

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before the
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RULINGS
Release No. 1441/May 16, 2014

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-15408

| | | |
|------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| In the Matter of | : | ORDER POSTPONING PREHEARING |
| | : | CONFERENCE |
| JOEL I. WILSON | : | |

The Securities and Exchange Commission issued an Order Instituting Proceedings (OIP) on August 6, 2013, alleging that in SEC v. Wilson, No. 1:12-cv-15062 (E.D. Mich. July 26, 2013), Joel I. Wilson (Wilson) was enjoined from future violations of Sections 5(a), 5(c), and 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933; Sections 10(b) and 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) and Exchange Act Rules 10b-5, 12b-20, 13a-1, 13a-13, and 13a-14; and Section 206(4) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (Advisers Act) and Advisers Act Rule 206(4)-8. The District Court (1) ordered Wilson to disgorge \$6,403,580, plus \$290,319 of prejudgment interest, and pay a civil \$7,500 penalty; and (2) barred Wilson from acting as an officer or director of any issuer which has a class of registered securities or which is required to file reports, pursuant to, respectively, Sections 12 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

I have postponed the hearing and seven prehearing conferences because Wilson was not served with the OIP. Today, the Division of Enforcement (Division) informed my Office that Wilson is in the Bay County Michigan jail, 503 Third Street, Bay City, MI 48708, and was personally served with the OIP on May 15, 2014.

Order

To allow time for Wilson to answer the OIP, I POSTPONE the telephonic prehearing conference scheduled for May 19, 2014, to Monday, June 16, 2014, at 1:00 p.m. EDT. The Division shall make accommodations for Wilson to participate and shall inform my Office promptly if the facility where Wilson will be incarcerated at the time of the prehearing conference will not accommodate his participation. I will issue a default if Wilson is allowed to participate in the telephonic prehearing conference, but fails to appear, or if he fails to answer the OIP or otherwise defend the proceeding. See OIP at 3; 17 C.F.R. §§ 201.155(a), .220(f), .221(f).

Brenda P. Murray
Chief Administrative Law Judge