality, thereby violating Section 10(b) of the Securities Act of 1934 [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]. The SEC brings this action seeking a permanent injunction, disgorgement of ill-gotten gains, prejudgment interest, and a civil insider trading penalty.

JURISDICTION

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 21(d), 21(e) and 27 of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u(e) and 78aa]. Defendant has, directly or indirectly, made use of the means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce and/or of the mails in connection with the transactions described in this Complaint.

DEFENDANT

3. Melissa A. Mahler ("Mahler") was a corporate attorney with the law firm of Nixon Peabody LLP ("Nixon Peabody") at all relevant times until January 2005, when she resigned. Mahler is admitted to practice law in the State of New York.

CLIENT

4. Roger Tichenor ("Tichenor") is the sole officer and director of Teleplus Consumer Services, Inc. ("Teleplus"), a publicly-traded company located in Sarasota, Florida. At all relevant times, Teleplus's common stock traded in the pink sheets at around \$0.20 to \$0.30 per share.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

Merger Negotiations (Pre-July 28)

5. Before July 28, 2004, Tichenor discussed with Mahler a potential merger between Teleplus and Rooms.com Inc., a private online travel company. Tichenor asked Mahler to draft a letter of intent ("LOI") and related

press release concerning the potential Teleplus/Rooms.com merger.

- 6. Tichenor had a telephone conversation with Mahler about the LOI the morning of July 28, 2004, at approximately 9:15 a.m.
- 7. Tichenor considered information concerning the potential Teleplus/Rooms.com merger to have been confidential.
- 8. Tichenor conveyed material, nonpublic information concerning the potential Teleplus/Rooms.com merger to Mahler in trust and confidence.
- 9. Mahler owed Tichenor a duty of confidentiality obligating her not to use material, nonpublic information for personal gain.

Mahler's Buy Order (July 28)

- 10. On the morning of July 28, 2004, at approximately 9:30 a.m., Mahler spoke with her broker and instructed him to buy 10,000 shares of Teleplus common stock for her account.
- 11. Mahler's buy order was executed the morning of July 28, 2004, at approximately 9:58 a.m., for \$0.12 per share or a total of \$1,200.
- 12. Mahler was in possession of material, nonpublic information concerning the potential Teleplus/Rooms.com merger when she placed her buy order.

13. Mahler breached her duties of loyalty and confidentiality by using material, nonpublic information for personal gain.

LOI and Press Release (July 29-30)

- 14. Mahler and Tichenor worked on the LOI and related press release the afternoon and evening of July 29 and morning of July 30, 2004.
- 15. All drafts of the LOI provided that, "[n]either party will make any public disclosure concerning the matters set forth in this letter of intent or the negotiations of the proposed Transaction without the prior written consent of the other party."
- 16. The potential Teleplus/Rooms.com merger was publicly announced the afternoon of July 30, 2004, at approximately 1:08 p.m.
- 17. The market price of Teleplus's common stock rose the afternoon of July 30, 2004, from approximately \$0.10 to \$0.90 per share.

Mahler's Sell Order (July 30)

- 18. Mahler spoke with her broker the afternoon of July 30, 2004 and instructed him to sell 10,000 shares of Teleplus common stock for her account.
- 19. Mahler's sell order was executed at approximately 2:29 p.m. the afternoon of July 30, 2004, for \$0.70 per share or a total of \$7,000.

20. Mahler's insider trading profits were \$5,800.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violations of Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 of the Exchange Act)

- 21. Plaintiff SEC hereby incorporates $\P\P$ 1 through 20 with the same force and effect as if set out here.
- 22. In the manner described in ¶¶ 1 through 21, defendant Mahler, in connection with the purchase or sale of securities, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce or of the mails, directly or indirectly (a) employed devices, schemes or artifices to defraud; (b) made untrue statements of material facts or omissions of material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or (c) engaged in transactions, practices or courses of business which operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon persons.
- 23. By reason of the foregoing, defendant Mahler violated, and unless restrained will continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] promulgated thereunder.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the SEC respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment:

- (a) permanently enjoining defendant Mahler and her agents, servants, employees, attorneys-in-fact, and those in active concert or participation with them, who receive actual notice by personal service or otherwise, from violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5] promulgated thereunder;
- (b) ordering defendant Mahler to disgorge her illgotten gains, plus prejudgment interest thereon;
- (c) ordering defendant Mahler to pay a civil insider trading penalty pursuant to Section 21A of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78uA]; and
- (d) granting such other relief as this Court may deem just and appropriate.

Dated: September 17, 2009

Paul W. Kisslinger (Trial Counsel)

Cheryl Scarboro

Reid A. Muoio (RAM-2274)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Securities and Exchange

Commission

100 F Street N.E.

Washington, D.C. 20549

(tel) 202/551-4427 (Kisslinger)

JS-44 (Rev.1/05 DC)											· PI				
I (a) PLAINTIFFS						DEFENDANTS									
Securities and Exchange Commission					Melissa A. Mahler										
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDEN (EXCEPT IN U	 ingtor	n, D.C.	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRA												
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM	NAME, ADDRESS, AND	TELEPHONE NUMBE	R)			Con	. 1.	ሰበ	οτ. Ω 1	767					
Paul W. Kissling Washington, D.		2 2 2 5 5 5 2	Case: 1:09-cv-01767 Assigned To: Friedman, Paul L. Assign. Date: 9/17/2009 Description: General Civil								 				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY)				III CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY!											
6						PTF	DFT				ī	PTF	DFT		
1 U.S. Governme Plaintiff	_	Question overnment Not a Party)	Citize	en of this S	State	O 1 O 1 Inco.				rated or Principal F ness in This State		O 4	O 4		
2 U S Governmer Defendant	(Indicat	y e Citizenship of in item III)	Cıtıze	en of Anot	her State	O 2	0	2	Incorpo	rated and Principal		O 5	O 5		
	Faities	iii iteiii iii)		en or Subjegn Country		O 3	O 3		Foreign	Nation		O 6	O 6		
IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT															
(Place a X in	one category, A-	-N, that best rep													
O A. Antitrust O B. Personal Injury/ Malpractice				O C. Administrative Agency Review						O D. Temporary Restraining Order/Preliminary Injunction					
410 Antitrust	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liabil 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Medical Malpractice 365 Product Liability 368 Asbestos Product Liability				Social Security:						Any nature of suit from any category may be selected for this category of case assignment. *(If Antitrust, then A governs)*				
E. General	Civil (Other)	OR		0	F. <i>F</i>	Pro Se	Gen	eral	Civil						
Real Property 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent, Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property Personal Property 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability		423 Withdraw Prisoner Petitions 535 Death Per 540 Mandamu 550 Civil Right 555 Prison Co Property Rights 820 Copyright 830 Patent 840 Trademan Federal Tax Suits 870 Taxes (US defendant 871 IRS-Third	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 Prisoner Petitions 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition Property Rights 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark			630 Liqu 640 RR 6 650 Airli 660 Occi Safet 690 Othe er Statute 400 State 430 Banl 450 Com	culture er Food g Relat roperty or Lav & Truc ne Reg pation ty/Heal er Reapp (s & Ba merce/ s/etc.	d & Dr ed Sei 21 US vs k s ial lth oortion anking TCC	zure SC 881	480 Const 490 Cable 810 Select Exch 875 Custo 3410 900 Apple under 950 Const Statu 890 Other	upt Orgaumer Cr :/Satellit tive Serv ities/Col ange mer Cha al of fee r equal a titutiona tes · Statuto dministr	anization redit te TV vice mmoditi allenge determi access to lity of S ory Action	ies/ 12 USC mation Justice tate ons (if		

- IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the primary cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only one category You <a href="mailto:must] Assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the primary cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only one category You <a href="mailto:must] also select one corresponding nature of suit found under the category of case
- VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the US Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause
- VIII. RELATED CASES, IF ANY If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk's Office

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form