

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS
Release No. 2457/March 23, 2015

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-15514

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| In the Matter of | : | |
| | : | |
| DONALD J. ANTHONY, JR., | : | |
| FRANK H. CHIAPPONE, | : | |
| RICHARD D. FELDMANN, | : | ORDER ON POST INITIAL DECISION |
| WILLIAM P. GAMELLO, | : | MOTIONS |
| ANDREW G. GUZZETTI, | : | |
| WILLIAM F. LEX, | : | |
| THOMAS E. LIVINGSTON, | : | |
| BRIAN T. MAYER, | : | |
| PHILIP S. RABINOVICH, AND | : | |
| RYAN C. ROGERS | : | |

I issued an Initial Decision in this proceeding on February 25, 2015. *Donald J. Anthony, Jr.*, Initial Decision No. 745, 2015 SEC LEXIS 707. Several Respondents filed timely motions to correct, and I ordered the Division of Enforcement (Division) to file an opposition to these motions to correct by March 20, 2015. *Donald J. Anthony, Jr.*, Admin. Proc. Rulings Release No. 2398, 2015 SEC LEXIS 910 (Mar. 10, 2015). The Division submitted its opposition on March 20, 2015. On the same day, Respondent Guzzetti submitted a motion to correct, acknowledging that the motion was untimely but requesting that it be accepted for filing. Today, this Office received the Division's opposition to Guzzetti's motion to correct, which argues that the motion is untimely and should not be accepted. Despite its untimeliness, I will use my authority and ACCEPT Guzzetti's motion to correct. 17 C.F.R. § 201.111(d). The Division shall have until Friday, March 27, 2015, to file its opposition to the motion.

Also on March 20, 2015, this Office received a letter stating that Respondent Rogers does not intend to file a petition for review and requesting an extension of time for him to submit motions pursuant to Commission Rules of Practice 322 and 630. I do not have authority to act on Rogers's request because after the Initial Decision is issued I retain only the authority to consider a motion to correct. *See Alchemy Ventures, Inc.*, Securities Exchange Act of 1934 No. 70708, 2013 SEC LEXIS 3459, at *13 & n.25 (Oct. 17, 2013). Rogers's proposed motion is not a motion to correct, which is properly filed "only if the basis for the motion is a patent misstatement of fact in the initial decision." 17 C.F.R. § 201.111(h). The deadline for filing petitions for review is stayed as to all

Respondents until I have ruled on the pending motions to correct a manifest error of fact in the Initial Decision. *See* 17 C.F.R. § 201.410(b).

Brenda P. Murray
Chief Administrative Law Judge