

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS
Release No. 3616/February 17, 2016

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-17050

In the Matter of

HUDSON CAPITAL PARTNERS CORPORATION

ORDER

On January 13, 2016, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued an order instituting proceedings (OIP) against Respondent pursuant to Section 203(e) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. On February 5, 2016, I postponed the hearing in this matter and ordered the Division of Enforcement to file a declaration addressing whether service of the OIP had been accomplished in accordance with Rule of Practice 141, 17 C.F.R. § 201.141. *Hudson Capital Partners Corp.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 3579, 2016 SEC LEXIS 485.

On February 12, 2016, the Division filed a declaration establishing that: Respondent is a New York corporation, the New York Secretary of State is an agent for Respondent upon whom process may be served, and Respondent was served with the OIP via personal delivery to the New York Secretary of State on February 8, 2016. I find that Respondent was served in accordance with Rule 141(a)(2)(ii), 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(ii). Accordingly, Respondent's answer is due by February 29, 2016. *See* OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.160(a), .220(b). If Respondent fails to timely file an answer or otherwise defend the proceeding, it will be deemed in default and the proceeding will be determined against it. *See* OIP at 2; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), .220(f).

While Respondent was found in default in the civil action against it,¹ Respondent has prevailed in a recent private litigation where it was represented by counsel. *See Rose v. Rahfco Mgmt. Grp., LLC*, No. 13-cv-5804, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 182269 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 15, 2014) (granting Respondent's motion to dismiss). Therefore, I encourage the Division to reach out to Respondent and its former or current counsel to determine what Respondent's intentions are for this matter, if any.

Jason S. Patil
Administrative Law Judge

¹ *See SEC v. Hansen*, No. 13-cv-1403 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 16, 2015), ECF No. 100.