

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS
Release No. 1489/June 4, 2014

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-15665

In the Matter of

CHINA METALLIC RESOURCES, INC.,
CHINA TIMBER WORK ENTERPRISES, INC.,
LINDA ILLUMINATION, INC., AND
PREMIER INTERNATIONAL HOTEL GROUP, INC.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

The Securities and Exchange Commission (Commission) issued an Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings (OIP), pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, on January 6, 2014. The proceeding has ended as to all Respondents except Linda Illumination, Inc. (Linda). See China Metallic Resources, Inc., Initial Decision Release No. 564, 2014 SEC LEXIS 511 (Feb. 11, 2014).

On June 3, 2014, the Return of Service from the Chinese Hague Convention Authority as to Linda (Return) was filed. The Return shows that service was attempted at the address provided in Linda's most recent EDGAR filing with the Commission, but delivery of the OIP to Linda could not be made because the address does not exist.¹ I find that Linda was served with the OIP by April 14, 2014, in accordance with Commission Rule of Practice 141(a)(2)(iv), 17 C.F.R. § 201.141(a)(2)(iv). To date, Linda has not filed an Answer, which was due within ten days after service of the OIP. See OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. § 201.220(b).

It is ORDERED that, on or before Wednesday, June 18, 2014, Linda shall SHOW CAUSE why this proceeding should not be determined against it due to its failure to file an Answer or otherwise defend this proceeding. See 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a)(2), .220(f). If Linda

¹ Although some words in the English translation of the service address shown in the Return are slightly different from the words in the address shown in Linda's most recent EDGAR filing, I find that service was attempted at the correct address. The minor discrepancies between the English translation of the address in the Return and the address in the EDGAR filing appear to be immaterial.

fails to respond to this Order, it will be deemed in default, this proceeding will be determined against it, and the registration of its securities will be revoked. See OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. § 201.155(a)(2).

Cameron Elliot
Administrative Law Judge