

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS
Release No. 2586/April 27, 2015

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-16392

In the Matter of

BRADLEY A. HOLCOM

ORDER STAYING PROCEEDING

The Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission) issued an Order Instituting Proceedings (OIP) on February 19, 2015, pursuant to Section 15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act), alleging that Bradley A. Holcom (Holcom) was permanently enjoined from future violations of Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 and Sections 10(b) and 15(a)(1) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, as a result of a judgment entered on February 6, 2015, in a civil action entitled *SEC v. Holcom*, No. 12-cv-1623 (S.D. Cal.). The OIP also alleges that Holcom pleaded guilty to one count of wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 in *United States v. Holcom*, No. 13-CR-1723 (S.D. Cal.) (Criminal Case). It is also alleged that in the Criminal Case, the court ordered a prison term of 121 months followed by three years of supervised release and for Holcom to make restitution of \$26,233,661.

I canceled the telephonic prehearing conference scheduled for March 23, 2015, based on Holcom's Answer dated February 28, 2015, in which he appeared to agree with the allegations in the OIP and stated he has no reason to object in any manner. *Bradley A. Holcom*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 2450, 2015 SEC LEXIS 1046 (March 23, 2015).

On April 23, 2015, the Division of Enforcement filed a Motion For Stay Pending Commission Consideration of Offer of settlement, pursuant to Rule 161(c)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice (Rule), 17 C.F.R. § 201.161(c)(2), representing that it has received Holcom's signed offer of settlement and is in the process of submitting it to the Commission for consideration.

For good cause, the Motion is GRANTED; the proceeding is STAYED. The Division will notify this Office if any of the requirements of Rule 161(c)(2) are not met.

Brenda P. Murray
Chief Administrative Law Judge