

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
Before the  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS  
Release No. 4498 / January 3, 2017

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING  
File No. 3-17715

In the Matter of

SEN YU INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, INC.

ORDER POSTPONING HEARING,  
SETTING PREHEARING  
CONFERENCE, AND REQUIRING  
RESPONDENT TO SHOW CAUSE

On December 8, 2016, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an order instituting proceedings (OIP) against Respondent under Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, alleging that Respondent has securities registered with the Commission and failed to file required periodic reports. A hearing is scheduled for January 9, 2017.

On December 22, 2016, the Division of Enforcement filed a declaration concerning service of the OIP on Respondent. According to Respondent's most recent filing with the commission, it is a Delaware corporation with offices in China. The Division conducted a Delaware corporate records search and determined that Respondent has void status under Delaware corporate law and has no officers, directors, places of business, or any other corporate presence in Delaware. A Delaware corporation in void status loses all corporate powers and therefore has no registered agent. *See* Del. Code tit. 8, § 510.

Under Delaware law, if a corporation cannot be served in Delaware by other means, it is lawful to serve process on the Delaware Secretary of State. Del. Code tit. 8, § 321(b). On December 29, 2016, the Division submitted a supplemental declaration establishing that a process server served the OIP on an authorized person in the Division of Corporations within the Delaware Department of State on December 15, 2016. I therefore find that service of the OIP was made to an "agent authorized by appointment or law to receive . . . notice" and that service was effected on that date. 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii).

Respondent's answer was due December 27, 2016. OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.160(a), .220(b). To date, no answer has been filed.

I ORDER Respondent to SHOW CAUSE by January 13, 2017, why the registration of its registered securities should not be revoked by default for failing to file an answer or otherwise defend the proceeding. *See* OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), .220(f).

I FURTHER ORDER that the hearing scheduled for January 9, 2017, is POSTPONED, and that a telephonic prehearing conference is scheduled for January 18, 2017, at 3:00 p.m. Eastern.

---

James E. Grimes  
Administrative Law Judge