Cass8:398:v4083399AVMIAA Diocumenti2821 FFided0/6/5/7/099 PRage 10677

```
1 MARC J. FAGEL (CA BAR #154425)
   JOHN S. YUN (CA BAR #112260)
   VICTOR W. HONG (CA BAR #165938)
   Attorneys for Plaintiff
 3
   SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
   44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2600
   San Francisco, California 94104
 5
   Telephone: (415) 705-2500
 6
 7
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
                      NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 9
                           SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
10
   SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
                                        Case No. C-98-3739 WHA
   COMMISSION,
                                        [PROPOSED] AMENDED FINAL
12
             Plaintiff,
                                        JUDGMENT OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION
                                        AND OTHER LEGAL AND EQUITABLE
13
                                        RELIEF AGAINST DEFENDANT DEBORAH
        vs.
                                        MELLO
14
   MAMIE TANG, DEBORAH MELLO AND
15
   VICTORIA GONG,
16
             Defendants,
17
   and
   RICHARD TANG, AMY TANG, EMILY
18
   TANG, THE TANG CHILDREN'S TRUST
   AND BROAD STREET SECURITIES, INC,
19
             Relief Defendants.
20
21
22
        On November 6, 2000, the Court commenced a bench trial against
23
   remaining defendant Deborah Mello and relief defendants Amy Tang and
24
   the Tang Children's Trust. It also accepted evidence relating to
25
   the relief to be imposed against defaulting defendant Mamie Tang.
26
   Pursuant to stipulation and order, relief defendant Amy Tang was
27
   removed from the case as of November 13, 2000. The Court heard
28
```

testimony and accepted exhibits on November 6, 7, 8, 13 and 14, 2000. It heard closing arguments on November 15, 2000.

2.7

Following closing arguments, plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") and defendant Deborah Mello ("Mello") stipulated to certain findings of fact based upon the evidence submitted at trial. The Court accepts these proposed findings:

- 1. Valley Forge Capital Holdings ("Valley Forge") violated
 Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act of 1933

 ("Securities Act") and Section 10(b) of the Securities
 Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") and Rule 10b-5

 thereunder in connection with the U-7, Series A, Series B
 and Foreign Bond Offerings (together, the "Bond
 Offerings"). The offering circulars for the Bond
 Offerings made material misrepresentations and omissions
 concerning the structure of Valley Forge's management and
 the use of proceeds raised in the Bond Offerings.
- 2. At the time of the Bond Offerings, Mello was a control person of Valley Forge by virtue of her positions, authority and conduct as President and Chairperson of the Board. Mello has failed to meet her burden of establishing the affirmative defenses of good faith and lack of actual participation. Mello is therefore jointly and severally liable with Valley Forge.
- 3. As a Valley Forge director, Mello approved the U-7, Series A and Series B bond offerings. Mello acted recklessly in violation Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5. She failed to determine what representations were made in the offering

Case 3:29 & v. 00 23 39 9 AVMIAA Diocomeet 12 28 21 FFeld 6 10 61/5 170 99 PR gg 6 30 61/7

circulars for the U-7, Series A and Series B bond offerings and failed, as President of Valley Forge, to ensure that Valley Forge had adequate business controls to comply with the use of proceeds representations of the U-7, Series A and Series B offering circulars. Mello therefore violated Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act and Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 of the Exchange Act.

Because the Commission and Mello could stipulate to the propriety of an injunction, but could not stipulate upon the appropriate monetary relief, the Court made oral findings on the record on November 17, 2000 and incorporates those findings by reference.

Based upon the stipulated findings and its additional findings, the Court orders the following relief.

Τ.

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant Mello, her agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in concert or participation with her, who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment of Permanent Injunction and Other Legal and Equitable Relief ("Final Judgment"), by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, are permanently enjoined and restrained from, directly or indirectly, singly or in concert:

- A. employing any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud,
- B. making any untrue statement of a material fact or omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

C. engaging in any act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon any person,

in connection with the purchase or sale of the securities of any issuer, by the use of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce, or of the mails, or of any facility of any national securities exchange, in violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

II.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED defendant Mello, her agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons acting in concert or participation with her, who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment, by personal service or otherwise, and each of them, are permanently enjoined and restrained from, directly or indirectly, singly or in concert:

- A. employing any device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- B. obtaining money or property by means of any untrue statement of material fact or omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
- C. engaging in any transaction, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon a purchaser, in the offer or sale of any securities by the use of any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or by the use of the mails,

in violation of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. \S 77q(a)].

III.

2.7

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that defendant Mello disgorge the gains from her conduct in the amount of \$47,500, including prejudgment interest in the amount of \$7,500, for a total payment of \$55,000. Such payment shall be considered due and payable to this Court's registry within thirty (30) days of the entry of the Final Judgment.

IV.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Mello shall not be deemed in violation of the Court's disgorgement order so long as Mello complies with the payment conditions, reporting requirements and injunctions set forth in this Final Judgment:

- A. by depositing \$10,000 into this Court's registry within thirty (30) days of the entry of the Final Judgment;
- B. by depositing \$400 or 15 percent of her net income, whichever is less, into this Court's registry on or before the last calendar day of every succeeding month until the full disgorgement amount of \$55,000 plus post-judgment interest, if any, is satisfied. Mello shall pay post-judgment interest, as calculated pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961, on only those amounts due pursuant to Paragraph IV.A and B of this Final Judgment that are not timely paid (until such time as the late payment with accrued post-judgment interest has been paid in full); and
- C. by providing the Commission with a financial statement of her assets, liabilities, income, expenses and taxes.

Cassa 398: v. 0033394WMAA Diocoment 23821 FFided 006/57099 PRgg 660 577

1	Mello shall provide the first such statement within 180
2	days after entry of the Final Judgment, and subsequent
3	statements shall be provided every 180 days thereafter.
4	If any such statements, or any other information the
5	Commission obtains, indicates that Mello has sufficient
6	assets to pay the outstanding disgorgement amount, the
7	Commission may move the Court for further relief.
8	As of May 2009, Mello has made a total of \$41,675 in payments and
9	owes the remaining balance of \$13,325. Mello shall make any and all
10	future payments on the remaining balance by certified check, bank
11	cashier's check, or United States postal money order payable to the
12	Securities and Exchange Commission. Such monthly payments shall be
13	delivered or mailed by the last calendar day of the month to the
14	Office of Financial Management, Securities and Exchange Commission,
15	Operations Center, 6432 General Green Way, Mail Stop 0-3,
16	Alexandria, Virginia 22312 along with a letter identifying Deborah
17	Mello as a defendant in this action, setting forth the title and
18	civil action number of this action, the name of this Court and
19	specifying that payment is being made pursuant to this Order
20	amending the Mello Judgment. The Commission will remit the funds
21	paid by Mello to the United States Treasury.
22	V.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case 9:309 8: 00 0 0 3 3 9 9 AVM AA Dio o come et 22 8 2 1 F F et et d'U 5 / 5 / 7 0 9 9 P agg e 7 5 5 7 7

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this Final Judgment is binding on defendant Mello, her agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and upon those persons in active concert or participation with Mello who receive actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(d). VI. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this action for the purpose of implementing and carrying out the terms of all orders and decrees which may be entered herein and to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court. There being no just reason for delay, the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to enter this Final Judgment forthwith. SO ORDERED DATED: July 15, 2009 Alsup Unite Krict Judge